



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

ARTICLE TITLE	THE USE OF ANAESTHETIC METHODS IN ATHLETES' ORTHOPEDIC SURGERY: A LITERATURE REVIEW OF THE IMPACT ON REHABILITATION AND QUALITY OF CARE
----------------------	---

DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3994
------------	---

RECEIVED	13 August 2025
-----------------	----------------

ACCEPTED	26 September 2025
-----------------	-------------------

PUBLISHED	30 September 2025
------------------	-------------------

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2025.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

THE USE OF ANAESTHETIC METHODS IN ATHLETES' ORTHOPEDIC SURGERY: A LITERATURE REVIEW OF THE IMPACT ON REHABILITATION AND QUALITY OF CARE

Zuzanna Kudlińska (Corresponding Author, Email: zkudlinska@gmail.com)

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0003-4422-7784

Honorata Juniewicz

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-0060-992X

Patryk Heryć

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0004-9121-2670

Karolina Kananowicz

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0009-1229-6797

Ryszard Łagowski

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0007-8441-054X

Julia Kośeda

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0008-7742-2814

Anna Jędrasiak

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-3739-2066

Jakub Piotrowski

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0007-8889-713X

Zofia Laska

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-0326-8251

Marianna Latour

Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2568-5080

ABSTRACT

Background: Athletes aim for rapid, pain-free return to activity after injury, but many of them require surgery. As the choice of anesthesia for surgery affects postoperative recovery and patient satisfaction it is crucial to analyze which type of anesthesia is adequate.

Aim: This literature review was created to analyze the latest information on general versus regional anaesthesia on postoperative rehabilitation, pain management, length of hospital stay, and perceived quality of care in the context of orthopedic procedures in physically active patients.

Materials and methods: The review analyzed current literature from Pubmed and other medical databases published between 2000 and 2025.

Conclusion: A large number of factors must be taken into account when choosing what type of anaesthesia must be used. Patient collaboration, a holistic approach to the patient and understanding his needs influences the rehabilitation process and thus a faster return to health and physical activity.

KEYWORDS

Anaesthesia, Orthopedic Surgery, Athletes, Regional Anaesthesia, Spinal Anaesthesia, Postoperative Rehabilitation, Quality Of Care, Pain Management

CITATION

Zuzanna Kudlińska, Honorata Juniewicz, Patryk Heryć, Karolina Kananowicz, Ryszard Łagowski, Julia Kosęda, Anna Jędrasiak, Jakub Piotrowski, Zofia Laska, Marianna Latour. (2025) The Use of Anaesthetic Methods in Athletes' Orthopedic Surgery: A Literature Review of the Impact on Rehabilitation and Quality of Care. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3994

COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2025. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

Introduction

Both professional and amateur athletes often suffer from bone and joint injuries. In a study conducted in 2019 over 40% of the participants had an injury in 2019 [1]. The most common injuries in professional sportsmen are muscle and tendon strains and ligament sprains [2] and in amateurs tendonitis, knee overload pain and injuries to tendons and muscles (especially the biceps femoris) [3]. Many of these need to be operated - like anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction [4] or femoroacetabular impingement [5]. As anaesthesia is a part of the operation [6], the strategy used is not only important for the patient's safety during the procedure, but also impacts how the patient is recovering after. For physically active patients, quick recovery is important, but so is getting back into shape without pain [7]. According to recent studies, the appropriate method of anesthesia decreases the amount of opioids administered postoperatively [8, 9] and shortens the time of hospital stay [10, 11].

The aim of the study is to review the current state of knowledge about the influence of different methods of anaesthesia on recovery after orthopedic surgery and to assess the quality of perioperative care in athletes. The literature review will identify the most commonly used anaesthetic strategies and their clinical and functional consequences for physically active patients.

Research materials and methods

This review aims to collect, analyze and present the current literature on anaesthesia methods used in orthopedic surgery in athletes and their impact on rehabilitation and the quality of medical care.

The review included:

- publications in English and Polish,
- original studies (both randomized and observational), systematic reviews and meta-analyses,
- articles published between 2000 and 2025,
- works on athletes (both professional and amateur) undergoing orthopedic surgery using general or regional anesthesia,
- studies assessing the effect of the type of anesthesia on the course of rehabilitation, pain level, return to physical activity or quality of care.

The review excluded:

- case reports,
- editorial comments and letters to the editor,
- articles not available in full text,
- works on physically inactive patients or those with chronic conditions unrelated to sports injuries.

Literature was searched in the following databases:

- PubMed,
- Scopus,
- Web of Science,
- Google Scholar.

Keywords (and their combinations) used in the search:

anesthesia, general anesthesia, regional anesthesia, spinal anesthesia, nerve block, sports surgery, orthopedic surgery, athletes, rehabilitation, postoperative pain, recovery, quality of care, pain management, return to play.

After removing duplicates, titles and abstracts were reviewed for their relevance to the subject matter of the study. Full texts of selected articles were then subjected to content analysis. The study results were grouped thematically according to the method of anaesthesia and the assessed clinical and functional effects.

Methods of anesthesia in orthopedic surgery treatment

In orthopedic surgery two types of anesthesia are commonly used - general anesthesia, and regional anesthesia (most often in the form of nerve blocks and spinal anesthesia). The method that will be used depends on several factors - the location of the operated area, the preferences of the patient and medical team, the duration of the procedure, and expectations regarding the speed of return to physical activity.

General anesthesia

General anaesthesia is used in situations when the operating field is wide or when the patient did not consent to spinal anesthesia or nerve block. Inhalation and intravenous anesthetics, opioid drugs and muscle relaxants are used for general anesthesia [12].

Although general anesthesia provides full control over the course of anesthesia [13], it is associated with a longer recovery time [8, 14, 15] and a greater risk of nausea, vomiting and postoperative weakness [15, 16]. This may result in an increased supply of opioid drugs in the postoperative period, which affects the course of rehabilitation [8, 9, 17, 18].

Regional anesthesia

Regional anesthesia involves techniques that block nerve conduction in a specific area of the body. In orthopedic surgery, the most common methods used are:

- Femoral nerve block – for knee surgery (e.g. reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament),
- Brachial plexus block – for shoulder and upper limb surgery,
- Lower limb peripheral nerve block – for ankle or foot surgery.

Another method of regional anesthesia is spinal anesthesia [19]. It consists of a single administration of an anesthetic directly into the subarachnoid space, most often in the lumbar region (L3–L4 or L4–L5) [20]. As a result, there is a rapid and complete blocking of sensory and motor conduction in the lower half of the body [20]. It is used for operations of:

- knee (e.g. cruciate ligament reconstruction, meniscectomy),
- hip joint (e.g. endoprosthesis in amateur athletes),
- lower limb below the knee (e.g. foot and ankle surgery).

Regional anaesthesia allows to maintain more effective pain relief in the first hours and days after the procedure [19, 21, 22, 23], less opioid use [19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26], faster patient mobilization [27, 28, 29], shorter hospitalization time [27, 30, 31], often better overall well-being after the procedure [19] than general anesthesia.

The impact of anesthesia on the rehabilitation of athletes

The appropriate choice of anesthesia for these patients affects the rehabilitation time, as well as the speed of recovery, the level of postoperative pain, and the need for opioid drugs. For physically active patients, effective and quick recovery is important because especially for professional athletes it can affect their professional career.

Postoperative pain and analgesia

One of the most important factors affecting how rehabilitation is effective is controlling the level of postoperative pain. As Ilfeld's article highlighted, regional anaesthesia allows for better control of pain in the first 24-72 hours after the operation [24].

As an example, femoral nerve block after ACL reconstruction reduces pain intensity [25]. Thanks to this less opioids are used, which results in a reduced supply of these drugs and therefore fewer side effects associated with the use of these drugs, such as vomiting or dizziness [26].

Early mobilization and hospitalization time

When combining regional analgesia techniques with an appropriate pain management plan the patient can begin rehabilitation treatment more quickly [27, 28, 29]. Studies emphasize that with this type of anesthesia, patients are discharged home more quickly [27, 30, 31], which also improves their well-being [19, 32].

Impact on muscle function and risk of falls

One of the side effects of regional anesthesia, such as femoral nerve block, may be a temporary weakening of the muscle strength of the lower limb [33, 34]. This may result in difficulties in taking the first steps after surgery and increases the risk of falls [33, 35]. The way to avoid this problem is using for example medial canal blockade, which provides analgesia without significantly affecting muscle strength [36, 37].

Time to return to sports activity

It is difficult to clearly assess the impact of anesthesia on the long-term return to sport, although better post-operative pain control and faster rehabilitation means the patient can return to training faster [38, 39].

Quality of Perioperative Care in Sports Surgery

Nowadays not only the medical procedure is important, but also the quality of perioperative care. The role of anesthesiologist is important because it influences the patient's experience, the effectiveness of rehabilitation and the overall assessment of the treatment process. The patient pays attention to how effectively he is treated for pain, whether he has access to information and also whether he is taken care of.

The role of the anaesthesiologist in the medical team

The anesthesiologist not only administers anesthesia, but also influences the assessment of perioperative risk, selection of the safest and most effective method of anesthesia, monitoring the patient's condition during the procedure and in the postoperative period, and planning pain relief after surgery [40].

Patient satisfaction and comfort

As the recent study showed, athletes expect a quick return to activity, minimal pain and an individual approach to treatment [41]. Comparing general anesthesia with regional anesthesia, after regional anesthesia, there are fewer postoperative complaints and patients recover faster [41]. Although there are many concerns associated with regional anesthesia like nerve damage or anesthetic toxicity [42, 43]. That is why communication with the patient is important because good communication reduces anxiety and improves patient engagement in the treatment process [44]. Injured sportsmen who received appropriate care cooperate more willingly with medical professionals and follow post-operative recommendations better [45, 46]. That is why every patient needs an individual approach. Aspects that should be taken into account when choosing the right anaesthesia include: the nature of the injury and the type of procedure, the expected time of return to sports, the patient's previous experience with anaesthesia, psychological factors like the pressure of competitions.

Discussion

The analysis of available literature indicates that the choice of anesthesia method in orthopedic surgery of athletes has a significant impact on the course of rehabilitation and the assessment of the quality of medical care. Comparing regional anesthesia to general anesthesia, in regional anesthesia there is a greater effective control of pain - less opioids are administered [19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26], shortening the hospitalization time [27, 30, 31] and enabling earlier mobilization [27, 28, 29]. However, regional techniques may be associated with a temporary weakening of muscle strength which may result in falls [34, 35].

It is worth emphasizing that the long-term return to sports activity after anesthesia is still poorly understood. Aspects that need to be studied more are individual needs of athletes, the diversity of disciplines and the specificity of injuries. It could optimize anaesthesia methods and rehabilitation plans.

What else influences the patient's recovery process is also an interdisciplinary and holistic approach to him, taking into account the physical, but also the psychological aspects. Education [47] and communication in the patient-doctor relationship can reduce anxiety and increase involvement in the recovery process [48, 49].

Conclusions

- Regional anesthesia in injured athletes provides better pain control, reduces rehabilitation time, and is associated with higher patient satisfaction than general anesthesia.
- The choice of anesthesia method should be individually adjusted to the type of procedure, the specific nature of the injury and the needs and expectations of the athlete.
- Monitoring for potential side effects, such as muscle weakness, is essential for safe and effective rehabilitation.
- Holistic perioperative care, based on interdisciplinary cooperation and good communication with the patient, improves the quality of care and influences a faster return to sports activity.
- Further research is needed on the impact of different anaesthetic techniques on long-term functional outcomes and quality of life of athletes after orthopedic surgery.

Disclosure

Author's contributions:

Conceptualization: Marianna Latour, Ryszard Łagowski

Methodology: Karolina Kananowicz, Zofia Laska

Software: Ryszard Łagowski, Honorata Juniewicz, Patryk Heryć

Check: Patryk Heryć, Anna Jędrasiak

Formal analysis: Karolina Kananowicz, Jakub Piotrowski

Investigation: Zuzanna Kudlińska, Honorata Juniewicz, Zofia Laska

Resources: Julia Kosęda, Ryszard Łagowski

Data curation: Jakub Piotrowski, Julia Kosęda

Writing-rough preparation: Zuzanna Kudlińska, Anna Jędrasiak

Writing-review and editing: Marianna Latour, Anna Jędrasiak

Visualization: Zofia Laska, Marianna Latour, Patryk Heryć

Supervision: Zuzanna Kudlińska, Karolina Kananowicz, Honorata Juniewicz

Project administration: Jakub Piotrowski, Julia Kosęda

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement: Not applicable.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest Statement: Authors have declared no conflict of interests.

Declaration of the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process.

In preparing this work, the authors used ChatGPT for the purpose of improving language and readability. After using this tool, the authors have reviewed and edited the content as needed and accept full responsibility for the substantive content of the publication.

REFERENCES

- Prieto-González, P., Martínez-Castillo, J. L., Fernández-Galván, L. M., Casado, A., Soporki, S., & Sánchez-Infante, J. (2021). Epidemiology of Sports-Related Injuries and Associated Risk Factors in adolescent Athletes: An Injury Surveillance. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(9), 4857. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18094857>
- Gimigliano, F., Resmini, G., Moretti, A., Aulicino, M., Gargiulo, F., Gimigliano, A., Liguori, S., Paoletta, M., & Iolascon, G. (2021). Epidemiology of Musculoskeletal Injuries in Adult Athletes: A scoping review. *Medicina*, 57(10), 1118. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57101118>
- Franco, M. F., Madaleno, F. O., De Paula, T. M., Ferreira, T. V., Pinto, R. Z., & Resende, R. A. (2021). Prevalence of overuse injuries in athletes from individual and team sports: A systematic review with meta-analysis and GRADE recommendations. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, 25(5), 500–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2021.04.013>
- Swindell, H. W., Coury, J. R., Dantzker, N., Lopez, C. D., Saltzman, B. M., Trofa, D. P., & Ahmad, C. S. (2021). A systematic review of the orthopaedic literature on truncal and lower extremity injuries in major league baseball players. *JAAOS Global Research and Reviews*, 5(8). <https://doi.org/10.5435/jaaosglobal-d-21-00030>
- Minkara, A. A., Westermann, R. W., Rosneck, J., & Lynch, T. S. (2018). Systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes after hip arthroscopy in femoroacetabular impingement. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 47(2), 488–500. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546517749475>
- Valsamis, E., Sadler, J., Kennedy, T., Thornhill, C., Carey, C., & Ricketts, D. (2018). Anaesthesia: what a surgeon needs to know. *British Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 79(5), 270–278. <https://doi.org/10.12968/hmed.2018.79.5.270>
- Witjes, S., Gouttebauge, V., Kuijjer, P. P. F. M., Van Geenen, R. C. I., Poolman, R. W., & Kerkhoffs, G. M. M. J. (2016). Return to sports and Physical Activity after Total and Unicondylar Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 46(2), 269–292. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0421-9>
- Grauman, S., Boethius, J., & Johansson, J. (2016). Regional Anaesthesia Is Associated with Shorter Postanaesthetic Care and Less Pain Than General Anaesthesia after Upper Extremity Surgery. *Anesthesiology Research and Practice*, 2016, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/6308371>
- Cunningham, D. J., LaRose, M., Zhang, G., Patel, P., Paniagua, A., Gadsden, J., & Gage, M. J. (2022). Regional anesthesia associated with decreased inpatient and outpatient opioid demand in tibial plateau fracture surgery. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0000000000005980>
- Helwani, M. A., Avidan, M. S., Abdallah, A. B., Kaiser, D. J., Clohisy, J. C., Hall, B. L., & Kaiser, H. A. (2015). Effects of regional versus general anesthesia on outcomes after total hip arthroplasty. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, 97(3), 186–193. <https://doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.n.00612>
- Wang, X., Li, H., Yuan, C., & Zhao, H. (2021). Association between type of anesthesia and length of hospital stay in primary unilateral total knee arthroplasty patients: a single-center retrospective study. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-021-02817-4>
- Čížmaríková, R., Habala, L., & Valentová, J. (2025). General Anesthetics: Aspects of chirality, pharmacodynamics, and pharmacokinetics. *Pharmaceuticals*, 18(2), 250. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ph18020250>
- Son, Y. (2010). Molecular mechanisms of general anesthesia. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology*, 59(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.4097/kjae.2010.59.1.3>
- Doo, A. R., Kang, S., Kim, Y. S., Lee, T., Lee, J., & Kim, D. (2019). The effect of the type of anesthesia on the quality of postoperative recovery after orthopedic forearm surgery. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology*, 73(1), 58–66. <https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.19352>
- Pu, X., & Sun, J. (2019). General anesthesia vs spinal anesthesia for patients undergoing total-hip arthroplasty. *Medicine*, 98(16), e14925. <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000014925>
- Sansonnens, J., Taffé, P., & Burnand, B. (2015). Higher occurrence of nausea and vomiting after total hip arthroplasty using general versus spinal anesthesia: an observational study. *BMC Anesthesiology*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-016-0207-0>
- Koutp, A., Hauer, G., Leitner, L., Kaltenecker, L., Fischerauer, S., Clar, C., Reinbacher, P., Schitteck, G., Leithner, A., & Sadoghi, P. (2023). Less induction time and postoperative pain using spinal anesthesia versus general anesthesia with or without the use of peripheral nerve blocks in total knee arthroplasty. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, 39(4), 904–909. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2023.10.018>
- Sponheuer, K., Becker-Rux, D., Scheike, S., Barsch, L., Pempe, C., Pfrepper, C., Roth, A., & Werdehausen, R. (2025). Impact of anesthesia type on postoperative pain and outcomes in primary hip and knee arthroplasty: a retrospective register analysis. *BMC Anesthesiology*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-025-03127-6>
- De Cassai, A., Geraldini, F., Boscolo, A., Pasin, L., Pettenuzzo, T., Persona, P., Munari, M., & Navalesi, P. (2020). General anesthesia compared to spinal anesthesia for patients undergoing lumbar vertebral surgery: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 10(1), 102. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10010102>
- Huang, Y., & Chang, K. (2021). Sensory block level prediction of spinal anaesthesia with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine: a retrospective study. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88726-2>

21. Roh, Y. H., Park, S. G., & Lee, S. H. (2023b). Regional versus General Anesthesia in Postoperative Pain Management after Distal Radius Fracture Surgery: Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 13(11), 1543. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13111543>
22. Donauer, K., Bomberg, H., Wagenpfeil, S., Volk, T., Meissner, W., & Wolf, A. (2018). Regional vs. General Anesthesia for Total Knee and Hip Replacement: An Analysis of Postoperative Pain Perception from the International PAIN OUT Registry. *Pain Practice*, 18(8), 1036–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1111/papr.12708>
23. Bose, J. N., Rajavel, K., Munusamy, R., & Ramesh, P. (2024). Postoperative pain control in orthopedic surgery: A randomized trial comparing regional anaesthesia and systemic opioids. *Bioinformation*, 20(12), 1931–1934. <https://doi.org/10.6026/9732063002001931>
24. Ilfeld, B. M., Said, E. T., Finneran, J. J., Sztain, J. F., Abramson, W. B., Gabriel, R. A., Khatibi, B., Swisher, M. W., Jaeger, P., Covey, D. C., & Robertson, C. M. (2018). Ultrasound-Guided percutaneous peripheral nerve stimulation: Neuromodulation of the femoral nerve for postoperative analgesia following ambulatory anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a proof of concept study. *Neuromodulation Technology at the Neural Interface*, 22(5), 621–629. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ner.12851>
25. Hussain, N., Brull, R., Vannabouathong, C., Speer, J., Lagnese, C., McCartney, C. J. L., & Abdallah, F. W. (2022). Network meta-analysis of the analgesic effectiveness of regional anaesthesia techniques for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Anaesthesia*, 78(2), 207–224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15873>
26. Zuo, W., Guo, W., Ma, J., & Cui, W. (2019). Dose adductor canal block combined with local infiltration analgesia has a synergistic effect than adductor canal block alone in total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-019-1138-5>
27. Stundner, O., Ortmaier, R., & Memtsoudis, S. G. (2014). Which outcomes related to regional anesthesia are most important for orthopedic surgery patients? *Anesthesiology Clinics*, 32(4), 809–821. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2014.08.003>
28. Epstein, N. (2014b). A review article on the benefits of early mobilization following spinal surgery and other medical/surgical procedures. *Surgical Neurology International*, 5(4), 66. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2152-7806.130674>
29. De Biase, G., Gruenbaum, S. E., West, J. L., Chen, S., Bojaxhi, E., Kryzanski, J., Quiñones-Hinojosa, A., & Abode-Iyamah, K. (2021). Spinal versus general anesthesia for minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion: implications on operating room time, pain, and ambulation. *Neurosurgical FOCUS*, 51(6), E3. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2021.9.focus21265>
30. Bourget-Murray, J., Halpenny, D., Mahdavi, S., Piroozfar, S., & Sharma, R. (2022). Perioperative outcomes associated with general and spinal anesthesia after total joint arthroplasty for osteoarthritis: a large, Canadian, retrospective cohort study. *Canadian Journal of Surgery*, 65(4), E460–E467. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cjs.008821>
31. Knio, Z. O., Clancy, P. W., & Zuo, Z. (2023). Effect of spinal versus general anesthesia on thirty-day outcomes following total hip arthroplasty: A matched-pair cohort analysis. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*, 87, 111083. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2023.111083>
32. Pirie, K., Traer, E., Finniss, D., Myles, P. S., & Riedel, B. (2022). Current approaches to acute postoperative pain management after major abdominal surgery: a narrative review and future directions. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 129(3), 378–393. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2022.05.029>
33. Swank, K. R., DiBartola, A. C., Everhart, J. S., Kaeding, C. C., Magnussen, R. A., & Flanagan, D. C. (2017). The effect of femoral nerve block on quadriceps strength in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review. *Arthroscopy the Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery*, 33(5), 1082–1091.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2017.01.034>
34. Sharma, S., Iorio, R., Specht, L. M., Davies-Lepie, S., & Healy, W. L. (2009). Complications of femoral nerve block for total knee arthroplasty. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 468(1), 135–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-009-1025-1>
35. Turbitt, L. R., McHardy, P. G., Casanova, M., Shapiro, J., Li, L., & Choi, S. (2017). Analysis of inpatient falls after total knee arthroplasty in patients with continuous femoral nerve block. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 127(1), 224–227. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0000000000002703>
36. Wang, D., Yang, Y., Li, Q., Tang, S., Zeng, W., Xu, J., Xie, T., Pei, F., Yang, L., Li, L., & Zhou, Z. (2017). Adductor canal block versus femoral nerve block for total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40721>
37. Hasabo, E. A., Assar, A., Mahmoud, M. M., Abdalrahman, H. A., Ibrahim, E. A., Hasanin, M. A., Emam, A. K., AbdelQadir, Y. H., AbdelAzim, A. A., & Ali, A. S. (2022). Adductor canal block versus femoral nerve block for pain control after total knee arthroplasty: A systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Medicine*, 101(34), e30110. <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000030110>
38. Borchard, S. M., VanTienderen, R. J., Pitcher, N. J., McCormick, E. M., & Westermann, R. W. (2025). Block or No-Block? Regional Anesthesia and Return-to-Sport Outcomes in Quadriceps Tendon Autograft ACL Reconstruction. *The Journal of Knee Surgery*. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2542-2526>

39. Rousseau-Saine, N., Williams, S. R., Girard, F., Hébert, L. J., Robin, F., Duchesne, L., Lavoie, F., & Ruel, M. (2017). The effect of adductor canal block on knee extensor muscle strength 6 weeks after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized, controlled trial. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 126(3), 1019–1027. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0000000000002338>
40. Fu, G., Xu, L., Chen, H., & Lin, J. (2025). State-of-the-art anesthesia practices: a comprehensive review on optimizing patient safety and recovery. *BMC Surgery*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12893-025-02763-6>
41. Arvinen-Barrow, M., Clement, D., Hamson-Utley, J. J., Kamphoff, C., Zakrajsek, R., Lee, S., Hemmings, B., Lintunen, T., & Martin, S. B. (2015b). Athletes' Expectations About Sport-Injury Rehabilitation: A Cross-Cultural Study. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 25(4), 338–347. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2015-0018>
42. Todd, M. M. (2004). Anesthetic Neurotoxicity: The Collision between Laboratory Neuroscience and Clinical Medicine. *Anesthesiology*, 101(2), 272–273. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200408000-00003>
43. Horlocker, T. T. (2011). Complications of regional anesthesia and acute pain management. *Anesthesiology Clinics*, 29(2), 257–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2011.04.006>
44. Çalışkan, F., Seller, A., & Gerçek, M. (2024). The effect of patient education on pain level and fear of pain in orthopedic surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2024.07.015>
45. Chan, D. K., Lonsdale, C., Ho, P. Y., Yung, P. S., & Chan, K. M. (2009). Patient Motivation and Adherence to Postsurgery Rehabilitation Exercise Recommendations: The Influence of Physiotherapists' Autonomy-Supportive Behaviors. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 90(12), 1977–1982. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2009.05.024>
46. Han, F., Banerjee, A., Shen, L., & Krishna, L. (2015). Increased compliance with supervised rehabilitation improves functional outcome and return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in recreational athletes. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*, 3(12). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967115620770>
47. McDonald, S., Page, M. J., Beringer, K., Wasiak, J., & Sprowson, A. (2014). Preoperative education for hip or knee replacement. *Cochrane Library*, 2015(9). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd003526.pub3>
48. Ho, C., Chen, Y., Wu, H., Huang, H., & Lin, S. (2022). The Effects of a Patient-Specific Integrated Education Program on Pain, Perioperative Anxiety, and Functional Recovery following Total Knee Replacement. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 12(5), 719. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm12050719>
49. Johansson, K., Nuutila, L., Virtanen, H., Katajisto, J., & Salanterä, S. (2005). Preoperative education for orthopaedic patients: systematic review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 50(2), 212–223. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03381.x>