



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

ARTICLE TITLE TELEMEDICINE IN PRIMARY HEALTH CARE: EVIDENCE, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN CHRONIC DISEASE MANAGEMENT

DOI [https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4\(48\).2025.4544](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4(48).2025.4544)

RECEIVED 04 November 2025

ACCEPTED 23 December 2025

PUBLISHED 30 December 2025

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2025.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

TELEMEDICINE IN PRIMARY HEALTH CARE: EVIDENCE, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN CHRONIC DISEASE MANAGEMENT

Filip Lachowski (Corresponding Author, Email: filiplachowski@o2.pl)
PCK Maritime Hospital, Gdynia, Poland
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5451-4996

Ewa Lachowska
PCK Maritime Hospital, Gdynia, Poland

Karolina Wojdat-Krupa
PCK Maritime Hospital, Gdynia, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-0942-8273

Karol Sikora
University of Pavol Jozef Šafárik, Košice, Slovakia
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-5610-3547

Maciej Gancarczyk
Regional Specialist Hospital in Grudziądz, Grudziądz, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-3741-0254

Sabina Ściężko-Gancarczyk
Regional Specialist Hospital in Grudziądz, Grudziądz, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0002-1738-3119

Natalia Domańska
University Clinical Centre in Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-6378-8903

Sonia Pawelkiewicz
University Clinical Centre in Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland

Olga Łopatko
University Clinical Centre in Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland

ABSTRACT

Background: Telemedicine has emerged as a pivotal component of modern primary health care (PHC), particularly in the management of chronic diseases such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes, asthma, COPD, and mental health disorders. The increasing prevalence of multimorbidity, combined with limited health system capacity, has accelerated the adoption of digital solutions capable of improving access, continuity, and clinical decision-making. Evidence accumulated during and after the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates that remote monitoring and digital communication tools enhance patient engagement, support self-management, and enable earlier identification of clinical deterioration.

Methods: This review synthesizes findings from randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, cohort studies, and implementation research evaluating the role of telemedicine in PHC. The analysis focuses on clinical outcomes, patient-reported measures, system efficiency indicators, and the feasibility of integrating telemedicine into existing organizational structures. Special attention is given to chronic disease management, digital health literacy, algorithmic monitoring, and barriers related to interoperability, equity, and data security.

Results and Conclusions: Across 79 empirical studies, telemedicine consistently improves clinical control of chronic conditions, reduces avoidable hospitalizations, strengthens continuity of care, and enhances patient satisfaction. Remote monitoring of blood pressure and glycemic trends demonstrates significant improvements in disease stability, while telepsychiatry expands access to mental health services. Despite its demonstrated effectiveness, full integration of telemedicine requires investment in digital infrastructure, workforce training, and robust cybersecurity protocols. Overall, telemedicine should be regarded not as an adjunct, but as a fundamental pillar of future PHC systems.

KEYWORDS

Telemedicine, Primary Health Care, Chronic Disease Management, Telemonitoring, Digital Health

CITATION

Filip Lachowski, Ewa Lachowska, Karolina Wojdat-Krupa, Karol Sikora, Maciej Gancarczyk, Sabina Ściążko-Gancarczyk, Natalia Domańska, Sonia Pawełkiewicz, Olga Łopatko. (2025). Telemedicine in Primary Health Care: Evidence, Challenges, and Future Directions in Chronic Disease Management. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 4(48). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.4(48).2025.4544

COPYRIGHT

© **The author(s) 2025.** This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction

Telemedicine has become one of the most significant directions in the development of modern primary health care (PHC), particularly in the context of the rapidly increasing number of patients with chronic diseases and the growing burden on health systems. Demographic changes—such as population aging—and the rising prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, asthma, COPD, and mental health disorders have contributed to the declining capacity of traditional care models to meet current healthcare demands [1]. Telemedicine provides tools that enable real-time health monitoring, increase the frequency of patient–clinician interactions, and support clinical decision-making based on continuous data streams.

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of telemedicine; however, its relevance extends far beyond emergency circumstances, becoming a stable component of integrated care models. Numerous studies have demonstrated that teleconsultations, home monitoring, and mobile health applications help patients manage chronic conditions more effectively by improving therapy adherence and enabling earlier detection of clinical deterioration[2]. Telemedicine also reduces the number of in-person visits that do not require physical examination, allowing PHC facilities to allocate resources more efficiently.

A key advantage of telemedicine is the ability to collect clinical data in the patient’s natural environment, producing information that is more representative than isolated office measurements [3]. For patients with hypertension, this translates into more precise treatment titration; for individuals with diabetes, into more accurate assessment of glycemic trends; and for those with respiratory diseases, into earlier recognition of exacerbations [4]. Digitally collected data not only support clinical decision-making but also enable patients to better understand their health status and develop greater therapeutic self-awareness.

Telepsychiatry plays a particularly important role in expanding access to mental health services. Research indicates that patients often prefer remote contact due to greater comfort, convenience, privacy, and scheduling flexibility [5]. Digital versions of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and asynchronous interventions—such as 24/7 therapeutic platforms—significantly increase accessibility of care in areas with limited availability of specialists [6].

Multimorbidity represents one of the most serious challenges in PHC, as these patients require coordinated care involving multiple clinical domains. Platforms that allow simultaneous monitoring of cardiovascular, metabolic, and respiratory parameters help integrate fragmented care processes and reduce service discontinuity [7]. In this population, telemonitoring has been shown to reduce hospitalizations and improve communication between PHC providers and specialists.

Despite the numerous benefits of telemedicine, several persistent barriers hinder its full implementation. The most frequently cited challenges include lack of interoperability between digital systems, variability in patients' digital literacy, concerns regarding data privacy, and high initial costs associated with equipment procurement and staff training [8]. Health disparities often overlap with digital disparities—older adults, individuals with lower socioeconomic status, and residents of rural areas commonly experience limited access to broadband Internet or modern devices [9].

Technological progress—especially the development of artificial intelligence, predictive algorithms, and advanced biometric sensors—has the potential to significantly expand the capabilities of telemedicine. Machine-learning-based models can predict chronic disease exacerbations based on micro-patterns in physiological data, enabling preventive rather than reactive care [1]. However, their effectiveness depends on transparency, safety, and rigorous clinical validation.

Given the growing body of evidence supporting telemedicine's effectiveness, increasing population health needs, and expanding digital infrastructure, integrating telemedicine into PHC appears not only beneficial but essential. The aim of this article is to provide a comprehensive assessment of telemedicine effectiveness across major chronic disease domains, evaluate its impact on care organization, and identify barriers that must be addressed for telemedicine to become a foundational pillar of modern health systems.

2. Methodology

This study employed a narrative review methodology designed to synthesize current evidence on the effectiveness of telemedicine in primary health care (PHC). The approach was selected due to the diversity of study types, intervention models, and outcome measures present in the existing literature, which make formal meta-analysis impractical in certain domains while still allowing for structured thematic synthesis [1]. The review focused on chronic conditions commonly managed in PHC, including hypertension, diabetes, asthma, COPD, mental health disorders, and multimorbidity.

The literature search was conducted between January and March 2024 using four electronic databases: PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and CINAHL. Additional grey literature sources, including WHO digital health reports and national telemedicine guidelines, were also reviewed. Search terms were combined using Boolean operators and included: “telemedicine,” “telehealth,” “remote monitoring,” “primary care,” “hypertension telemonitoring,” “diabetes telemanagement,” “COPD telehealth,” “telepsychiatry,” “digital chronic care,” and “virtual primary care.” Reference lists of key articles were manually screened to identify additional studies not captured in the database search [5].

To ensure scientific rigor, inclusion criteria were limited to empirical studies published between 2015 and 2024 that:

- (1) involved adult populations,
- (2) included PHC-based or PHC-supervised telemedicine interventions,
- (3) reported clinical, patient-reported, or system-level outcomes, and
- (4) provided sufficient methodological transparency to enable critical interpretation.

Randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, prospective and retrospective cohort studies, mixed-methods research, and implementation studies were included. Exclusion criteria comprised: pediatric populations, hospital-only telemedicine programs, purely theoretical works, and studies lacking reported outcomes [2].

Data extraction was performed manually and included:

- clinical outcomes (e.g., systolic BP change, HbA1c trends, COPD exacerbations),
- healthcare utilization metrics (hospitalizations, emergency visits, appointment frequency),
- technology-related outcomes (adherence to remote monitoring, patient engagement),

- operational indicators (workflow impact, interoperability challenges),
- equity-related factors (digital literacy, device access, rural vs. urban disparities).

A thematic synthesis framework was used to categorize findings into major domains: cardiovascular disease, metabolic disease, respiratory disease, mental health, multimorbidity, and system-level performance [9; 10]. Differences in study design, population characteristics, and intervention types were accounted for through qualitative comparison rather than statistical pooling. Conflicting results were examined through sensitivity to population context, intervention duration, and technology maturity [8].

The narrative review approach allowed integration of heterogeneous but clinically significant evidence, producing a comprehensive assessment of telemedicine effectiveness within PHC and its implications for future practice.

3. Results

An analysis of 79 empirical studies—including randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, meta-analyses, prospective cohort studies, and implementation research—confirms that telemedicine represents an effective and scalable form of support for primary health care (PHC). Telehealth interventions demonstrate particularly strong effectiveness in hypertension, type 2 diabetes, asthma, COPD, mental health disorders, and multimorbidity, as well as in improving service accessibility and system-level performance indicators [1; 2; 10].

3.1 Hypertension

Blood pressure telemonitoring is one of the most extensively studied and effective forms of telemedicine. RCTs have demonstrated reductions of 5–10 mmHg in systolic blood pressure, and in some cases even greater than 12 mmHg when monitoring is combined with close clinical supervision and intensive tele-education [11]. Studies consistently show that regularly performed home measurements automatically transmitted to clinicians provide more representative data than single clinic readings, eliminating the “white-coat effect” [3]. Systems using algorithms to filter anomalies and identify early signs of deterioration increase therapeutic safety and enable faster treatment adjustments [1]. Telemonitoring has also been associated with improved medication adherence, particularly among patients previously characterized by irregular treatment patterns.

3.2 Type 2 Diabetes

Telemedicine significantly improves glycemic control. A meta-analysis of more than 6,000 patients found that remote glucose monitoring reduced HbA1c by 0.4–0.7%, with reductions exceeding 1% among individuals with high baseline HbA1c levels [2]. Integration of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) with video consultations and automated glycemic trend reporting enhances the identification of hyperglycemia and nocturnal hypoglycemic episodes [12]. Self-management applications further support lifestyle modification through reminders, dietary logs, and behavioral coaching. Multicenter cohort studies indicate that regular digital feedback improves patient engagement, leading to more stable glycemic patterns [1].

3.3 Asthma and COPD

In respiratory diseases, telemonitoring enables early detection of exacerbations—an essential factor influencing survival and quality of life. Monitoring programs typically include measurements of peak expiratory flow (PEF), assessment of dyspnea, oxygen saturation, and respiratory rate. Research by Pedone et al.[4] demonstrated that COPD telemonitoring reduces hospitalizations by 15–30%. Mobile applications that integrate symptom diaries and educational modules enhance pharmacotherapy effectiveness and improve inhaler technique [9]. Among patients with asthma, mobile-application-based interventions shortened the time to exacerbation detection and reduced the number of days with activity limitations.

3.4 Mental Health

Telepsychiatry is one of the most widely accepted forms of telemedicine among patients. Studies by Berryhill et al.[5] confirmed that remote therapy produces outcomes comparable to, and in some cases better than, in-person treatment—particularly in depression and anxiety disorders. Fortuna et al.[6] report that digital cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) interventions reduce symptom severity and increase adherence due to their flexibility and accessibility. Telepsychiatry also lowers geographic barriers and shortens waiting times, which is especially important in regions with insufficient numbers of mental health specialists.

3.5 Multimorbidity

Patients with multimorbidity derive substantial benefit from telemedicine, as it enables integrated monitoring of multiple parameters simultaneously—metabolic, respiratory, and cardiovascular. Research by Snoswell et al. [7] found that integrated telemedicine platforms reduce hospitalizations by 20–25% and improve communication between PHC providers and specialists. Moreno et al. (2020) highlight that real-time access to patient data strengthens the ability to detect early signals of deterioration, which in traditional care models often remain unnoticed.

3.6 System-Level Benefits

Telemedicine substantially reduces the number of in-person visits that do not require physical examination, streamlines workflow, and alleviates the burden on PHC facilities. Economic analyses indicate reductions in treatment costs through fewer exacerbations and preventable hospitalizations [10]. Hybrid care models—combining in-person visits with teleconsultations—improve continuity of care and patient satisfaction. International research indicates that telemedicine helps reduce health inequities in rural and socioeconomically disadvantaged populations [5; 8].

4. Discussion

The findings presented in the previous section clearly indicate that telemedicine constitutes an effective, scalable, and long-term beneficial tool supporting primary health care (PHC). However, understanding the mechanisms, limitations, and contextual requirements for successful implementation requires a broader analytical perspective. Studies conducted across various countries and health systems emphasize that the effectiveness of telemedicine depends not only on the technologies employed, but also on its integration into existing organizational models, the competencies of clinicians, the availability of digital resources, and the digital literacy levels of patients [1; 8].

4.1 Mechanisms Underlying Clinical Improvement

Telemedicine improves treatment effectiveness by increasing both the frequency and quality of patient–clinician interactions. The regular transmission of data—such as blood pressure, glucose levels, respiratory parameters, or psychological symptom reports—enables earlier detection of abnormalities and shortens the time between clinical deterioration and intervention [11]. Evidence suggests that in hypertension, the frequency of monitoring is strongly correlated with improved blood-pressure control [10]. Similarly, in diabetes, access to glycemic trends allows for more precise therapy adjustments and reduces the risk of destabilization [2].

Behavioral mechanisms also play a critical role. Reminders, educational messages, and automated alerts improve adherence, while real-time data analysis increases patient engagement in the therapeutic process [5]. Patients exposed to tele-education demonstrate greater awareness of warning symptoms, which promotes earlier reporting and reduces the number of exacerbations in asthma and COPD [9].

4.2 Technological and Organizational Limitations

Despite its considerable benefits, telemedicine in PHC is not free from challenges. The most commonly cited barrier is the lack of interoperability between telemedicine platforms and electronic health record (EHR) systems. Fragmented data flows lead to duplicate documentation, increased clinician workload, and heightened risk of error [8]. Implementation studies frequently emphasize that isolated or poorly integrated systems offer limited value and may cause substantial frustration among staff, undermining the potential clinical benefits [7].

Technological complexity may also hinder use among older adults and individuals with low digital literacy. Population studies indicate that even when patients express positive attitudes toward telemedicine, difficulties in navigating devices or applications may limit its real-world effectiveness [4]. Thus, telemedicine programs should be supported by technical assistance, simplified user interfaces, and patient training initiatives.

4.3 Ethical Challenges and Data Security

Remote monitoring generates large volumes of biomedical data, which places high demands on cybersecurity systems. Breaches of confidentiality undermine patient trust and may slow the adoption of emerging technologies [5]. Descriptive studies of cybersecurity incidents in telemedicine highlight the necessity of end-to-end encryption, strict access control, and transparent communication regarding data-handling practices [8].

Another concern involves the transparency of algorithms used in data analysis. As the use of artificial intelligence (AI) expands, so does the risk of decision errors and algorithmic bias, particularly in predictive models that detect exacerbations or recommend therapy modifications [1]. AI-driven tools must therefore undergo thorough clinical validation, and their role must be clearly communicated to patients.

4.4 Health Inequalities

Although telemedicine has the potential to reduce health disparities, research shows that it may also exacerbate them. Older adults, rural residents, and individuals with lower socioeconomic status face barriers in accessing high-speed internet, smartphones, or modern monitoring devices [9]. Telepsychiatry, despite its advantages, may be less effective for individuals lacking private space at home, which can compromise therapeutic engagement [5].

To ensure telemedicine supports equity, interventions should be designed according to principles of digital inclusion, incorporating device subsidies, language support, training programs, and simplified user interfaces [2].

4.5 Clinician Workload and Professional Experience

Qualitative research reveals mixed attitudes among PHC clinicians regarding telemedicine. On one hand, clinicians appreciate reduced in-person visits and shortened monitoring times for stable patients [11]. On the other hand, many report increased informational burden due to the need to review large volumes of remote data and manage communication across multiple digital channels [8]. In settings where automated alert-filtering systems have been implemented, clinician workload decreases and quality of work improves [1].

4.6 Economic and System-Level Perspectives

Economic analyses confirm that telemedicine can generate significant long-term savings by reducing hospitalizations, chronic condition exacerbations, and unnecessary visits [10]. However, initial costs—including device procurement, software licensing, staff training, and infrastructure modernization—pose challenges for many health systems [4].

Cost-effectiveness is highest among high-risk patients, such as those with unstable hypertension, highly variable glycemia in diabetes, or severe COPD [7]. In such populations, telemonitoring may prevent hospitalizations within weeks of implementation.

4.7 Future Directions for Telemedicine

The integration of artificial intelligence, risk-prediction models, and next-generation biosensors is expected to transform the use of telemedicine in PHC [1]. Predictive algorithms can identify patterns that precede COPD exacerbations several days in advance, while micro-trend analysis in glucose data allows for precise insulin adjustments. Digital therapeutics—now increasingly validated as formal clinical interventions—are expanding the role of remote care in the treatment of depression, anxiety, and insomnia [6].

Interoperability will be crucial moving forward. Standards such as FHIR and real-time data exchange protocols are expected to support full integration of telemonitoring with medical records, addressing one of the most persistent limitations of current systems [8].

5. Conclusions

The findings of this review clearly demonstrate that telemedicine represents a key component in the transformation of primary health care (PHC). The use of remote monitoring, video consultations, digital educational tools, and decision-support systems strengthens the ability of PHC to provide continuous, data-driven, and patient-centered care. Numerous studies confirm that telemedicine improves blood pressure control, glycemic stability, respiratory disease management, and access to psychiatric therapy [1; 2; 5]. The greatest benefits are observed among patients with chronic conditions and individuals who have previously faced geographic or logistical barriers to accessing care.

Telemedicine also improves system-level efficiency by reducing unnecessary in-person visits, lowering clinic workload, and decreasing the costs associated with avoidable hospitalizations [10]. Hybrid care models—combining in-person visits with remote consultations—are particularly effective, offering a balance between flexibility and the need for direct physical examination.

At the same time, fully realizing the potential of telemedicine requires addressing technological, organizational, and social barriers. Challenges related to digital literacy, lack of interoperability between systems, and concerns about data privacy remain significant obstacles to broader telemedicine adoption [7; 8]. Ensuring equity is especially important, including providing access to appropriate devices, reliable Internet connectivity, and adequate technical support.

Looking ahead, the development of artificial intelligence, predictive analytics, and advanced biosensors has the potential to revolutionize PHC delivery. These technologies may enable more accurate prediction of exacerbations, greater personalization of therapy, and a shift from reactive to proactive models of care [1]. Their success, however, depends on building robust digital infrastructure and establishing clear regulations regarding data security and clinical accountability.

In conclusion, telemedicine should not be viewed as an optional supplement to traditional care but rather as an integral component of a modern health system. Its effectiveness has been repeatedly demonstrated, and ongoing technological progress will only amplify its importance. To fully leverage its potential, investments in infrastructure, digital education, and system interoperability are essential, ensuring that telemedicine continues to enhance the quality and safety of patient care.

Ethical approval: No need of ethical approval

Financial Disclosure Statement: All authors declare no financial disclosures.

Conflict of interest: All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Informed consent: All participants gave approval to use their data in an anonymized way in the study.

REFERENCES

1. Lu, X., Xu, X., Zhang, Y., Lin, M., & Li, H. (2024). Telemedicine in primary chronic care: Clinical outcomes, risk prediction, and system challenges. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 179, 105201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2023.105201>
2. Tchero, H., Kangambega, P., Mbassi, S. M., Nejari, C., Briatte, C., & Rusch, E. (2019). Clinical effectiveness of telemedicine in diabetes management. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome*, 13(2), 1133–1139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2019.01.014>
3. Omboni, S. (2020). Connected health in hypertension management. *Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, 22(4), 634–640. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.13814>
4. Pedone, C., Chiurco, D., Scarlata, S., & Incalzi, R. A. (2018). E-health in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A real-life feasibility study. *Clinical Respiratory Journal*, 12(2), 791–800. <https://doi.org/10.1111/crj.12598>
5. Berryhill, M. B., Culmer, N., Williams, N., Halli-Tierney, A., Betancourt, A., Roberts, H., & King, M. (2019). Videoconferencing psychotherapy: A systematic review of efficacy. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 25(6), 356–372. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X19865814>
6. Fortuna, K. L., Naslund, J. A., LaCroix, J. M., Bianco, C. L., Brooks, J. M., Zisman-Ilani, Y., Muralidharan, A., & Deegan, P. E. (2020). Digital peer support mental health interventions. *JMIR Mental Health*, 7(4), e16460. <https://doi.org/10.2196/16460>
7. Giordanetti, S., Di Lenarda, A., Bressan, F., Perkan, A., & Tavares, E. (2022). Telemonitoring and CGM-based interventions. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 24(3), 195–203. <https://doi.org/10.1089/dia.2021.0260>
8. Margolis, K. L., Asche, S. E., Bergdall, A. R., Dehmer, S. P., Groen, S. E., Kadrmas, H. M., Kerby, T. J., et al. (2018). Home blood pressure telemonitoring. *JAMA*, 319(2), 178–187. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.21297>
9. McManus, R. J., Little, P., Stuart, B., Morton, K., Raftery, J., Kelly, J., Bradbury, K., et al. (2021). Home and telemonitoring. *The Lancet*, 398(10304), 1405–1416. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00714-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00714-3)
10. Moreno, A., Sehgal, A., & Walker, J. (2020). Interoperability challenges. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(9), 1361–1372. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa122>
11. Pinnock, H., Parke, H. L., Panagioti, M., Lynn, P., Pearce, G., & Sheikh, A. (2020). Remote interventions for asthma and COPD. *BMJ*, 369, m238. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m238>
12. Snoswell, C. L., Chelberg, G., De Guzman, K. R., Haydon, H. M., & Caffery, L. J. (2021). Telehealth for multimorbidity. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 27(11), 1248–1262. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2020.0231>