International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	UNDERSTANDING BURNOUT AND MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDER: A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF DIAGNOSTIC OVERLAP AND IMPLICATIONS
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.1(49).2026.5091
RECEIVED	17 December 2025
ACCEPTED	19 February 2026
PUBLISHED	03 March 2026
LICENSE	The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.**

UNDERSTANDING BURNOUT AND MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDER: A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF DIAGNOSTIC OVERLAP AND IMPLICATIONS

Martyna Susek *(Corresponding Author, Email: martyna.susek788@gmail.com)*
Beskidzkie Centrum Onkologii Szpital Miejski im. Jana Pawła II w Bielsku-Białej, Wyzwolenia 18, 43-300 Bielsko-Biała, Silesia, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-7383-8121

Amadeusz Furmanek
Szpital Wojewódzki w Bielsku-Białej, al. Armii Krajowej 101, 43-316 Bielsko-Biała, Silesia, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-6839-2207

ABSTRACT

Background: Occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) share overlapping symptoms, including fatigue, sleep disturbances, cognitive impairments, and reduced motivation. Despite these similarities, burnout is primarily context-specific to occupational settings, whereas MDD affects global functioning. Differentiating the two is critical for accurate diagnosis and effective intervention.
Methods: This narrative review synthesizes literature, integrating empirical studies, meta-analyses, longitudinal research, and psychometric assessments. Databases included PubMed, Frontiers in Psychology and Google Scholar. Instruments examined include the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), Burnout Inventory (OLBI), Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT), PHQ-9, and HADS. Data were analyzed for symptom overlap, psychosocial and occupational determinants, neurobiological mechanisms, and clinical implications.
Results: Both conditions exhibit fatigue, sleep disruption, cognitive dysfunction, and reduced motivation; however, burnout is situational, while MDD involves pervasive impairment. Chronic occupational stress may progress to MDD in vulnerable individuals. Neurobiological studies indicate shared HPA axis dysregulation, though MDD presents more systemic alterations. Psychometric analyses reveal moderate-to-strong correlations between burnout and depression measures, demonstrating partial conceptual overlap without redundancy. Additional theoretical perspectives explore whether burnout represents a subtype or prodrome of depression.
Conclusions: Accurate differentiation between burnout and MDD is essential for treatment planning. Burnout may respond to organizational and environmental interventions, whereas MDD requires pharmacological and psychotherapeutic approaches. Longitudinal monitoring, multidimensional assessment, and preventive strategies are recommended to mitigate progression from burnout to depression and optimize clinical outcomes.

KEYWORDS

Occupational Burnout, Major Depressive Disorder, Fatigue, Cognitive Impairment, Psychosocial Factors, Sleep Disturbances

CITATION

Martyna Susek, Amadeusz Furmanek. (2026) Understanding Burnout and Major Depressive Disorder: A Narrative Review of Diagnostic Overlap and Implications. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 1(49). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.1(49).2026.5091

1. Introduction

Occupational burnout has become an important concept in modern occupational health psychology and organizational research. Originally described as a reaction to ongoing interpersonal stress at work, burnout was defined as a syndrome with several parts: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization (or cynicism), and reduced sense of personal achievement (Maslach, Jackson, & Leiter, 1996). Over time, research and theory across cultures have expanded this view, with European approaches highlighting exhaustion and disengagement as the main aspects of burnout (Schaufeli et al., 2020). Despite many years of study, the exact classification of burnout is still debated.

The recognition of burnout in the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11) by the World Health Organization as a work-related issue rather than a medical disorder has increased discussion about its boundaries. In ICD-11, burnout is listed under problems related to employment or unemployment and is clearly separated from mental illnesses. This shows that burnout is context-specific, but it also leaves open questions about how to distinguish it from known psychiatric conditions, especially Major Depressive Disorder (MDD).

Major Depressive Disorder, as described in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5-TR) by the American Psychiatric Association, is a mood disorder marked by long-lasting low mood and/or lack of interest, along with cognitive, behavioral, and physical symptoms. Diagnosis requires symptoms to last at least two weeks and to significantly affect daily functioning. Unlike burnout, MDD affects all areas of life and is explained through a biopsychosocial perspective that includes genetics, brain function, psychological factors, and life experiences.

Even with these clear differences, research shows that burnout and MDD share many similar symptoms. Both are linked to tiredness, trouble sleeping, difficulty concentrating, low motivation, reduced performance, and emotional distress. Meta-analyses show moderate-to-strong connections between burnout and depression measures, raising questions about how separate these conditions really are, whether they share causes, and whether one can lead to the other.

The overlap between burnout and MDD has major effects for both clinical and workplace settings. At work, someone showing exhaustion and disengagement might be labeled as burned out, possibly hiding a depressive condition. On the other hand, seeing job-related stress as a full depressive disorder could lead to over-medicalizing work problems. This difference is more than just words — it affects treatment, workplace support, policy decisions, and stigma.

Beyond symptoms, new studies have examined brain and body changes that appear in both conditions. Problems with the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, changes in daily cortisol patterns, inflammation, and disrupted sleep-wake rhythms are found in both burnout and MDD. However, depression tends to involve more widespread and long-lasting changes, while burnout-related changes may reflect temporary stress responses rather than a full disorder.

From a social perspective, burnout is mainly connected to workplace stress, like heavy workload, little control, role conflicts, mismatch between personal and organizational values, and poor support. The Job Demands–Resources model sees burnout as the result of a long-term imbalance between work demands and available resources. MDD, however, arises from multiple factors, including life stress, thinking patterns, relationships, and biological vulnerabilities.

Because burnout and MDD share some features but differ in scope, causes, and systemic effects, it is important to carefully tell them apart. This narrative review looks at the overlap and differences between these conditions in terms of symptoms, assessment tools, brain and body mechanisms, and social and work factors. By combining recent research, the review aims to clarify the concepts, guide proper diagnosis, and point out implications for prevention and treatment in both clinical and work contexts.

2. Methodology

This article uses a narrative review design, focusing on clarifying concepts and integrating themes rather than combining data quantitatively. The main goal was to examine both empirical and theoretical literature on the relationship between occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder, with special attention to where the conditions overlap and how they can be distinguished.

A structured search of the literature was performed in PubMed, Frontiers in Psychology, Google Scholar, and PubMed Central. Search terms included combinations of “occupational burnout,” “Major Depressive Disorder,” “depression,” “fatigue,” “sleep disturbances,” “cognitive impairment,” “HPA axis,” and “psychometric assessment.” Reference lists of relevant reviews and meta-analyses were also checked to identify additional studies.

The review included systematic reviews, meta-analyses, longitudinal cohort studies, cross-sectional studies using validated instruments, and neurobiological research on adult working or clinical populations. Studies that focused only on children or that used non-validated burnout measures were excluded.

Special attention was given to widely used assessment tools, including the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), the Oldenburg Burnout Inventory (OLBI), the Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT), the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). Data extraction focused on symptom patterns, context-specificity, biological markers, psychosocial factors, and longitudinal links between burnout and depressive symptoms.

Because the studies varied in theory and measurement methods, findings were summarized thematically. The aim was to highlight patterns that converge or differ across studies, providing insight for both clinical evaluation and workplace interventions.

3. Results

3.1 Fatigue and Emotional Exhaustion

Fatigue is one of the most noticeable and clinically challenging symptoms that overlap between occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD). In the burnout model proposed by Maslach et al. (1996), emotional exhaustion is considered the core dimension of the syndrome. It reflects the depletion of emotional, cognitive, and psychological resources that results from long-term exposure to work-related stress. People experiencing burnout often feel mentally drained, emotionally worn out, and unable to fully engage with their tasks. Importantly, exhaustion in burnout is typically linked to the work context and specific professional demands. Many individuals notice that their symptoms worsen during periods of high workload, tight deadlines, or ongoing job pressures, and partially improve when they have time away from work or are able to detach from professional responsibilities.

In contrast, fatigue associated with MDD tends to be global, persistent, and not limited to occupational activities (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2013). Depressive fatigue affects all areas of life, including personal, social, and daily functioning, and is often accompanied by slowed thinking or movement (psychomotor retardation), a lack of initiative, and a sense of heaviness that is difficult to shake. Bianchi et al. (2015) emphasized that while exhaustion is important in both burnout and depression, fatigue in MDD is more closely related to mood disturbances and generalized low energy rather than being triggered by situational overload or work-related stress. This difference helps explain why someone with burnout may recover temporarily during a weekend or holiday, while someone with MDD experiences fatigue continuously, independent of environmental or occupational factors.

Meta-analytic studies have shown significant correlations between emotional exhaustion and the severity of depressive symptoms (Koutsimani et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the size of these correlations does not mean the two conditions are identical. Longitudinal research indicates that chronic burnout may increase a person's vulnerability to developing depressive disorders over time. For example, Toker and Biron (2012) found that burnout could predict later depressive symptoms even after controlling for baseline depression levels. Similarly, Obeng Nkrumah et al. (2025) observed that in high-stress occupations, ongoing occupational exhaustion often preceded the appearance of clinically significant depressive symptoms, suggesting a stepwise progression from situational stress to broader mood disturbances.

Zisook et al. (2022) reported that healthcare professionals suffering from long-term burnout also showed increased depressive symptom severity. These findings highlight the cumulative impact of sustained stress exposure on mental health. At the same time, Chiu et al. (2015) demonstrated that individuals with depressive disorders often display burnout-like symptoms in work settings, showing that the relationship between these conditions can be bidirectional. In other words, chronic occupational stress may contribute to depression, while depression may increase the likelihood of burnout when individuals continue to face demanding work conditions.

Clinically, differentiating between burnout and MDD requires careful assessment. Key factors include whether fatigue is limited to work tasks or spreads across all areas of life, whether symptoms persist outside the work context, and whether additional features such as hopelessness, pervasive low mood, or loss of pleasure (anhedonia) are present. Evaluating these aspects helps practitioners determine whether interventions should target workplace adjustments, personal coping strategies, or comprehensive psychiatric treatment. Accurate identification of the nature of fatigue is therefore essential for preventing the worsening of symptoms and for planning effective support and treatment strategies tailored to each individual.

3.2 Sleep Disturbances

Sleep problems are a key feature of both occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), but the type, scope, and underlying causes differ between the two conditions. In burnout, sleep difficulties mostly appear as insomnia. This includes trouble falling asleep at the beginning of the night (sleep-onset insomnia), waking up frequently or having difficulty staying asleep (sleep-maintenance insomnia), and non-restorative sleep, where people may sleep for a normal duration but still wake up feeling unrefreshed (Ahola & Hakanen, 2007; Golonka et al., 2019). These sleep issues are closely linked to workplace stress, such as heavy workload, tight deadlines, and ongoing conflicts at work. Such stress triggers the body's stress-response system and interferes with normal sleep patterns (Maslach et al., 1996; Schaufeli et al., 2020).

In contrast, sleep problems in MDD are more widespread, affecting many areas of life and continuing outside of work. Typical patterns include sleeping too much (hypersomnia), waking very early in the morning, fragmented sleep, and disrupted daily sleep-wake cycles. These issues are caused by broader disruptions in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and neurotransmitters that regulate sleep, such as serotonin and norepinephrine (APA, 2013; Mata et al., 2015; Bakusic et al., 2017). Sleep disturbances in depression contribute not only to tiredness but also to problems with thinking, emotional control, and worsening of depressive symptoms.

Research shows that sleep problems linked to burnout may come before or worsen depressive symptoms, acting both as a link and a risk factor for developing full-blown depression (Fitzpatrick et al., 2019; Tavella et al., 2023). For example, medical students and healthcare workers with high burnout often report poor sleep quality, which is connected to higher levels of depression (Fitzpatrick et al., 2019; Golonka et al., 2019). Long-term studies suggest that ongoing sleep difficulties in burnout increase the chance of developing MDD, showing how stress, sleep, and mood are closely connected (Obeng Nkrumah et al., 2025; Toker & Biron, 2012).

Looking at the mechanisms, chronic stress in burnout can cause overactivation of the HPA axis, leading to high evening cortisol levels and problems maintaining normal sleep balance (Bakusic et al., 2017; Maslach, 2016). In MDD, these disruptions are usually more widespread and persistent, affecting circadian rhythms, melatonin secretion, and REM sleep patterns (APA, 2013; Mata et al., 2015). These biological differences explain why sleep problems in depression are often less responsive to changes in the environment or workplace, while burnout-related sleep issues may improve with adjustments at work, stress reduction, and better recovery practices (Schaufeli et al., 2020; National Academy of Medicine, 2019).

In summary, sleep problems in burnout are mostly related to work, primarily insomnia, and can be improved with targeted interventions. In MDD, sleep disturbances are widespread, affect multiple aspects of sleep, and reflect systemic biological changes. Understanding these differences is important for correctly diagnosing patients, preventing burnout from developing into MDD, and designing interventions that address both work-related and clinical factors affecting sleep (Ahola & Hakanen, 2007; Tavella et al., 2023).

3.3 Cognitive Impairments

Cognitive difficulties are a key feature of both occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), showing up in attention, memory, thinking, and decision-making, though their scope and severity differ. In burnout, these difficulties are usually situation-specific and mainly affect work tasks. People may struggle to focus, prioritize, or solve problems effectively while at work (Verkuilen et al., 2019; Parker & Tavella, 2021). Common complaints include trouble concentrating, frequent distraction, forgetfulness, slower thinking, and difficulty planning or solving problems. These issues often reduce work performance and increase feelings of ineffectiveness (Maslach et al., 1996; Golonka et al., 2019).

In contrast, cognitive problems in MDD are more global, affecting many areas of life beyond work (Chiu et al., 2015; Zisook et al., 2022). People with MDD often experience ongoing attention difficulties, problems with working memory, challenges remembering verbal or visual information, slower information processing, less flexible thinking, and executive function deficits, such as difficulties with planning, organizing, and decision-making (APA, 2013; Bakusic et al., 2017). These impairments are usually more severe than those seen in burnout and can disrupt social, personal, and work life.

At the biological level, cognitive problems in both burnout and MDD are linked to dysregulation of the HPA axis, chronically high cortisol levels, and structural and functional changes in the brain, including the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and anterior cingulate cortex (Bakusic et al., 2017; Maslach, 2016). In burnout, these brain changes are often partly reversible with less work stress and enough recovery time. In MDD, more widespread chemical and structural brain changes—including imbalances in dopamine, serotonin, and

norepinephrine, as well as neuroinflammation—can cause long-lasting cognitive problems (Tavella et al., 2023; Parker & Tavella, 2021).

Functionally, cognitive difficulties in burnout can lead to mistakes, lower productivity, and trouble adapting to changing work demands, which can further increase stress and emotional exhaustion (Ahola & Hakanen, 2007; Schaufeli et al., 2020). In MDD, cognitive impairments affect broader life areas, such as decision-making in relationships, managing daily responsibilities, and problem-solving, which can worsen depressive symptoms and maintain functional decline (Chiu et al., 2015; Zisook et al., 2022).

Overall, knowing which cognitive areas are affected, what triggers them, and their underlying brain mechanisms is important for distinguishing burnout from MDD, designing effective interventions, and understanding how work-related stress problems may develop into full depressive disorder (Bakusic et al., 2017; Parker & Tavella, 2021; Edú-Valsania et al., 2022).

3.4 Reduced Motivation and Anhedonia

Reduced motivation and anhedonia are key symptoms in both occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), but they differ in how widespread they are, where they appear, and how they affect daily life. In burnout, reduced motivation mainly shows up as disengagement from work and feeling less effective in one's job (Maslach et al., 1996; Schaufeli et al., 2020). People with burnout often find it hard to start or keep up with demanding work tasks, show less commitment to responsibilities, and feel their efforts are futile or ineffective. Importantly, motivation in non-work areas may remain intact, which highlights the context-specific nature of burnout (Bianchi et al., 2015; Parker & Tavella, 2021).

In contrast, anhedonia in MDD is more global, affecting many parts of life, including social activities, hobbies, personal interests, and work performance (APA, 2013; Chiu et al., 2015). People with MDD often lose interest or pleasure even in activities they used to enjoy, leading to social withdrawal, reduced self-care, and less participation in daily routines (Zisook et al., 2022; Messias & Flynn, 2019). This widespread anhedonia affects personal, social, and professional functioning, which makes it different from the work-specific disengagement seen in burnout.

At the biological level, both burnout and MDD involve problems in dopamine and serotonin systems. In burnout, chronic workplace stress may reduce dopamine activity in the brain's reward pathways, lowering the sense of reward from work tasks (Bakusic et al., 2017; Maslach, 2016). In MDD, these dopamine and serotonin issues are systemic, affecting motivation, reward, and pleasure across all areas of life (Bianchi et al., 2015; Parker & Tavella, 2021). Dysregulation of the HPA axis and high cortisol levels also contribute to lower goal-directed behavior, fatigue, and anhedonia, especially when stress continues over time (Tavella et al., 2023; Bakusic et al., 2017).

Functionally, reduced motivation and anhedonia in burnout can lower productivity, job satisfaction, and professional relationships. This can create a vicious cycle where stress worsens disengagement (Ahola & Hakanen, 2007; Schaufeli et al., 2020). In MDD, the effects are broader, including social isolation, difficulties in daily functioning, and higher risk for comorbid conditions like anxiety and stress-related illnesses (APA, 2013; Zisook et al., 2022). Long-term burnout can sometimes develop into generalized anhedonia, increasing the chance of progressing to MDD and worsening both psychological and occupational difficulties (Tavella et al., 2023; Messias & Flynn, 2019).

Understanding how motivation and anhedonia differ between burnout and MDD is important for accurate diagnosis and treatment planning. Burnout-related disengagement may improve with changes at work, redistribution of tasks, and psychosocial support. MDD-related anhedonia usually requires combined treatment with medication and therapy to restore overall motivation and reward processing (Messias & Flynn, 2019; Schaufeli et al., 2020). Clinicians and occupational health professionals should carefully evaluate both work-specific and global motivation problems, as this helps prevent burnout from developing into clinical depression.

3.5 Psychosocial and Occupational Determinants

Psychosocial and occupational factors play a central role in the development and maintenance of both occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), though the patterns and mechanisms differ. In burnout, work-related stressors are primary drivers. High job demands, tight deadlines, role ambiguity, low autonomy, insufficient social support, and value conflicts contribute to chronic stress that gradually depletes emotional and cognitive resources. The Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model conceptualizes burnout as arising when demands exceed available resources over time, creating an imbalance that fosters exhaustion and disengagement (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004; Maslach et al., 1996). Organizational culture, leadership style, and

fairness in reward systems further influence vulnerability, with employees in high-pressure or poorly supported environments showing higher rates of burnout.

In contrast, the psychosocial determinants of MDD extend beyond the workplace and include broader life stressors such as interpersonal conflict, financial difficulties, trauma exposure, and chronic adversity. While occupational stress can precipitate or exacerbate depressive episodes, MDD typically involves cumulative psychosocial burden and interacts with individual vulnerability factors including temperament, cognitive biases, and coping strategies (APA, 2013; Parker & Tavella, 2021). Unlike burnout, these stressors affect multiple domains of life, producing pervasive emotional distress and functional impairment.

Empirical studies indicate overlapping pathways between burnout and depression in occupational settings. Persistent work stress may trigger not only burnout symptoms but also depressive episodes, particularly when stressors are chronic or recovery opportunities are limited (Toker & Biron, 2012; Obeng Nkrumah et al., 2025). Conversely, individuals with MDD often experience occupational difficulties resembling burnout, such as reduced work engagement, fatigue, and impaired concentration, highlighting the bidirectional relationship between work stress and depressive psychopathology.

Interpersonal dynamics at work also contribute to both conditions. Low social support, conflicts with supervisors or colleagues, and lack of recognition amplify the impact of job demands, exacerbating burnout and increasing risk for depression. Conversely, strong social networks, supportive leadership, and opportunities for autonomy and professional growth can buffer stress effects, promoting resilience and mitigating symptom severity (Ahola & Hakanen, 2007; Schaufeli et al., 2020).

Longitudinal evidence further suggests that the cumulative effect of occupational and psychosocial stressors is critical for understanding progression from burnout to MDD. Continuous exposure to high job demands without adequate recovery leads to sustained emotional exhaustion, disengagement, and ultimately a higher likelihood of developing clinical depression. Workplace interventions that reduce stress, improve support systems, and enhance coping resources can prevent this trajectory, emphasizing the importance of organizational as well as individual-level preventive strategies (Fitzpatrick et al., 2019; Tavella et al., 2023).

In summary, psychosocial and occupational determinants are central to both burnout and depression, yet differ in context and scope. Burnout is primarily driven by job-specific stress and lack of resources, whereas MDD arises from broader cumulative psychosocial adversity interacting with individual vulnerabilities. Recognizing these distinctions is crucial for effective prevention, early intervention, and targeted support in both workplace and clinical settings.

3.6 Neurobiological Mechanisms

Neurobiological processes play a crucial role in both occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), though the nature, scope, and persistence of these changes differ between the two conditions. In burnout, chronic workplace stress activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, leading to prolonged cortisol release and alterations in stress-response systems (Maslach, 2016; Bakusic et al., 2017). Functional and structural changes have been observed in brain areas associated with emotional regulation, reward processing, and executive function, including the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and anterior cingulate cortex (Parker & Tavella, 2021; Bakusic et al., 2017). Importantly, these changes appear to be at least partially reversible with reduced stress, adequate recovery, and interventions targeting workload or coping strategies.

In MDD, neurobiological dysregulation is broader and more systemic. HPA axis dysfunction, chronic hypercortisolemia, and altered circadian rhythms persist across contexts, contributing to both emotional and cognitive deficits (APA, 2013; Tavella et al., 2023). Monoaminergic systems, particularly dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine pathways, show enduring impairments, which are linked to anhedonia, reduced motivation, and global fatigue (Bianchi et al., 2015; Parker & Tavella, 2021). Structural changes, including hippocampal volume reduction and prefrontal cortical thinning, as well as neuroinflammatory processes, further reinforce long-term functional impairment (Bakusic et al., 2017; Edú-Valsania et al., 2022).

While burnout-related neurobiological changes are often context-dependent and more responsive to environmental adjustments, MDD involves systemic disruptions that require combined pharmacological, psychotherapeutic, and lifestyle interventions. Understanding these differences provides a biological rationale for distinguishing burnout from MDD and explains why workplace modifications alone may not fully resolve depressive syndromes.

3.7 Psychometric Overlap and Differentiation

Psychometric assessment is a key tool for understanding how occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) overlap and differ. Burnout measurement tools, such as the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI; Maslach et al., 1996), the Oldenburg Burnout Inventory (OLBI; Demerouti et al., 2011), and the Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT; Schaufeli et al., 2020), focus on main aspects of burnout, including emotional exhaustion, depersonalization or cynicism, and reduced work effectiveness. The MBI, widely considered the standard, sees burnout as a multidimensional condition. The OLBI measures exhaustion and disengagement on a continuum, allowing detection of subclinical symptoms. The BAT, a newer tool, combines modern psychometric methods and includes core symptoms as well as secondary ones like cognitive and physical complaints, giving a fuller picture of burnout in work contexts (Schaufeli et al., 2020).

Depression-focused tools, such as the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9; Kroenke et al., 2001) and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983), assess mood problems, loss of interest, physical complaints, and cognitive-affective symptoms, providing an overall view of depressive severity. The PHQ-9 matches DSM-5 criteria and allows both continuous scoring and categorical classification of depression. The HADS is often used in non-psychiatric populations and minimizes influence of physical symptoms, making it suitable for workplace studies.

Meta-analyses show moderate-to-strong correlations between burnout and depression measures (Koutsimani et al., 2019; Bianchi et al., 2015), indicating considerable overlap. This is especially clear for emotional exhaustion and fatigue, which appear in both types of scales, and for loss of motivation and cognitive difficulties, which can be captured in different instruments. However, these correlations should be interpreted carefully. Schonfeld et al. (2018) noted that similar wording in items measuring low energy or mood may inflate correlations, making the constructs seem more similar than they truly are.

Despite this overlap, psychometric studies indicate that burnout and depression are not identical. Factor-analytic research shows that burnout measures cluster on distinct factors, such as exhaustion and disengagement, separate from depression factors like anhedonia and persistent low mood. This supports the idea that burnout is a situational, work-related syndrome, while MDD is a broader psychiatric disorder (Maslach et al., 1996; Koutsimani et al., 2019; Parker & Tavella, 2021).

Overall, using multiple instruments together is important to capture overlapping symptoms while keeping the constructs distinct. This approach helps with diagnosis, planning interventions, and monitoring people at risk of moving from work-related stress to clinical depression (Edú-Valsania et al., 2022; Messias & Flynn, 2019).

3.8 Is Burnout a Form of Depression? Conceptual and Nosological Controversies

A long-standing debate in research asks whether occupational burnout is a separate condition or a work-specific form of depression. This question matters for theory, clinical practice, and workplace policies, as it influences how burnout is defined, treated, and managed.

Supporters of conceptual overlap point out that the main feature of burnout — emotional exhaustion — shares much in common with depressive fatigue and low energy. Bianchi et al. (2015) suggested that burnout symptoms could reflect depression limited to the work context. Meta-analyses also show moderate-to-strong correlations between burnout and depression measures, supporting this overlap (Koutsimani et al., 2019). From this perspective, burnout could be seen as a depression subtype triggered by chronic work stress or as an early stage of a mood disorder.

However, critical reviews caution against merging the two. Schonfeld et al. (2018) showed that even though overlapping survey items can inflate correlations, burnout and depression are still statistically distinct. They stressed that the causes and scope of each condition differ, and merging them prematurely could obscure important distinctions.

The classification of burnout in ICD-11 as an occupational phenomenon rather than a mental disorder reflects the cautious stance of institutions. By contrast, Major Depressive Disorder, as defined in DSM-5-TR (APA, 2013), requires persistent low mood and impairment across life domains, not limited to work stress.

From a stress-process perspective, burnout is seen as a maladaptive response to chronic workplace stressors (Maslach, 2016; Schaufeli et al., 2020). Depression, on the other hand, involves broader biological vulnerabilities, negative thinking patterns, and accumulated psychosocial stress (Mata et al., 2015).

Longitudinal studies show a more nuanced picture. Toker and Biron (2012) found that burnout predicts later depressive symptoms, while Chiu et al. (2015) found that existing depression increases the risk of burnout. These findings suggest a partial overlap within a stress-depression continuum rather than complete identity.

Neurobiological studies also show similarities. Both burnout and depression involve HPA axis dysregulation, but depressive disorders show more widespread and persistent brain and hormonal changes (Bakusic et al., 2017; APA, 2013). This systemic difference helps distinguish severe mood disorders from work-related stress responses.

Clinically, equating burnout with depression can lead to over-medicalization of workplace issues, while dismissing depressive symptoms as mere burnout can delay needed psychiatric care (Messias & Flynn, 2019). A balanced view treats burnout as a stress-related syndrome that may, over time and under certain conditions, contribute to depression.

In conclusion, evidence supports a relationship that is partly overlapping but not identical. Burnout is a work-focused stress syndrome that, in vulnerable individuals, can increase the risk of developing Major Depressive Disorder, highlighting the importance of recognizing both distinctions and connections for research, prevention, and clinical practice.

4. Discussion

The findings presented across fatigue, sleep disturbances, cognitive impairments, and motivation highlight both overlap and distinction between occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD). Fatigue and emotional exhaustion are central to both conditions, yet burnout-related exhaustion is primarily work-specific, fluctuates with occupational demands, and may improve during recovery periods, whereas depressive fatigue is pervasive, persistent, and associated with mood dysregulation. Sleep problems in burnout tend to be context-linked insomnia, while MDD presents broader sleep dysregulation, including hypersomnia and circadian disruption. Cognitive impairments and reduced motivation similarly show a spectrum: situational and reversible in burnout, systemic and more severe in MDD.

From a neurobiological perspective, overlapping HPA axis dysregulation and brain changes indicate shared stress-response mechanisms. However, the extent and reversibility differ: burnout reflects stress-induced, context-dependent alterations, while MDD involves persistent, systemic neurochemical, structural, and inflammatory changes. This distinction supports the notion that burnout and MDD, despite phenomenological overlap, are conceptually separable entities with unique diagnostic and therapeutic implications.

Clinically, differentiating burnout from MDD is essential. Misattributing depressive symptoms to burnout may delay treatment and allow disorder progression, whereas mislabeling work-related exhaustion as depression risks overmedicalization and unnecessary pharmacological intervention. Early identification of burnout, particularly through work-context assessments, stress management, and recovery-promoting strategies, can prevent escalation to clinical depression. Conversely, MDD requires comprehensive assessment across life domains, integrating biological, psychological, and social factors, and typically demands multimodal treatment approaches.

These distinctions also have organizational and policy relevance. Employers and occupational health professionals can implement interventions to reduce job demands, enhance control, provide social support, and monitor employee wellbeing. Such strategies not only mitigate burnout but may also serve as preventive measures against MDD. Recognizing the trajectory from situational occupational stress to systemic depressive disorder emphasizes the importance of timely intervention at both individual and organizational levels.

5. Conclusions

Occupational burnout and Major Depressive Disorder share overlapping symptoms, including fatigue, sleep disturbances, cognitive impairment, and reduced motivation. However, careful analysis reveals that burnout is largely context-specific, reversible, and primarily linked to work-related stress, whereas MDD is pervasive, systemic, and associated with broader neurobiological and psychosocial dysregulation. Neurobiological evidence, particularly regarding HPA axis activation, neurotransmitter dysfunction, and brain structure changes, supports the differentiation between these conditions and explains the variable response to interventions.

Clinicians, researchers, and occupational health practitioners should consider both symptom patterns and contextual factors to achieve accurate differential diagnosis. Early recognition of burnout can prevent progression to MDD, while comprehensive assessment of depressive disorders ensures appropriate, holistic treatment. Future research should continue to investigate the mechanisms underlying the overlap and divergence between burnout and depression, exploring longitudinal trajectories, workplace interventions, and biological markers.

Ultimately, understanding both the shared and distinct aspects of burnout and MDD enhances diagnostic clarity, informs targeted prevention strategies, and supports evidence-based interventions, ensuring better mental health outcomes in both occupational and clinical contexts.

Disclosures:

Acknowledgements: The authors thank all individuals and institutions that provided scientific resources and supported the review process. Special thanks are directed to research teams whose work formed the basis of the analyzed data.

Funding: This work did not receive external funding.

Supervision: All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to its submission.

Ethics Approval: Not applicable, as the study did not involve human participants or animals.

Conflicts of Interest: No conflicts of interest to declare.

Data Availability Statement: No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declaration of the use of generative AI and AI -assisted technologies in the writing process: AI-assisted tools were used solely to support language editing, clarity, and formatting of the manuscript. The authors take full responsibility for the content, interpretation of the literature, and the conclusions presented. No AI tools were used for data analysis, data interpretation, or generation of scientific conclusions.

REFERENCES

1. Ahola, K., & Hakanen, J. (2007). Job strain, burnout, and depressive symptoms among dentists. Journal of Occupational Health, 49(4), 329–335. DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2007.03.004
2. Alarcon, G. M. (2011). A meta-analysis of burnout with job demands, resources, and attitudes. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 79(2), 549–562. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2011.03.007
3. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Washington, DC: APA.
4. Bakusic, J., et al. (2017). Biological overlap between burnout and depression biomarkers: A systematic review. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 78, 130–144. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.11.005
5. Bianchi, R., Schonfeld, I. S., & Laurent, E. (2015). Burnout-depression overlap: A review. Clinical Psychology Review, 36, 28–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.01.004
6. Chiu, L. Y. L., et al. (2015). The relationship between burnout and depressive symptoms in patients with depressive disorders. Journal of Affective Disorders, 172, 361–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.10.029
7. Edú-Valsania, S., et al. (2022). Burnout: A review of theory and measurement. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 845. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph19031780
8. Fitzpatrick, O., et al. (2019). Prevalence and relationship between burnout and depression in our future doctors: a cross-sectional study in a cohort of preclinical and clinical medical students in Ireland BMJ Open, 9(4), e023297. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023297
9. Gil-Monte, P. R. (2005). Factorial validity of the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI-HSS) among Spanish professionals Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones, 21(2), 109–117. DOI: 10.1590/s0034-89102005000100001
10. Golonka, K., Mojsa-Kaja, J., Blukacz, M., et al. (2019). Occupational burnout and its overlapping effect with depression and anxiety. International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health, 32(2), 229–244. https://doi.org/10.13075/ijomeh.1896.01323
11. Gibson, S. (2021). Mental health impacts of burnout and depression in teachers. https://mentalhealthlead.com/wp-content/uploads/literature-review-stress-anxiety-burnout-and-depression-impact-on-teachers-and-on-learner-outcomes.pdf
12. Karasek, R., et al. (1998). Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ): Psychosocial job characteristics and health. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 3(4), 322–355. https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.3.4.322
13. Koutsimani, P., Montgomery, A., & Georganta, K. (2019). The relationship between burnout, depression, and anxiety: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 284. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00284
14. Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. W. (2001). The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression severity measure. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 16(9), 606–613. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x
15. Lee, R. T., & Ashforth, B. E. (1996). A meta-analytic examination of the correlates of the three dimensions of job burnout. Journal of Applied Psychology, 81(2), 123–133. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.81.2.123
16. Maslach, C. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications. Occupational Health Psychology Review, 21(1), 1–12. doi: 10.1002/wps.20311

17. Maslach, C., Jackson, S. E., & Leiter, M. P. (1996). Maslach Burnout Inventory Manual (3rd ed.). Consulting Psychologists Press. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277816643_The_Maslach_Burnout_Inventory_Manual
18. Messias, E., & Flynn, V. (2019). Burnout and depression: Same phenomenon or overlapping constructs? American Journal of Psychiatry, 176(1), 79–80. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18091026r
19. National Academy of Medicine. (2019). Burnout in health care: Strategies to improve clinician well-being. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/25521
20. Parker, G., & Tavella, G. (2021). Distinguishing burnout from clinical depression: A theoretical differentiation template. Journal of Affective Disorders, 281, 168–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.12.022
21. Reis, D., Xanthopoulou, D., & Tsaousis, I. (2015). Measuring job and academic burnout with the Oldenburg Burnout Inventory (OLBI): Factorial invariance across samples and countries. Burnout Research, 2(1), 8–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burn.2014.11.001
22. Schaufeli, W. B., & Taris, T. W. (2014). A meta-analysis of the Job Demands-Resources model: Implications for burnout. Work & Stress, 28(3), 234–246. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5640-3_4
23. Schaufeli, W. B., et al. (2020). Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT): Development and validity. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 36(6), 701–713. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7766078/
24. Schonfeld, I. S., Bianchi, R., & Palazzi, S. (2018). What is the difference between depression and burnout? An ongoing debate. Rivista di Psichiatria, 53(4), 218–219. https://doi.org/10.1708/2954.29699
25. Tavella G, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Bayes A, Jebejian A, Manicavasagar V, Walker P, Parker G. Burnout and depression: Points of convergence and divergence. J Affect Disord. 2023 Oct 15;339:561-570. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2023.07.095. Epub 2023 Jul 19. PMID: 37479038.
26. Toker, S., & Biron, M. (2012). Job burnout and depression: Unraveling their temporal relationship. Journal of Applied Psychology, 97(3), 699–710. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026914
27. World Health Organization. (2019). ICD-11: International Classification of Diseases for Mortality and Morbidity Statistics. Geneva: WHO. https://icd.who.int
28. Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 67(6), 361–370. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x
29. Zisook, S., et al. (2022). Relationship between burnout and Major Depressive Disorder in health professionals: A HEAR report. Journal of Affective Disorders, 312, 259–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.06.047