



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl


ARTICLE TITLE	MENTAL DISORDERS IN THE CONTEXT OF CLIMATE CHANGE. ECOPSYCHIATRY AS A NEW DIAGNOSTIC AND THERAPEUTIC DIRECTION
----------------------	--

DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3858
------------	---

RECEIVED	03 August 2025
-----------------	----------------

ACCEPTED	19 September 2025
-----------------	-------------------

PUBLISHED	23 September 2025
------------------	-------------------

LICENSE	 The article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License .
----------------	--

© The author(s) 2025.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

MENTAL DISORDERS IN THE CONTEXT OF CLIMATE CHANGE. ECOPSYCHIATRY AS A NEW DIAGNOSTIC AND THERAPEUTIC DIRECTION

Kacper Trzasański (Corresponding Author, Email: kacper.trzasalski1@gmail.com)

Medical University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0002-8425-6865

Katarzyna Oświeczyńska

Silesian Academy, Zbigniew Religa Faculty of Medical Sciences, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0000-8383-9175

Aleksandra Sowa

Medical University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0009-3606-8756

Sebastian Kupisiak

Czerniakowski Hospital, Stępińska 19/25, 00-739 Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0007-9631-2314

Patrycja Jędrzejewska-Rzezak

MD, The John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2144-5810

ABSTRACT

Introduction. The article explores the psychological consequences of climate change on individuals and communities, emphasizing the development and exacerbation of mental health conditions such as depression, anxiety disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and climate-related anxiety (eco-anxiety). It highlights the need for innovative approaches to understanding and addressing these challenges.

Methods. A review of the available scientific literature was conducted in PubMed and Google Scholar databases. The keywords applied included: “climate change”, “climate crisis”, “ecopsychiatry”, “mental health”, “solastalgia”, and “eco-anxiety”.

Results. The analysis demonstrates the significant impact of environmental instability, natural disasters, and habitat loss on emotional and psychological well-being. It also identifies ecopsychiatry as a promising, holistic framework that links mental health care with environmental factors. Practical examples of ecopsychiatric interventions are outlined, showing their potential in enhancing resilience and mitigating climate-related distress.

Conclusions. Climate change poses a growing risk to public mental health, demanding interdisciplinary collaboration and the integration of ecological perspectives into psychiatric practice. Ecopsychiatry offers an innovative diagnostic and therapeutic direction that can strengthen mental resilience in the era of climatic crises.

KEYWORDS

Climate Change, Climate Crisis, Ecopsychiatry, Mental Health, Eco-Anxiety

CITATION

Kacper Trzasański, Katarzyna Oświeczyńska, Aleksandra Sowa, Sebastian Kupisiak, Patrycja Jędrzejewska-Rzezak (2025) Mental Disorders in the Context of Climate Change. Ecopsychiatry as a New Diagnostic and Therapeutic Direction. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3858

COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2025. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction

Current climate change, a significant challenge of the 21st century, exerts a progressively intricate influence on the functioning of individuals, communities, and comprehensive ecological and socio-economic systems [1]. Recent analyses have primarily concentrated on the direct and indirect environmental impacts on somatic health, including respiratory ailments, circulatory system problems, metabolic conditions, and heightened mortality resulting from extreme weather occurrences [2]. Nonetheless, the issue of climate change's effect on mental health and psychosocial functioning in humans is considerably less frequently discussed in scholarly debate.

Climate change results in a heightened frequency and intensity of natural disasters (including fires, floods, hurricanes, and heat waves) and engenders long-term consequences such as climate migration, economic instability, environmental degradation, and the erosion of cultural and social identity [3][4]. These factors present a considerable threat to mental health, exacerbating the prevalence of depressive disorders, anxiety disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), addictions, and emerging forms of psychological distress, including eco-anxiety, solastalgia, and climate grief [5].

In response to the aforementioned issues, a new paradigm in psychiatry is necessary to provide a comprehensive understanding of the link between humans and their natural environment. One solution to this requirement is the emerging trend of ecopsychiatry, an interdisciplinary domain at the intersection of psychiatry, environmental psychology, ecology, and social sciences [6]. Ecopsychiatry claims that an individual's mental state cannot be considered independently of their environmental conditions; thus, effective diagnosis and treatment must consider both individual psychopathological factors and the wider ecological context. Ecopsychiatry seeks to integrate biopsychosocial frameworks with an ecological viewpoint and introduces innovative therapeutic modalities, such as nature-based interventions, environmental mindfulness therapies, and socially engaging activities focused on environmental conservation.

This article aims to conduct a comprehensive examination of the connections between climate change and mental diseases, while introducing ecopsychiatry as a novel and essential avenue for the advancement of modern psychiatric theory. The article delineates the existing understanding of the climate crisis's effects on mental health, examines potential mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of mental disorders related to climatic stressors, and suggests a diagnostic and therapeutic framework applicable in clinical practice and preventive strategies. The article also attempts to outline future research directions and indicate methodological and ethical challenges related to the development of ecopsychiatry as a scientific discipline.

2. Mental effects of climate change

2.1. Climate anxiety as an emerging psychopathological syndrome

Climate anxiety refers to persistent anticipatory distress linked to the threat of climate change and its projected consequences. It arises from the interplay between perceived environmental danger and psychological vulnerability, and may involve dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which regulates hormonal responses to stress [7]. Clinically, it presents with physical symptoms such as increased heart rate, shortness of breath, and abnormal skin sensations; cognitive difficulties including impaired attention and repetitive catastrophic thoughts about environmental collapse; and behavioral responses such as avoiding climate-related media coverage or withdrawing from social engagement due to overwhelming concern [7][8]. Although not formally included in ICD-11 or DSM-5, its clinical picture often overlaps with generalized anxiety disorder, adjustment disorder, depression, and post-traumatic stress symptoms [9].

A global survey of over 10,000 individuals aged 16 to 25 showed that 59% felt very or extremely worried about climate change, and 45% stated that these worries affected their ability to function in daily life, including concentration at school, sleep quality, and interpersonal relationships [10]. Young adults, particularly university students and environmental advocates, are especially vulnerable, often due to heightened awareness of the crisis and limited perceived control over outcomes [10][8]. Low-income and socially marginalized communities face intensified risk, as they are more likely to live in areas exposed to extreme weather events such as floods, droughts, and hurricanes, while lacking access to adaptation resources such as insurance, evacuation infrastructure, or financial support for recovery [7][8]. This combination of high exposure and limited resilience capacity leads to chronic insecurity and psychological distress. Among indigenous populations, climate change threatens cultural identity and traditional livelihoods by disrupting ecosystems vital to food sources, spiritual practices, and land-based knowledge. These losses give rise to ecological grief and ongoing anxiety about cultural survival [8]. Climate migrants and displaced populations—such as those forced to leave regions affected by desertification, rising sea levels, or soil degradation—often face trauma

related to loss of home, livelihood, and social networks. These stressors increase vulnerability to long-term anxiety and depressive disorders [9].

While awareness of climate anxiety is growing, dedicated interventions are still limited. Promising approaches include psychoeducation, resilience-building programs, and climate-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy. Integrating mental health support into climate adaptation policies may mitigate long-term impacts on vulnerable populations[7] [8].

2.2. Solastalgia, Climate Anxiety, and Post-Traumatic Symptoms as Psychological Responses to Environmental Degradation

In parallel with the growing burden of climate-related mental distress, solastalgia has emerged as a distinct construct, defined as a form of chronic psychological suffering caused by the progressive degradation of a familiar landscape or ecosystem in one's place of residence. This phenomenon is associated with the loss of environmental identity and a destabilization of place-based orientation [11]. Solastalgia is particularly relevant in the context of long-term environmental disturbances, such as desertification, water contamination, deforestation, and coastal erosion [8][12].

Populations directly affected by acute climate-related disasters—such as wildfires, floods, or droughts—demonstrate a significantly increased risk of developing post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). One study found that 5.76% of individuals exposed to climate events presented with overlapping symptoms of PTSD and climate-related anxiety (eco-anxiety) [7]. In addition to classical symptoms of anxiety and mood disorders, frequently reported manifestations include disrupted sleep architecture (insomnia, fragmented sleep), impaired concentration, chronic emotional arousal, and pervasive feelings of helplessness in the face of existential environmental threats [7]. Children and adolescents display a heightened sensitivity to climate-related stressors compared to adults. Population-based studies have revealed higher intensity of climate anxiety, greater emotional reactivity, and lower cognitive capacity to process long-term environmental risk among younger individuals [10]. These developmental differences are partially attributable to ongoing maturation of neurobiological structures involved in affect regulation, as well as limited access to adaptive coping strategies. Thus, responses to climate-related stress vary not only according to exposure level but also by developmental stage, neurofunctional status, and individual resilience [12].

2.3. Psychological impact of climate change on youth: cognitive mechanisms, age and gender differences

Climate change has emerged as a substantial psychosocial stressor for young populations. In addition to elevated rates of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and anxiety [13], research indicates widespread cognitive and affective disturbances such as impaired attention, irritability, sleep disruption, and reduced social functioning [7][10]. These outcomes are driven by anticipatory threat processing and limited perceived control. Climate-related anxiety is often maintained by maladaptive cognitive schemas—especially helplessness and guilt—which are reinforced by catastrophic media narratives and high emotional empathy [7][14].

Developmental stage significantly modulates psychological response. In middle childhood (ages 6–12), predominant manifestations include somatic symptoms, sleep disturbances, and increased attachment behaviors toward caregivers, largely due to immature cognitive integration of complex environmental risks [15]. Adolescents more commonly experience existential distress, anhedonia, social withdrawal, and diminished academic motivation [10][15]. While younger teens express generalized concern for planetary health, older adolescents increasingly articulate critical assessments of governmental and institutional responses, often coupled with frustration and mistrust [10][14].

Gender differences have also been well-documented. Females are more likely to exhibit internalizing symptoms—such as anxiety, depressive mood, and somatization—whereas males show more externalizing patterns including irritability and impulsivity [14]. In a multinational survey by Hickman et al. (2021), individuals identifying as female consistently reported higher levels of climate anxiety, perceived powerlessness, and eco-grief across cultural contexts [10].

3. Ecopsychiatry - definition and assumptions

The term “ecopsychiatry” was introduced by the American Psychiatric Association (APA) in the 1970s. The term denotes a field of mental health research that considers the impact of the ecological environment on its development and functioning, that is, the application of ecological ideas to psychiatry. In 1977, the APA formed a working group to study the relationship between mental health and the environment. In the early 1980s, the relationship between seasons and the incidence of depression began to be studied, expanding the knowledge of so-called seasonal depression. Then, in the mid-1990s, ecopsychiatry gained prominence and became more popular. Psychiatrist Ante Lundberg chaired a symposium that addressed the relationship between the environment and mental health at the 1994 APA annual meeting.

Ecosystems are networks of living organisms that are connected within a food chain or community. They operate in a complex hierarchy of patterns and interactive processes, functioning as a self-organizing system. Biotic (living) and abiotic elements interact with each other through biophysical feedback mechanisms. These interactions are key to maintaining biogeochemical cycles on the planet. Ecosystems also provide resources and services that support human society and its well-being, guided by the principles of biodiversity.

Recently, researchers have introduced terms such as climate emotions to describe emotional considerations of global climate change, which are sometimes equated with environmental emotions, also referring to the emotional effects of environmental degradation of another kind, or more generally, eco-emotions. They often include phenomena that are not necessarily “emotions” (i.e., intense feelings), but rather mental states (i.e., long-lasting and relatively stable states of mind that include more than just emotions, such as thoughts and behaviors) and syndromes of mental disorders (i.e., conditions characterized by a group of symptoms), which makes these classifications somewhat complicated and not always easy to compare [16].

Table 1. Mental disorders in a climatic context

Term	Explanation
Environmental Stress	Health and psychological effects resulting from various environmental conditions, such as noise, overcrowding, poor indoor air quality, poor neighborhood conditions, and traffic congestion – not necessarily directly linked to climate change , although they can be intensified by it.
Climate Stress or Climate Distress (eco-distress)	A term preferred by some authors, as people often describe a wide range of painful emotions (not only anxiety), and this label doesn’t carry a pathological tone. These emotions can be seen as largely appropriate emotional reactions connected to pro-environmental beliefs and behaviors.
Eco-guilt and Eco-shame	Guilt and shame are emotions that are “backward-looking” – related to actions that have already occurred. They are common among environmentally engaged individuals. Eco-guilt : arises when people reflect on the harm their behavior has caused to the environment and feel morally responsible. It may lead to self-criticism and a desire to change behavior. Eco-shame : stems from seeing oneself as morally flawed. It can lead to withdrawal, denial, or fear of rejection (e.g., in the context of travel-related emissions).
Climate Change Worry	A cognitive process involving thoughts on climate risks, increasing perceived threat. It includes negative affect, tension, nervousness, irritability, and difficulty staying calm. It is a component of climate-related anxiety , and may concern both current and future environmental threats.
Climate Anxiety or Eco-anxiety	Anxiety related to climate change or environmental degradation – not necessarily from direct experience. It is a “forward-looking” emotion driven by uncertainty, lack of control, helplessness, and unpredictability . It may manifest as frustration, exhaustion, hopelessness, rumination, sleep disturbances, appetite loss, somatic symptoms, and panic. It can lead either to pro-environmental action or to avoidance and withdrawal. This form of anxiety can be seen as “practical anxiety” – signaling a need for adaptive change. People without mental illness may experience it without clinical impairment, while in others it may become intense enough to impact daily functioning.

4. Diagnosis of mental disorders in a climatic context

In the field of public health, “disaster medicine” has now become an important specialty. In addition to significant infrastructural destruction, disasters have both short-term and long-term effects on people's psyches, concerning adaptability, resilience, experiencing grief, survival difficulties and existential challenges, alienation, loss of social support and psychological problems such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [17].

Extensive research has been conducted on the relationship between disasters, both natural and technological, and mental health. It has been found that PTSD most often occurs as an effect, in addition to other mental health problems such as depression, general anxiety or fear. The impact of disasters on mental health varies depending on the number of people affected, the type of disaster, its duration and location. A study of disaster victims between 1980 and 2003 found that in the first year after a disaster, the rate of PTSD among those exposed is 30 to 40 percent, about 10 to 20 percent among rescue workers and 5 to 10 percent in the general population [17].

Given the growing interest in the psychological effects of the climate crisis, new psychometric tools are being researched and validated. Their purpose is to capture new phenomena that are still not well understood. The main goal is to determine the boundary between appropriate human feelings or adaptive emotions and a mental state that can be described as dysfunctional and associated with mental health problems, and whether they ultimately require medical or psychological action. In addition, having a valuable and accurate tool for measuring emotions and syndromes associated with climate change supports researchers and therapists in better defining them, allowing them to distinguish these new phenomena both among themselves and in comparison with known mental disorders. This enables professionals to assess them in a temporal context and measure the impact of therapeutic interventions [16].

Table 2. Diagnostic tests in ecopsychiatry

Test	Description
The Inventory of Climate Emotions (ICE)	A 32-item test measuring emotions related to climate change, such as anger, enthusiasm, anxiety, and sadness.
Climate Change Worry Scale (CCWS)	A 10-item test assessing the level of troubling thoughts about climate change, relevant in the context of anxiety and depression.
Climate Anxiety Scale (CAS)	A 13-item test measuring climate anxiety, including two subscales: functional and cognitive impairment.
Eco-anxiety Scale	A 13-item test evaluating eco-anxiety on four levels: affective symptoms, rumination, behavioral symptoms, and anxiety related to personal environmental impact.
Eco-anxiety Questionnaire (EAQ-22)	A 22-item test assessing eco-anxiety in the context of daily ecological concerns and negative consequences of eco-anxiety.
Eco-guilt Questionnaire (EGQ-11)	An 11-item test examining different forms of eco-guilt (e.g., self-criticism, disappointment in one's actions, guilt over loved ones' behaviors).
Ecological Grief Questionnaire (EGrief-Q)	A 6-item test analyzing the feeling of loss related to changes in nature, both locally and globally.
Environmental Distress Scale (EDS)	An 81-item test assessing six components related to psychological distress caused by environmental degradation.
Eco-solastalgia Scale (SQS)	An 11-item test measuring solastalgia and PTSD symptoms resulting from climate changes.
Climate Change Distress and Impairment Scale (CCDIS)	A 23-item test differentiating the experience of psychological distress (anger, anxiety, sadness) from resulting social and occupational problems.
Disaster Psychological Assessment and Surveillance Toolkit (Disaster-PAST)	A 23-item tool for assessing psychosocial needs after a disaster, supporting the monitoring of long-term psychological effects.

5. Therapeutic approaches in ecopsychiatry

5.1. Environmentally Focused Psychotherapy (“eco-therapy”)

Environmentally focused psychotherapy addresses the needs of patients experiencing psychiatric symptoms directly or indirectly induced by environmental factors such as air pollution or climate-related disasters [18][19]. Studies show that contact with nature through horticultural therapy, forest walks, forest therapy (shinrin-yoku), or therapeutic gardening significantly reduces stress levels, alleviates depressive symptoms, and enhances cognitive functions [18][19]. Mechanisms of action include both direct biological effects, such as reduction of oxidative stress and decreased cortisol secretion, and psychological effects – increased sense of tranquility and connectedness with nature [19].

From a neurobiological perspective, lowering chronic stress related to climate change is crucial for proper brain development and the prevention of chronic mental disorders [20]. Eco-therapy may support emotional self-regulation mechanisms and improve adaptive capacity by reducing isolation and mood disturbances induced by chronic environmental stress [20]. In children and adolescents, particularly in areas with high air pollution, green therapies help mitigate deleterious effects on cognitive development [19]. Beyond direct symptom reduction, green therapies foster a sense of agency and pro-environmental engagement, which underpin psychological resilience in the face of chronic environmental anxiety [20].

5.2. Narrative Therapy and Logotherapy: Reconstructing Meaning in Times of Crisis

In the context of an escalating ecological crisis characterized by uncertainty, a sense of loss, and anxiety about the planet’s future, narrative therapy and logotherapy gain significance as methods to restore psychological well-being [21]. Narrative therapy enables the reconstruction of personal life stories in a way that integrates their relationship with the natural environment, by crafting narratives grounded in human–nature connections. Patients regain a sense of agency and the belief that they can influence their surroundings [21]. The European Psychiatric Association (EPA), in its position statement, recommends incorporating narrative therapeutic approaches into psychiatric practice amid ecological crises due to their potential to reduce depressive symptoms and existential anxiety linked to environmental degradation, and to help individuals find personal meaning and hope [20].

Logotherapy, as an approach focused on meaning-making, assists patients in overcoming so-called eco-grief and solastalgia, which are common among those experiencing the climate crisis [22]. Adaptive coping with loss and suffering fosters the development of “tragic optimism” – the capacity to discover value and purpose even in the face of adversity, which is crucial for mental health in the context of global environmental change [23]. EPA recommendations emphasize the importance of narrative–logotherapeutic interventions in counteracting feelings of meaninglessness and helplessness experienced by individuals affected by the climate crisis [20]. Narrative work enables the transformation of passivity into active engagement, while logotherapy introduces specific techniques such as dereflection (focus on values) and paradoxical intention (redirecting attention away from fear), which support the ability to act despite difficulties and aid in building psychological resilience [20][22].

5.3. Elements of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy and Acceptance

Cognitive-behavioral therapies (CBT) and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) are increasingly implemented in working with individuals suffering from eco-anxiety and related mood disorders [21][24]. Systematic reviews indicate that CBT is among the most extensively studied and recommended approaches for treating anxiety and depressive disorders with an environmental basis [21]. Numerous studies emphasize the role of CBT in identifying and restructuring catastrophic thoughts related to the inevitability of climate change (“the world is heading toward destruction, I have no control”), which exacerbate eco-anxiety and psychological distress [21]. Recent systematic reviews confirm that CBT leads to a significant reduction in anxiety and depressive symptoms associated with the environmental crisis [21]. Additionally, CBT allows for implementation of exposure techniques and cognitive restructuring in areas of environmental phobia, e.g., fear of being outdoors due to concern about climate-related disasters [21].

Another strategy for coping with climate anxiety is Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT), which complements CBT in ecopsychiatry, especially in the context of chronic climate distress that cannot be eliminated due to objective environmental threats [20][24]. ACT focuses on acceptance of difficult emotions and thoughts related to the ecological crisis and on identifying personal values and actions consistent with them, thereby fostering restoration of a sense of meaning and agency [24]. It has been shown that ACT effectively reduces anxiety and depressive symptoms in individuals exposed to chronic environmental stress, improving adaptation

and psychological resilience [24]. ACT components such as cognitive defusion techniques, mindfulness, and building commitment to pro-environmental actions (committed action) serve as effective tools to cope with negative emotions and feelings of helplessness often accompanying climate change [24].

The European Psychiatric Association, in its position statement, recommends integrating elements of CBT and ACT into standard therapeutic programs for patients with psychiatric disorders co-occurring with eco-anxiety and environmental depression, emphasizing the need to tailor interventions to the specifics of local climate crises and cultural narratives about the environment [20].

Studies indicate that interventions integrating ecotherapy, CBT/ACT, and narrative elements in group therapy settings are promising [18][21][25][24]. The “The Work that Reconnects” model combines nature-based relational experiences with group support for engaging in pro-environmental actions [25]. Such groups enable sharing eco-anxiety experiences, fostering a sense of community, and reducing psychological alienation [25].

6. Challenges and Controversies in Ecopsychiatry

Despite growing interest in ecopsychiatry as an emerging paradigm in mental health care, its implementation and development face multiple methodological, ethical, and organizational challenges [8][11]. Precisely defining new psychopathological categories such as eco-anxiety or solastalgia, and distinguishing them from natural, adaptive emotional responses to the climate crisis, remains problematic [11][22]. One major issue is the lack of consistent, unambiguous diagnostic criteria for disorders precipitated by the climate crisis. Literature reviews emphasize that although symptoms of eco-anxiety, eco-grief, or solastalgia are well documented, differentiating them from healthy expressions of environmental concern is difficult and controversial [11][22]. Another challenge is the co-occurrence of climate-related mental health disturbances with other global stressors (e.g., the COVID-19 pandemic, climate-driven migration, economic crises). The overlap of these factors complicates precise estimation of environmental change impacts on mental health and the design of targeted therapeutic interventions [8][26]. There is also a lack of standardized therapeutic protocols in ecopsychiatry: although eco-therapy, CBT/ACT, or logotherapy show promising effects in reducing anxiety and depressive symptoms linked to the climate crisis, randomized controlled trials and long-term follow-up studies confirming their efficacy and safety remain scarce [8][21][24]. Ethical controversies concern the risk of over-medicalizing natural emotional reactions to environmental change. Some authors warn that treating eco-anxiety as a pathology may lead to stigmatization of activists and suppress societal sensitivity to environmental issues [22].

Finally, access to nature-based therapies is often limited in communities with lower socioeconomic status, potentially exacerbating existing health inequities. There is a need to incorporate environmental justice perspectives into the design of support programs [26][27].

7. Discussion

The emergence of ecopsychiatry as a distinct field represents a paradigmatic shift in understanding the relationship between environmental factors and mental health. Our analysis reveals that climate change operates as both a direct and indirect determinant of psychological well-being, creating novel diagnostic challenges that traditional psychiatric frameworks struggle to address adequately.

The bidirectional relationship between climate change and mental health emerges as a central theme in contemporary psychiatric discourse. Direct impacts, including acute stress responses to extreme weather events, demonstrate clear pathophysiological pathways linking environmental disruption to neurobiological dysfunction. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysregulation observed in climate-related trauma mirrors patterns seen in traditional PTSD, yet the chronicity and anticipatory nature of climate anxiety introduce unique temporal dimensions that challenge existing diagnostic criteria. Indirect pathways present even greater complexity, as climate change disrupts social determinants of mental health through mechanisms including displacement, economic instability, and community fragmentation. These cascading effects create what we term “ecological grief” - a distinct form of mourning for environmental losses that transcends traditional conceptualizations of bereavement. The collective nature of this experience distinguishes it from individual pathology, suggesting the need for community-oriented therapeutic interventions.

The concept of solastalgia, introduced by Albrecht, provides a valuable framework for understanding distress related to environmental change in one’s home environment. Our findings suggest that solastalgia represents not merely a symptom but potentially a distinct diagnostic entity requiring specific therapeutic approaches. The phenomenology of solastalgia includes elements of displacement anxiety, identity disruption, and existential distress that warrant dedicated clinical attention.

Vulnerable populations demonstrate differential susceptibility to climate-related mental health impacts, with indigenous communities, children, elderly individuals, and those with pre-existing mental health conditions showing heightened risk profiles. This vulnerability is compounded by structural inequalities that limit access to both environmental protection and mental health services. The intersection of climate justice and mental health equity emerges as a critical consideration for therapeutic intervention design.

Traditional psychiatric diagnostic systems, including the DSM-5-TR and ICD-11, demonstrate significant limitations in capturing climate-related mental health phenomena. The emphasis on individual pathology and discrete diagnostic categories fails to accommodate the collective, chronic, and anticipatory nature of climate-related distress. This diagnostic gap necessitates the development of novel assessment tools and potentially new diagnostic categories specific to climate-related mental health conditions.

Therapeutic approaches within ecopsychiatry show promise but require rigorous empirical validation. Nature-based interventions, including ecotherapy and wilderness therapy, demonstrate preliminary efficacy in addressing climate anxiety and environmental grief. However, the mechanisms underlying these interventions remain poorly understood, limiting their systematic implementation. The integration of traditional ecological knowledge with contemporary psychotherapeutic practices presents opportunities for culturally responsive treatment modalities. Community-based interventions emerge as particularly relevant given the collective nature of climate-related distress. Group therapy models focused on climate concerns, community resilience building, and environmental activism as therapeutic intervention show preliminary promise. These approaches align with ecological systems theory and recognize the interconnectedness of individual and environmental well-being. The role of meaning-making in climate-related mental health presents both therapeutic opportunities and challenges. While environmental activism and sustainable lifestyle changes can serve as effective coping mechanisms, they may also contribute to moral injury when individual actions feel inadequate in the face of systemic environmental challenges. Therapeutic interventions must carefully balance empowerment with realistic acknowledgment of systemic limitations.

Limitations

Several methodological and conceptual limitations constrain the current understanding of ecopsychiatry and climate-related mental health. The relative novelty of this field results in limited longitudinal data, making it challenging to establish causal relationships between climate change and mental health outcomes. Most existing research relies on cross-sectional designs that cannot adequately capture the temporal dynamics of climate-related psychological responses.

Measurement challenges represent a significant limitation in ecopsychiatry research. Existing psychometric instruments were not designed to assess climate-related distress, leading to potential underestimation or mischaracterization of symptoms. The development and validation of climate-specific mental health measures remains in early stages, limiting the reliability and comparability of research findings across studies.

Cultural and geographic biases pervade the current literature, with research predominantly conducted in Western, developed nations. This bias limits the generalizability of findings to populations experiencing the most severe climate impacts, particularly those in developing countries and indigenous communities. The underrepresentation of diverse cultural perspectives constrains the development of culturally responsive therapeutic interventions.

The complexity of climate change as an exposure variable presents methodological challenges for research design. Unlike discrete traumatic events, climate change involves multiple, interacting exposures over extended timeframes. This complexity makes it difficult to isolate specific climate-related factors contributing to mental health outcomes, potentially confounding research findings.

Diagnostic ambiguity represents a fundamental limitation in the field. The absence of established diagnostic criteria for climate-related mental health conditions creates challenges for both research and clinical practice. This ambiguity may lead to underdiagnosis, misdiagnosis, or inappropriate treatment selection, potentially compromising patient outcomes.

The interdisciplinary nature of ecopsychiatry, while a strength, also presents coordination challenges. Effective research and treatment require collaboration across psychology, psychiatry, environmental science, public health, and social policy domains. The lack of established frameworks for interdisciplinary collaboration may impede progress in the field.

Ethical considerations in ecopsychiatry research present unique challenges. The study of climate-related distress may inadvertently exacerbate anxiety or hopelessness in research participants. Additionally, the

urgency of climate action may create pressure to prematurely implement interventions without adequate empirical validation.

Funding limitations constrain research capacity in ecopsychiatry. Traditional mental health research funding mechanisms may not accommodate the interdisciplinary nature of climate-related mental health research, potentially limiting the scope and scale of investigation possible in this emerging field.

Clinical Implications

The integration of ecopsychiatry principles into clinical practice requires fundamental reconceptualization of assessment, diagnosis, and treatment approaches. Clinicians must develop competency in recognizing climate-related mental health presentations, which may manifest as anxiety, depression, grief, or trauma responses with specific environmental triggers and content.

Assessment protocols should incorporate systematic evaluation of climate-related concerns, environmental exposures, and ecological identity. This includes developing intake procedures that explore patients' relationships with natural environments, experiences of environmental change, and concerns about climate change. Standardized assessment tools specific to climate-related distress are needed to support accurate diagnosis and treatment planning.

Differential diagnosis becomes particularly complex when distinguishing between climate-related mental health conditions and traditional psychiatric disorders. Clinicians must consider environmental factors as both precipitants and maintaining factors in mental health presentations. The temporal relationship between environmental events and symptom onset provides important diagnostic information that may be overlooked in traditional psychiatric assessment.

Treatment planning in ecopsychiatry requires integration of individual and environmental interventions. This may involve combining traditional psychotherapy with nature-based interventions, environmental education, and community engagement activities. The selection of appropriate interventions should consider patient preferences, cultural background, and environmental context. Therapeutic alliance formation may require special consideration in ecopsychiatry, as clinicians must demonstrate understanding of environmental concerns while maintaining appropriate boundaries regarding political activism. The integration of environmental awareness into therapeutic relationships without compromising professional neutrality presents ongoing challenges for clinical practice. Crisis intervention protocols must account for climate-related mental health emergencies, including acute responses to environmental disasters and severe climate anxiety. Emergency departments and crisis services need training to recognize and respond appropriately to climate-related mental health presentations. Medication management in ecopsychiatry may involve consideration of environmental factors affecting treatment response. Climate change impacts on air quality, temperature extremes, and seasonal patterns may influence psychopharmacological treatment effectiveness and side effect profiles.

Coordination with environmental and public health services becomes essential for comprehensive care. Mental health clinicians may need to collaborate with environmental health specialists, emergency management personnel, and community organizations to address the multifaceted nature of climate-related mental health concerns.

Training and supervision requirements for clinicians working in ecopsychiatry include environmental health literacy, understanding of climate science, and familiarity with nature-based interventions. Continuing education programs must address the evolving understanding of climate-related mental health phenomena.

Ethical considerations in clinical practice include informed consent regarding environmental interventions, boundary management when addressing systemic environmental issues, and cultural sensitivity when working with communities experiencing environmental injustice.

Future Directions

The development of ecopsychiatry as a distinct clinical and research discipline requires coordinated efforts across multiple domains. Priority areas for future research include longitudinal studies examining the relationship between climate change exposure and mental health outcomes over extended timeframes. These studies should employ prospective designs with repeated measures to capture the dynamic nature of climate-related psychological responses. Intervention research represents a critical priority, with emphasis on developing and testing novel therapeutic approaches specifically designed for climate-related mental health conditions. Randomized controlled trials of nature-based interventions, climate-focused psychotherapy protocols, and community-based treatment models are needed to establish evidence-based practice guidelines.

The development of diagnostic criteria for climate-related mental health conditions requires extensive phenomenological research and expert consensus processes. This work should involve international collaboration to ensure cultural validity and global applicability of proposed diagnostic categories. Technology integration offers promising avenues for expanding access to ecopsychiatry interventions. Digital mental health platforms incorporating environmental monitoring, nature-based virtual reality experiences, and climate-focused cognitive behavioral therapy modules could increase treatment accessibility while reducing environmental impact of service delivery. Prevention and early intervention research should focus on identifying risk and protective factors for climate-related mental health conditions. This includes investigating the role of environmental education, community resilience programs, and early childhood nature exposure in preventing climate-related psychological distress. Collaborative research partnerships between mental health professionals, environmental scientists, and community organizations are essential for advancing the field. These partnerships should prioritize community-based participatory research approaches that center the voices and experiences of those most affected by climate change. Policy research examining the intersection of climate policy and mental health outcomes could inform both environmental and health system responses to climate change. This includes investigating the mental health impacts of different climate mitigation and adaptation strategies. Training and education research should evaluate the effectiveness of different approaches to developing clinical competency in ecopsychiatry. This includes examining optimal curricula for mental health professional training programs and continuing education requirements for practicing clinicians. Global health research examining climate-related mental health in diverse cultural and geographic contexts is essential for developing culturally responsive interventions. This research should prioritize collaborations with researchers and communities in regions experiencing severe climate impacts. Biomarker research investigating neurobiological correlates of climate-related mental health conditions could advance understanding of underlying mechanisms and support development of novel therapeutic targets. This includes examining stress biomarkers, neuroimaging findings, and genetic factors associated with climate-related psychological responses.

Health services research examining optimal models for integrating ecopsychiatry into existing mental health systems could inform policy and practice decisions. This includes investigating cost-effectiveness, workforce requirements, and organizational factors supporting successful implementation.

Conclusions

Ecopsychiatry emerges as a necessary and timely response to the growing mental health implications of climate change. The convergence of environmental degradation and psychological distress creates novel clinical phenomena that challenge traditional psychiatric paradigms and demand innovative diagnostic and therapeutic approaches.

The evidence clearly demonstrates that climate change operates as a significant determinant of mental health through both direct and indirect pathways. Direct impacts include acute stress responses to extreme weather events, while indirect effects encompass the broader social and economic disruptions associated with environmental change. These impacts are not uniformly distributed, with vulnerable populations bearing disproportionate psychological burdens that intersect with existing health disparities. The conceptual framework of ecopsychiatry provides valuable structure for understanding and addressing climate-related mental health conditions. The recognition of phenomena such as solastalgia, ecological grief, and climate anxiety as distinct clinical entities requiring specialized intervention represents a significant advancement in psychiatric nosology. However, the integration of these concepts into established diagnostic systems remains incomplete and requires ongoing refinement.

Therapeutic approaches within ecopsychiatry show promise but require rigorous empirical validation. Nature-based interventions, community-focused treatment models, and climate-specific psychotherapy protocols demonstrate preliminary efficacy but need systematic evaluation through controlled trials. The integration of individual therapy with environmental action and community engagement appears particularly relevant given the collective nature of climate-related distress.

The clinical implications of ecopsychiatry extend beyond individual treatment to encompass training, service delivery, and health system organization. Mental health professionals require new competencies in environmental health literacy, nature-based interventions, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Health systems must adapt to accommodate the unique characteristics of climate-related mental health conditions and the need for environmental integration in treatment planning.

Significant limitations constrain current understanding of ecopsychiatry, including methodological challenges, measurement limitations, and cultural biases in existing research. The relative novelty of the field necessitates caution in drawing definitive conclusions while recognizing the urgency of addressing climate-related mental health needs.

Future directions for ecopsychiatry must prioritize rigorous research, including longitudinal studies, intervention trials, and diagnostic validation research. The development of culturally responsive, evidence-based interventions requires international collaboration and community engagement. Technology integration and health services research could support scalable implementation of ecopsychiatry principles across diverse settings.

The emergence of ecopsychiatry reflects the broader recognition that human health and environmental health are inextricably linked. As climate change continues to accelerate, the mental health implications will likely intensify, making the development of effective ecopsychiatric approaches increasingly urgent. The integration of environmental considerations into mental health practice represents not merely an expansion of clinical scope but a fundamental reorientation toward understanding human psychological well-being within ecological contexts.

Ultimately, ecopsychiatry offers both a framework for understanding climate-related mental health phenomena and a pathway toward therapeutic intervention. While significant work remains to establish the empirical foundation for this emerging field, the convergent evidence suggests that ecopsychiatry will play an increasingly important role in addressing the mental health challenges of the climate crisis. The success of this endeavor will require sustained commitment to interdisciplinary collaboration, cultural responsiveness, and the integration of individual healing with environmental restoration.

Disclosure

Author's contribution: Aleksandra Sowa, Kacper Trzasański, Conceptualisation: Patrycja Jędrzejewska-Rzezak, Katarzyna Oświeczyńska; Methodology: Sebastian Kupisiak, Katarzyna Oświeczyńska; Software: Patrycja Jędrzejewska-Rzezak, Sebastian Kupisiak; Check: Katarzyna Oświeczyńska; Formal: Patrycja Jędrzejewska-Rzezak; Investigation: Aleksandra Sowa; Resources: Kacper Trzasański, Patrycja Jędrzejewska-Rzezak; Data curation: Katarzyna Oświeczyńska, Patrycja Jędrzejewska-Rzezak; Writing-Rough Preparation: Aleksandra Sowa, Kacper Trzasański; Writing-Review and Editing: Sebastian Kupisiak, Aleksandra Sowa; Visualisation: Kacper Trzasański, Sebastian Kupisiak; Supervision: Sebastian Kupisiak, Aleksandra Sowa; Project Administration: Kacper Trzasański, Katarzyna Oświeczyńska

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding statement: The study did not receive special funding.

Institutional review board statement: Not applicable.

Informed consent statement: Not applicable.

Data availability statement: Not applicable.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). *Climate Change 2022 – Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press; 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844>.
2. Rocque RJ, Beaudoin C, Ndjaboue R, Cameron L, Poirier-Bergeron L, Poulin-Rheault RA, et al. Health effects of climate change: An overview of systematic reviews. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-046333>.
3. Rodell M, Li B. Changing intensity of hydroclimatic extreme events revealed by GRACE and GRACE-FO. *Nature Water*. 2023;1:241–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44221-023-00040-5>.
4. Radua J, De Prisco M, Oliva V, Fico G, Vieta E, Fusar-Poli P. Impact of air pollution and climate change on mental health outcomes: an umbrella review of global evidence. *World Psychiatry*. 2024;23:244–56. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21219>.
5. Cosh SM, Ryan R, Fallander K, Robinson K, Tognela J, Tully PJ, et al. The relationship between climate change and mental health: a systematic review of the association between eco-anxiety, psychological distress, and symptoms of major affective disorders. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2024;24:833. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-024-06274-1>.

6. Chowdhury A. Chapter-52 Eco-psychiatry: Culture, Mental Health and Ecology with Special Reference to India. In: *Community Mental Health in India*. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd.; 2012. p. 522–42. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp/books/11688_52.
7. Clayton S. Climate anxiety: Psychological responses to climate change. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2020;74:102263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102263>.
8. Cunsolo A, Ellis NR. Ecological grief as a mental health response to climate change-related loss. *Nat Clim Chang*. 2018;8:275–81. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0092-2>.
9. Hayes K, Blashki G, Wiseman J, Burke S, Reifels L. Climate change and mental health: risks, impacts and priority actions. *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2018;12:28. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-018-0210-6>.
10. Hickman C, Marks E, Pihkala P, Clayton S, Lewandowski RE, Mayall EE, et al. Climate anxiety in children and young people and their beliefs about government responses to climate change: a global survey. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2021;5:e863–73. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(21\)00278-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00278-3).
11. Albrecht G, Sartore G-M, Connor L, Higginbotham N, Freeman S, Kelly B, et al. Solastalgia: The Distress Caused by Environmental Change. *Australasian Psychiatry*. 2007;15:S95–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10398560701701288>.
12. Pihkala P. Eco-Anxiety and Environmental Education. *Sustainability*. 2020;12:10149. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122310149>.
13. Cosh SM, Ryan R, Fallander K, Robinson K, Tognela J, Tully PJ, et al. The relationship between climate change and mental health: a systematic review of the association between eco-anxiety, psychological distress, and symptoms of major affective disorders. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2024;24:833. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-024-06274-1>.
14. Searle K, Gow K. Do concerns about climate change lead to distress? *Int J Clim Chang Strateg Manag*. 2010;2:362–79. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17568691011089891>.
15. Ojala M. How do children cope with global climate change? Coping strategies, engagement, and well-being. *J Environ Psychol*. 2012;32:225–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2012.02.004>.
16. Cianconi P, Hanife B, Grillo F, Betro' S, Lesmana CBJ, Janiri L. Eco-emotions and Psychoterratic Syndromes: Reshaping Mental Health Assessment Under Climate Change. *Yale J Biol Med*. 2023;96:211–26. <https://doi.org/10.59249/EARX2427>.
17. Chowdhury A. Chapter-52 Eco-psychiatry: Culture, Mental Health and Ecology with Special Reference to India. In: *Community Mental Health in India*. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd.; 2012. p. 522–42. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp/books/11688_52.
18. Siah CJR, Goh YS, Lee J, Poon SN, Ow Yong JQY, Tam WW. The effects of forest bathing on psychological well-being: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Ment Health Nurs*. 2023;32:1038–54. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.13131>.
19. Krala-Szkaradowska M, Stencel NJ, Skrzypczak KO, Stuczyński SK, Konczewska L. Effect of forest bathing ('shinrin-yoku') on human health – a literature review. *Environmental Medicine*. 2024;27:12–7. <https://doi.org/10.26444/ms/187812>.
20. Brandt L, Adorjan K, Cathoor K, Chkonia E, Falkai P, Fiorillo A, et al. Climate change and mental health: Position paper of the European Psychiatric Association. *European Psychiatry*. 2024;67:e41. <https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.1754>.
21. Boehme BAE, Kinsman LM, Norrie HJ, Tessier ED, Fleming SW, Asmundson GJG. Climate Anxiety: Current Evidence and Future Directions. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2024;26:670–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-024-01538-9>.
22. Bhullar N, Davis M, Kumar R, Nunn P, Rickwood D. Climate anxiety does not need a diagnosis of a mental health disorder. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2022;6:e383. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(22\)00072-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00072-9).
23. Pearson H. The rise of eco-anxiety: scientists wake up to the mental-health toll of climate change. *Nature*. 2024;628:256–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-00998-6>.
24. Bellehumeur CR, Carignan L-M, Robinson N. Acceptance and commitment therapy to alleviate climate-induced psychological distress. *Br J Guid Counc*. 2024;1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069885.2024.2384745>.
25. Betro' S. From eco-anxiety to eco-hope: surviving the climate change threat. *Front Psychiatry*. 2024;15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2024.1429571>.
26. Smith KR, Woodward A, Campbell-Lendrum D, Chadee Trinidad DD, Honda Y, Liu Q, et al. 1 Human Health: Impacts, Adaptation, and Co-Benefits Coordinating Lead Authors: Lead Authors: Contributing Authors. n.d.
27. Patel V, Saxena S, Lund C, Thornicroft G, Baingana F, Bolton P, et al. The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *The Lancet*. 2018;392:1553–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31612-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X).