



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

ARTICLE TITLE THE QUANTIFIED RUNNER'S KNEE: A SOCIO-TECHNICAL REVIEW OF ILIOTIBIAL BAND DYNAMICS, DIGITAL DIAGNOSTICS, AND REGENERATIVE INNOVATION

DOI [https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4\(48\).2025.4409](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4(48).2025.4409)

RECEIVED 28 October 2025

ACCEPTED 19 December 2025

PUBLISHED 26 December 2025

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2025.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

THE QUANTIFIED RUNNER'S KNEE: A SOCIO-TECHNICAL REVIEW OF ILIOTIBIAL BAND DYNAMICS, DIGITAL DIAGNOSTICS, AND REGENERATIVE INNOVATION

Dawid Głaz (Corresponding Author, Email: dawidglz13@outlook.com)
Military Institute of Medicine – National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-7880-4545

Łukasz Deska
109 Military Hospital with Polyclinic in Szczecin, Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-9593-7077

Szymon Zysiak
Military Institute of Aviation Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0002-8803-5736

Maksymilian Głaz
Medical University of Lodz, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-5366-3739

Natalia Kamińska
Central Military Outpatient Clinic CePeLek, Warsaw, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-9171-0591

Jędrzej Zaguła
Military Institute of Aviation Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-7596-2849

Wojciech Sołtys
4th Military Clinical Hospital with Polyclinic SPZOZ in Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-7052-7058

Cezary Kosmecki
Military Institute of Medicine – National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-5896-937X

Mateusz Stronczyński
4th Military Clinical Hospital with Polyclinic SPZOZ in Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0002-0808-845X

Kacper Wicha
109 Military Hospital with Polyclinic in Szczecin, Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-6732-8162

ABSTRACT

The global proliferation of recreational running has evolved from a simple health behavior into a complex socio-technical phenomenon, deeply embedded in the digital economy. While the "running boom" has yielded cardiovascular benefits, it has precipitated an epidemic of musculoskeletal injuries, notably Iliotibial Band Syndrome (ITBS) and Patellofemoral Pain (PFP). Traditionally viewed through a reductionist biomedical lens, the etiology of these injuries is increasingly mediated by technological factors—from "quantified self" metrics driving training loads to Artificial Intelligence (AI) in diagnostics. This review synthesizes biomechanical evidence linking iliotibial band tension to Chondromalacia Patellae (CMP) via Lateral Patellar Compression Syndrome (LPCS) and integrates this into a sociological framework. We examine how wearable technology creates a "paradox of data" that may exacerbate overuse injuries. Furthermore, we evaluate the economic burden on healthcare systems and workforce productivity. Finally, we propose an integrated therapeutic model combining biomechanical correction with regenerative medicine (mesenchymal stem cells) and digital health interventions. This interdisciplinary review argues that effective injury management requires a convergence of orthopedic precision and sociological insight.

KEYWORDS

Recreational Running, Iliotibial Band Syndrome, Chondromalacia Patellae, Quantified Self, Digital Health, Biomechanics, Regenerative Medicine

CITATION

Dawid Głaz, Łukasz Deska, Szymon Zysiak, Maksymilian Głaz, Natalia Kamińska, Jędrzej Zaguła, Wojciech Sołtys, Cezary Kosmecki, Mateusz Stronczyński, Kacper Wicha. (2025). The Quantified Runner's Knee: A Socio-Technical Review of Iliotibial Band Dynamics, Digital Diagnostics, and Regenerative Innovation. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 4(48). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.4(48).2025.4409

COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2025. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction In the 21st century, recreational running has transcended its status as a mere physical pastime to become a dominant global social phenomenon. The exponential growth in marathon participation, the ubiquity of community "parkruns," and the rise of the "lifestyle athlete" reflect a profound societal shift toward active health management and performative identity (Hespanhol Junior et al., 2016). Running has become a vehicle for social capital, community building, and self-optimization.

However, this mass participation has resulted in a parallel and stubborn epidemic of Running-Related Injuries (RRIs). Despite significant advancements in footwear technology and sports science, incidence rates for RRIs remain high, ranging between 19% and 79% annually (Van Mechelen, 1992; Videbæk et al., 2015). Among the myriad of musculoskeletal complaints, knee pathologies represent the single largest category of injury, creating a substantial burden on both the individual runner and the public health system. Specifically, Patellofemoral Pain (PFP) and Iliotibial Band Syndrome (ITBS) are two of the most prevalent diagnoses, often viewed by clinicians as distinct entities. PFP is characterized by diffuse anterior knee pain, while ITBS presents as localized lateral pain. However, clinical reality often defies this categorization, with high rates of comorbidity suggesting a shared pathomechanical root (van der Worp et al., 2012).

Contemporary sports medicine exists at a unique intersection of biology and technology. The modern runner is a "digital athlete," whose training is monitored by GPS satellites, whose physiology is quantified by optical heart rate sensors, and whose recovery is often guided by mobile health (mHealth) algorithms (Lupton, 2016). Consequently, the study of running injuries can no longer be confined to anatomy textbooks; it must also address the sociological drivers of training behavior and the technological tools that mediate the injury experience.

This review aims to bridge the gap between the "biological body" and the "social body." First, we establish the rigorous biomechanical link between Iliotibial Band (ITB) tension and Chondromalacia Patellae (CMP), detailing how proximal hip dysfunction translates into distal cartilage failure via Lateral Patellar Compression Syndrome (LPCS). Second, we analyze the socio-technical context of the modern runner, exploring how the "quantified self" movement and digital surveillance may paradoxically contribute to injury risk. Third, we evaluate the economic implications of these injuries. Finally, we explore the frontiers of

treatment, from AI-enhanced imaging to regenerative stem cell therapies, proposing a holistic model for the management of the injured digital athlete.

2. Methodology A comprehensive narrative review was conducted to synthesize literature from diverse fields including orthopedics, biomechanics, sociology of sport, and digital health technology. Electronic databases (PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar) were searched for articles published up to 2025. The search strategy utilized a multi-disciplinary keyword approach to capture the full scope of the socio-technical nexus. Biomechanical terms included "Iliotibial Band," "Patellofemoral Pain," "Kinematics," "Chondromalacia," and "Lateral Retinaculum." Socio-technical terms included "Quantified Self," "Wearable Technology," "Digital Health," "Running Sociology," "mHealth," and "Deep Learning in MRI." Inclusion criteria prioritized systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and high-impact clinical trials, as well as seminal sociological texts regarding technology and the body. Articles were screened for relevance to the intersection of functional anatomy, injury etiology, and technological interventions.

3. The Biomechanical Paradigm: From ITB Tension to Cartilage Failure To understand the prevalence of knee pain in the modern runner, one must look beyond the site of pain to the structural mechanisms driving tissue failure. Recent anatomical and biomechanical research has challenged the historical view of the iliotibial band as a passive lateral stabilizer, revealing it to be an active, dynamic, and potentially destructive force within the knee joint.

3.1 Functional Anatomy and the Iliopatellar Connection The iliotibial band (ITB) is a complex, multi-layered thickening of the fascia lata. While often described simply as a lateral band, its functional anatomy is far more sophisticated. Proximally, it is anchored by the tensor fasciae latae (TFL) and the gluteus maximus, meaning its tension is directly modulated by hip neuromuscular control (Fairclough et al., 2006). Distally, the ITB's attachment to the femur via the Kaplan fibers is well documented. However, a critical and often overlooked structure is the iliopatellar band (ITB-P)—a distinct fibrous expansion connecting the anterior ITB directly to the lateral border of the patella (Godin et al., 2017; Choi et al., 2025).

Biomechanical studies have identified the ITB-P fibers as the mechanically dominant structure of the lateral retinaculum. Merican and Amis (2008) demonstrated that these fibers possess significantly higher stiffness (approximately 97 N/mm) than other lateral restraints, such as the lateral patellofemoral ligament. This structural dominance implies that the ITB acts as a "lateral tether." Tension generated in the proximal hip is transmitted with high fidelity through the ITB and the ITB-P fibers directly to the patella, influencing its tracking within the trochlear groove.

3.2 The Mechanism of Lateral Patellar Compression Syndrome (LPCS) The pathological consequence of excessive ITB tension is the development of Lateral Patellar Compression Syndrome (LPCS). Unlike patellar instability, where the kneecap dislocates, LPCS is a condition of "excessive stability" or hyper-compression (Sanchis-Alfonso, 2011). The tight ITB-P fibers tilt the patella laterally, compressing the lateral facet against the femoral condyle while "unloading" the medial facet. This alteration has profound implications for cartilage health. According to the physical principle of pressure ($P = \text{Force} / \text{Area}$), the reduction in contact area caused by the lateral tilt results in a non-linear spike in focal contact pressure on the lateral cartilage. Chronic hyperpression disrupts the homeostasis of the articular cartilage, leading to chondrocyte death (apoptosis), matrix degradation, and the structural breakdown clinically diagnosed as Chondromalacia Patellae (CMP) (Pak et al., 2013).

3.3 Evidence of the Causal Chain Empirical evidence strongly supports this "tethering" hypothesis. In vitro cadaveric studies utilizing optical tracking systems have isolated the effect of ITB tension on patellar kinematics. Merican and Amis (2009) applied graded tension to the ITB and observed a dose-dependent increase in both lateral patellar tilt (up to 1.5°) and lateral translation (up to 1.4 mm). The authors concluded that these kinematic changes are sufficient to significantly alter contact mechanics and initiate degenerative wear. In vivo clinical studies corroborate these findings. Runners with PFP frequently exhibit reduced hip adduction range of motion (a proxy for ITB tightness) compared to asymptomatic controls (Hudson & Darthuy, 2009). Furthermore, real-time ultrasound analysis has shown a correlation between ITB tightness and lateral patellar displacement (Herrington et al., 2006). Thus, a robust pathomechanical model emerges: Hip Dysfunction → ITB Tension → Iliopatellar Transmission → LPCS → CMP.

4. The Socio-Technical Context: The Digital Athlete In the modern era, the biological reality of injury cannot be separated from the sociological context of the runner. The experience of training, pain, and recovery is fundamentally mediated by digital technology, creating a unique set of risks and behaviors.

4.1 The Quantified Self and the Paradox of Data The "quantified self" movement refers to the incorporation of technology into daily life to acquire data on inputs (e.g., food), states (e.g., mood), and performance (e.g., heart rate, pace) (Lupton, 2016). For runners, this is manifested in the near-universal use of GPS watches and smart wearables (Düking et al., 2018). While these devices are marketed as tools for health optimization, sociological analysis reveals a "paradox of data." The gamification of running on social platforms like Strava creates a "social currency" based on mileage, consistency, and speed. This environment fosters "disembodiment," where runners may prioritize digital metrics over somatic signals of pain and fatigue. A runner striving to maintain a "run streak" or achieve a specific weekly volume metric may actively ignore the early warning signs of ITB tightness or anterior knee pain, effectively overriding the body's homeostatic limits to satisfy the algorithm (Esmonde & Jette, 2020; Ajana, 2021). This behavior is a significant contributor to the development of overuse injuries like ITBS and PFP.

4.2 Digital Health Literacy and the Democratization of Information When injury inevitably occurs, the digital athlete often turns to the internet before the clinic. Digital health literacy—the ability to seek, find, understand, and appraise health information from electronic sources—has become a critical determinant of recovery (Feng, 2019). The landscape of online rehabilitation is vast and unregulated. A review of mobile health (mHealth) apps for injury prevention reveals a dichotomy in quality. While some apps utilize evidence-based protocols (e.g., hip strengthening), many others promote ineffective passive modalities or generic "one-size-fits-all" advice that lacks scientific validation (Wierenga et al., 2013; Ranney et al., 2022). The challenge for the modern clinician is not just to provide treatment, but to act as a "curator" of digital information, guiding patients toward reliable, evidence-based resources.

5. Socio-Economic Impact of Running Injuries The burden of running injuries extends beyond individual pain to significant socio-economic costs, impacting healthcare systems and workforce productivity.

5.1 Direct and Indirect Costs Running-related injuries generate substantial direct healthcare costs, including physiotherapy, imaging (MRI), and specialist consultations. A prospective cohort study by Hespanhol Junior et al. (2016) estimated the mean direct cost per injury at approximately €74, but total costs rose significantly when indirect factors were included. Indirect costs, primarily driven by workforce absenteeism and "presenteeism" (reduced productivity while at work due to pain), often exceed direct medical costs. For chronic cases of PFP or ITBS that progress to surgical intervention or long-term disability, the economic impact is magnified. In the context of the global running population, the aggregate cost of these "minor" injuries runs into billions of dollars annually (Peterson et al., 2021).

5.2 Workforce Productivity and Identity Loss Beyond economics, injury disrupts the "athletic identity" of the runner. Sociological studies indicate that for many amateurs, running is a primary source of identity construction and stress management (Allen-Collinson & Hockey, 2007). The inability to run due to injury can lead to significant psychological distress, loss of social connection, and depressive symptoms, which in turn affects workplace performance and social relationships (Ivarsson et al., 2017).

6. Innovative Therapeutic Models: A Bio-Digital Approach Addressing the complex challenge of ITB-mediated CMP requires a hybrid approach that integrates biomechanical correction with cutting-edge technology and biology.

6.1 Technological Interventions: Gait Retraining and AI Technology, while part of the problem, is also part of the solution. Wearable sensors can now provide real-time biofeedback on running mechanics.

- *Cadence Manipulation:* Increasing step rate (cadence) by 5-10% is a proven strategy to reduce the load on the patellofemoral joint and the ITB. Wearables can provide haptic or auditory cues to help runners achieve this change safely (Bramah et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022).

- *AI in Diagnostics:* Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Deep Learning (DL) models are revolutionizing the diagnosis of cartilage pathology. New algorithms can detect early-stage chondromalacia on MRI scans with greater sensitivity than the human eye, allowing for earlier intervention before irreversible damage occurs (Güngör et al., 2025).

6.2 Regenerative Medicine: Restoring the Tissue For cases where LPCS has progressed to structural cartilage damage (Grade II-IV CMP), biomechanics alone may be insufficient. Regenerative medicine offers a biological solution to this structural deficit.

- *Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs)*: Therapies using autologous adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) or bone marrow aspirate concentrate (BMAC) have shown promise in regenerating hyaline-like cartilage. Clinical case series indicate significant pain reduction and structural improvement in patellofemoral defects following MSC injection (Pak et al., 2013; Centeno et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2024).

- *The Hybrid Protocol*: Crucially, biological repair must be paired with mechanical correction. Regenerating cartilage without releasing the lateral tether (ITB) or strengthening the hip is futile, as the new tissue will be subjected to the same pathological forces.

6.3 Evidence-Based Rehabilitation The cornerstone of management remains the correction of proximal biomechanics. Systematic reviews consistently identify Hip Abductor Strengthening as the gold standard for treating PFP and ITBS (Nascimento et al., 2018). Strengthening the gluteus medius stabilizes the pelvis, reducing the dynamic valgus that tensions the ITB.

7. Conclusions

The relationship between Iliotibial Band tension and Chondromalacia Patellae serves as a powerful case study for the complexity of modern sports medicine. It illustrates how proximal biomechanics dictate distal joint health, but also how these biological processes are inextricably linked to the socio-technical environment of the runner. The "Quantified Runner" is at once empowered and imperiled by data. To effectively manage the injury epidemic inherent in the global running boom, we must move beyond a siloed biomedical approach. The future of care lies in a convergence of disciplines: utilizing AI for precision diagnosis, leveraging wearables for gait retraining and load management, applying regenerative biology to restore damaged tissue, and employing sociological insight to navigate the behavioral drivers of injury. Only through this holistic, socio-technical framework can we hope to keep the world moving safely.

8. Disclosure

Authors' contributions: Conceptualization: Szymon Zysiak, Cezary Kosmecki, Dawid Głaz methodology: Wojciech Sołtys, Maksymilian Głaz, Natalia Kamińska, Jędrzej Zaguła, software: Maksymilian Głaz, Mateusz Stronczyński investigation: Szymon Zysiak, resources: Łukasz Deska, Jędrzej Zaguła, Wojciech Sołtys, data curation: Kacper Wicha, Mateusz Stronczyński, Cezary Kosmecki, Natalia Kamińska writing - rough preparation: Kacper Wicha, Jędrzej Zaguła writing - review and editing: Szymon Zysiak, Maksymilian Głaz, Łukasz Deska visualization: Natalia Kamińska, Łukasz Deska supervision: Dawid Głaz, Cezary Kosmecki, project administration: Dawid Głaz

All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding: No external funding was received for this study.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable

Acknowledgements: None

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process: In preparing this work, the author used ChatGPT (OpenAI) for the purpose of translating some sections from Polish to English and refining the language/style. After using this tool, the author reviewed and edited the content as needed and accepts full responsibility for the substantive content of the publication.

REFERENCES

1. Ajana, B. (2021). Metrics of the self: A users' perspective. In *The quantification of bodies in health*. Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80071-883-820211010>
2. Allen-Collinson, J., & Hockey, J. (2007). Working out identity: Distance runners and the management of disrupted identity. *Leisure Studies*, 26(4), 381–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02614360601053384>
3. Bramah, C., Preece, S. J., Gill, N., & Herrington, L. (2019). A 10% increase in step rate improves running kinematics and clinical outcomes in runners with patellofemoral pain at 4 weeks and 3 months. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 47(14), 3406–3413. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546519879693>
4. Centeno, C. J., Busse, D., Kisiday, J., Keohan, C., Freeman, M., & Karli, D. (2008). Increased knee cartilage volume in degenerative joint disease using percutaneously implanted, autologous mesenchymal stem cells. *Pain Physician*, 11(3), 343–353. <https://doi.org/10.36076/ppj.2008/11/343>
5. Choi, S. K., Park, J. S., Kim, H., Iwanaga, J., Tubbs, R. S., & Hur, M. S. (2025). Anatomical analysis of dual iliopatellar band layers: Implication for knee joint stability. *Annals of Anatomy*, 261, 152693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aanat.2025.152693>
6. Dürking, P., Fuss, F. K., Holmberg, H. C., & Sperlich, B. (2018). Recommendations for assessment of the reliability, sensitivity, and validity of data provided by wearable sensors designed for monitoring physical activity. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 6(4), e102. <https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.9341>
7. Esmonde, K., & Jette, S. (2020). Assembling the 'Fitbit subject': A Foucauldian-sociomaterialist examination of social class, gender and self-surveillance on Fitbit community message boards. *Health*, 24(3), 299–314. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363459318800166>
8. Fairclough, J., Hayashi, K., Toumi, H., Lyons, K., Bydder, G., Phillips, N., Best, T. M., & Benjamin, M. (2006). The functional anatomy of the iliotibial band during flexion and extension of the knee: Implications for understanding iliotibial band syndrome. *Journal of Anatomy*, 208(3), 309–316. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2006.00531.x>
9. Fairclough, J., Hayashi, K., Toumi, H., Lyons, K., Bydder, G., Phillips, N., Best, T. M., & Benjamin, M. (2007). Is iliotibial band syndrome really a friction syndrome? *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 10(2), 74–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2006.05.017>
10. Feng, Y. (2019). From health to performance: Amateur runners' personal health information management with activity tracking technology. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 71(6), 744–763. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-07-2018-0170>
11. Godin, J. A., Chahla, J., Moatshe, G., Kruckeberg, B. M., Muckenhirn, K. J., Vap, A. R., Geeslin, A. G., & LaPrade, R. F. (2017). A comprehensive reanalysis of the distal iliotibial band: Quantitative anatomy, radiographic markers, and biomechanical properties. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 45(11), 2595–2603. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546517707961>
12. Güngör, E., Vehbi, H., Ertan, M. B., & Cansın, A. (2025). Automated detection and segmentation of chondromalacia patella on axial knee MRI using YOLOv11 and a custom CNN: A deep learning-based diagnostic model. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-025-09275-7>
13. Herrington, L., Rivett, N., & Munro, S. (2006). The relationship between patella position and length of the iliotibial band as assessed using Ober's test. *Manual Therapy*, 11(3), 182–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2006.06.008>
14. Hespanhol Junior, L. C., van Mechelen, W., Postuma, E., & Verhagen, E. (2016). Health and economic burden of running-related injuries in runners training for an event: A prospective cohort study. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 26(9), 1091–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12541>
15. Hudson, Z., & Darthuy, E. (2009). Iliotibial band tightness and patellofemoral pain syndrome: A case-control study. *Manual Therapy*, 14(2), 147–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2007.12.009>
16. Ivarsson, A., Johnson, U., Andersen, M. B., Tranaeus, U., Stenling, A., & Lindwall, M. (2017). Psychosocial factors and sport injuries: Meta-analyses for prediction and prevention. *Sports Medicine*, 47(2), 353–365. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0578-x>
17. Lupton, D. (2016). *The quantified self: A sociology of self-tracking*. Polity Press.
18. Merican, A. M., & Amis, A. A. (2008). Anatomy of the lateral retinaculum of the knee. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. British volume*, 90(4), 527–534. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.90B4.20085>
19. Merican, A. M., & Amis, A. A. (2009). Iliotibial band tension affects patellofemoral and tibiofemoral kinematics. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 42(10), 1539–1546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.03.041>
20. Nascimento, L. R., Teixeira-Salmela, L. F., Souza, R. B., & Resende, R. A. (2018). Hip and knee strengthening is more effective than knee strengthening alone for reducing pain and improving activity in individuals with patellofemoral pain: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 48(1), 19–31. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2018.7365>
21. Pak, J., Lee, J. H., & Lee, S. H. (2013). A novel biological approach to treat chondromalacia patellae. *PLoS One*, 8(5), e64569. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0064569>

22. Peterson, C., Miller, G. F., Barnett, S. B. L., & Florence, C. (2021). Economic cost of injury — United States, 2019. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 70(48), 1655–1659. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7048a1>
23. Sanchis-Alfonso, V. (2011). *Anterior knee pain and patellar instability* (2nd ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-85729-523-9>
24. Tian, X., Qu, Z., Cao, Y., & Zhang, B. (2024). Relative efficacy and safety of mesenchymal stem cells for osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 15, 1366297. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2024.1366297>
25. van der Worp, M. P., van der Horst, N., de Wijer, A., Backx, F. J., & Nijhuis-van der Sanden, M. W. (2012). Iliotibial band syndrome in runners: A systematic review. *Sports Medicine*, 42(11), 969–992. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03262306>
26. Van Mechelen, W. (1992). Running injuries: A review of the epidemiological literature. *Sports Medicine*, 14(5), 320–335. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-199214050-00004>
27. Videbæk, S., Bueno, A. M., Nielsen, R. O., & Rasmussen, S. (2015). Incidence of running-related injuries per 1000 h of running in different types of runners: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 45(7), 1017–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0333-8>
28. Wierenga, D., Engbers, L. H., Van Empelen, P., Duijts, S., Hildebrandt, V. H., & Van Mechelen, W. (2013). What is actually measured in process evaluations for worksite health promotion programs: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 13, 1190. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-1190>
29. Ranney, M. L., Stettenbauer, E. G., Delgado, M. K., Yao, K. A., & Orchowski, L. M. (2022). Uses of mHealth in injury prevention and control: A critical review. *Current Epidemiology Reports*, 9(4), 273–281. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-022-00312-w>
30. Willy, R. W., Høglund, L. T., Barton, C. J., Bolgla, L. A., Scalzitti, D. A., Logerstedt, D. S., Lynch, A. D., Snyder-Mackler, L., & McDonough, C. M. (2019). Patellofemoral pain: Clinical practice guidelines linked to the international classification of functioning, disability and health from the academy of orthopaedic physical therapy of the American physical therapy association. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 49(9), CPG1–CPG95. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2019.0302>
31. Xu, D., Quan, W., Zhou, H., Sun, D., Baker, J. S., & Gu, Y. (2022). Explaining the effects of increasing running cadence on knee joint mechanics. *PeerJ*, 10, e12832. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12832>