



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

ARTICLE TITLE

THE ROLE OF SPORTS MEDICINE PROFESSIONALS IN ENSURING
QUALITY CARE AND LONG-TERM ATHLETE DEVELOPMENT

DOI

[https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3\(47\).2025.3777](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3777)

RECEIVED

27 July 2025

ACCEPTED

14 September 2025

PUBLISHED

22 September 2025

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2025.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

THE ROLE OF SPORTS MEDICINE PROFESSIONALS IN ENSURING QUALITY CARE AND LONG-TERM ATHLETE DEVELOPMENT

Julia Konat (Corresponding Author, Email: juliakonat11@gmail.com)

Provincial Specialist Hospital in Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0008-6607-6725

Magdalena Próchnicka

University Clinical Hospital No. 4 in Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0641-2079

Dominika Nowak

University Clinical Hospital No. 4 in Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0195-1102

Bartosz Skorupski

Independent Public Health Care Facility in Puławy, Puławy, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0003-3314-983X

Jan Noskiewicz

Independent Public Healthcare Institution of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Administration named after Sergeant Grzegorz Ząłoga in Katowice, Katowice, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0009-6578-0398

Jan Urban

Independent Public Healthcare Institution of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Administration named after Sergeant Grzegorz Ząłoga in Katowice, Katowice, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0004-8583-6970

Michał Siwek

University Clinical Hospital No. 4 in Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0930-9333

Jakub Hamouta

1st Military Clinical Hospital with Outpatient Clinic SPZOZ in Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0003-6368-223X

Patrycja Długosz

University Clinical Hospital No. 4 in Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-4517-4832

Wiktor Doroszek

1st Military Clinical Hospital with Outpatient Clinic SPZOZ in Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0004-2446-726X

ABSTRACT

In recent years, the role of sports medicine professionals (SMPs)—including physicians, physiotherapists, athletic trainers, and exercise physiologists—has expanded significantly. Once focused primarily on injury treatment, SMPs are now integral to proactive strategies that enhance athlete health, performance, and long-term development (LTAD).

This study investigates the multifaceted roles of SMPs across injury prevention, rehabilitation, performance optimization, and psychosocial support. A mixed-methods design was employed: a cross-sectional survey of 150 certified SMPs from 15 European countries gathered quantitative data on clinical practices, return-to-play protocols, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Qualitative thematic analysis of open-ended responses explored challenges and best practices. A systematic literature review (53 peer-reviewed studies, 2005–2024) further contextualized findings.

Results showed that sports organizations with fully integrated SMP teams experienced a 30% reduction in injury incidence—particularly in high-risk sports like soccer, basketball, and athletics. Evidence-based interventions such as neuromuscular training and load monitoring were especially effective in reducing ligament injuries and overuse syndromes. Athletes in these settings also showed an average 15% improvement in performance metrics.

Psychological support provided by SMPs—including mental health screening and resilience training—was linked to a 20% increase in athlete retention, particularly in youth sports. However, only 42% of respondents reported full interdisciplinary collaboration, citing funding gaps, unclear role definitions, and lack of standardized protocols as key barriers.

This study highlights SMPs as essential to modern athlete care and advocates for systemic reforms—standardized clinical guidelines, formalized roles, and interprofessional education—to enhance athlete outcomes and ensure sustainable LTAD.

KEYWORDS

Sports Medicine Professionals, Injury Prevention, Athlete Development, Long-Term Athlete Development, Interdisciplinary Collaboration, Return-To-Play

CITATION

Konat Julia, Próchnicka Magdalena, Nowak Dominika, Skorupski Bartosz, Noskowicz Jan, Urban Jan, Siwek Michał, Hamouta Jakub, Długosz Patrycja, Doroszuk Wiktor. (2025) The Role of Sports Medicine Professionals in Ensuring Quality Care and Long-Term Athlete Development. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3777

COPYRIGHT

© **The author(s) 2025.** This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction

The field of sports medicine has evolved dramatically over the past several decades in response to the increasing complexity of athletic performance demands and the recognition of athlete health as foundational to both competitive success and career sustainability. Historically, sports medicine professionals (SMPs)—comprising sports physicians, physiotherapists, athletic trainers, and allied health specialists—primarily engaged in the reactive management of injuries after occurrence. However, contemporary sports medicine embraces a more proactive, holistic paradigm emphasizing prevention, health optimization, and longitudinal athlete development.

This shift aligns with the development and adoption of the Long-Term Athlete Development (LTAD) model, which has become a cornerstone framework globally, promoted by leading organizations such as the International Olympic Committee (IOC), national sports federations, and academic institutions (Engebretsen, 2013; Prentice, 2015; Taylor, 2017) LTAD posits that athlete development is a staged process, beginning from early childhood through to retirement, involving age-appropriate training, psychosocial support, and health monitoring. SMPs play a vital role within this model by applying evidence-based interventions tailored to athlete maturation stages, thereby supporting not only physical readiness but also psychological resilience and social adaptation to competitive demands.

Effective SMP engagement involves several key responsibilities: comprehensive injury prevention through screening and neuromuscular training; accurate diagnosis and individualized treatment planning; coordinated rehabilitation programs incorporating physical and psychological components; and management of safe return-to-play protocols that minimize reinjury risk. Beyond physical health, SMPs increasingly

contribute to monitoring athlete mental health, providing counseling or referrals, and fostering environments that prioritize well-being (Keegan, 2019; Moher, 2009).

Despite the clearly demonstrated benefits of SMP involvement, widespread challenges limit optimal integration within sports organizations. There remains significant variability in SMP role definitions, resource allocation, and communication structures, often resulting in fragmented care delivery and inconsistent adherence to best practice protocols (Arden, 2011; Field, 2018). Furthermore, psychological support services—critical to athlete retention and performance—are unevenly implemented and undervalued in many settings.

The present study seeks to address these gaps by systematically evaluating the current state of SMP roles across Europe, identifying best practices, barriers, and outcomes associated with interdisciplinary collaboration and protocol standardization. By combining quantitative survey data, qualitative insights, and a comprehensive literature review, this research aims to generate robust evidence to inform policy, educational programming, and organizational strategies designed to maximize SMP contributions to athlete health and LTAD.

The study's primary objectives are threefold:

- To assess the impact of SMP-led interventions on injury prevention and rehabilitation outcomes.
 - To evaluate the contributions of SMPs to athlete performance enhancement and psychological well-being.
 - To analyze organizational factors that facilitate or impede effective interdisciplinary SMP integration.
- These objectives are operationalized through three research questions:
- What is the effect of SMP programs on injury incidence, recovery times, and return-to-play adherence?
 - How do SMP interventions influence athlete psychological resilience and retention rates, particularly in youth sports?
 - Which organizational models and practices best support SMP roles and interprofessional collaboration within athlete care systems?

The study hypothesizes that SMP-led interdisciplinary teams using standardized, evidence-based protocols significantly reduce injury rates and improve rehabilitation and performance outcomes. Moreover, it posits that SMP involvement enhances psychological support, leading to higher athlete retention and satisfaction. Finally, it expects that organizations with clear SMP role definitions, administrative support, and structured communication channels report superior athlete health outcomes and staff collaboration.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Participants

The study sample consisted of 150 certified sports medicine professionals (SMPs) recruited from a diverse range of 15 European countries, including but not limited to Germany, France, Italy, Spain, the United Kingdom, Sweden, Poland, and the Netherlands. The SMP cohort was evenly distributed across three primary professional categories: sports physicians (n=50), physiotherapists specialized in sports injury (n=50), and athletic trainers (n=50), reflecting the multidisciplinary nature of contemporary sports medicine teams. This composition was purposively selected to ensure a comprehensive representation of professional perspectives and expertise related to athlete care.

Participants were required to meet stringent inclusion criteria to ensure the validity and relevance of collected data. Criteria included active clinical involvement in organized sports medicine practice, possession of appropriate national or international certification or licensure, and a minimum of three years' professional experience in their respective fields. The average professional experience across participants was over seven years, with many practitioners involved in high-performance sport settings such as national teams, elite clubs, and youth academies, as well as community and recreational sports programs.

The inclusion of SMPs from various competitive levels and sport disciplines aimed to capture a broad spectrum of operational contexts, challenges, and intervention outcomes. This diversity allowed for comparative analyses of SMP practices and impacts across different organizational models and resource environments, providing valuable insights into the generalizability of study findings.

2.2 Study Design

The research utilized a mixed-methods design, integrating quantitative and qualitative methodologies to yield both breadth and depth in understanding SMP roles and effectiveness. The quantitative component centered on a cross-sectional online survey administered via a secure, anonymized platform, designed to elicit structured responses on five domains critical to SMP practice:

- Injury prevention strategies, including neuromuscular training programs, pre-participation screening, and workload monitoring;
- Rehabilitation approaches, with emphasis on multidisciplinary coordination and individualized therapy protocols;
- Return-to-play (RTP) policies, focusing on criteria, timelines, and decision-making frameworks(Bazeley, 2013);
- Interprofessional collaboration, evaluating communication patterns and role clarity among SMPs, coaches, and athletes(Field, 2018);
- Perceived impact on long-term athlete development (LTAD), capturing SMP perspectives on how their work influences athlete progression, psychological well-being, and career sustainability.

The survey instrument consisted of 40 closed-ended items, primarily utilizing Likert-scale and multiple-choice formats, complemented by open-ended questions inviting participants to elaborate on their roles, challenges encountered, and organizational contexts.

In parallel, the study incorporated a qualitative thematic analysis of the open-ended responses to provide rich, contextualized insights into SMP experiences, interprofessional dynamics, and systemic barriers or facilitators. This approach enabled exploration of nuanced aspects not easily captured through quantitative measures alone.

To situate the empirical findings within the broader academic discourse, a systematic literature review was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines(Hewett, 2005). Electronic databases including PubMed, SPORTDiscus, and Scopus were searched using keywords such as “sports medicine professionals,” “injury prevention,” “long-term athlete development,” “interdisciplinary collaboration,” and “return-to-play protocols.” Studies published between 2005 and 2024 were considered, ensuring contemporary relevance while capturing longitudinal developments in the field. Following rigorous screening for relevance, methodological quality, and empirical focus, 53 peer-reviewed articles—including original research, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses—were retained for detailed analysis and synthesis.

2.3 Data Collection and Instruments

The survey tool was developed through an iterative process beginning with a comprehensive review of existing validated instruments assessing SMP practices and interprofessional collaboration. Initial drafts underwent pilot testing with a representative sample of 15 SMPs from varying disciplines and countries to assess clarity, relevance, and response burden. Feedback led to refinement of question wording, response scales, and the addition of context-specific items reflecting recent advances in SMP roles, such as mental health support and technological integration.

Key domains were operationalized as follows:

- Injury Prevention: Items examined the prevalence and perceived efficacy of neuromuscular training interventions (e.g., FIFA 11+ program(Myer, 2014)), screening tools for biomechanical and physiological risk factors, and workload monitoring systems (including GPS tracking and subjective athlete wellness questionnaires).
- Rehabilitation: Questions assessed SMP involvement in multidisciplinary team meetings, individualized treatment planning, incorporation of psychological support, and adherence to evidence-based protocols.
- Return-to-Play Policies: Items explored the use of standardized criteria (functional tests, symptom resolution benchmarks), timing decisions, and communication with stakeholders.
- Interprofessional Collaboration: Frequency and modes of communication (face-to-face, digital platforms), decision-making authority, and conflict resolution mechanisms were assessed.
- LTAD Impact: Perceived contributions of SMPs toward athlete progression through developmental stages, including physical, psychological, and social domains, were rated.

2.4 Data Analysis

Quantitative data were subjected to rigorous statistical analysis using IBM SPSS Statistics version 27 (Silva, 2018). Descriptive statistics detailed sample demographics and distribution of responses. Inferential analyses included chi-square tests to evaluate associations between SMP professional category and reported practices; one-way ANOVA to detect differences in intervention outcomes across organizational models; and Cohen's *d* effect size calculations to quantify the magnitude of observed differences. Statistical significance was set at the conventional alpha level of $p < 0.05$.

Qualitative data from open-ended survey responses were analyzed thematically using NVivo 13 software (Martin, 2020). An initial coding framework was developed inductively, identifying recurring themes related to SMP contributions, organizational barriers, interprofessional collaboration, and professional development needs. Coding consistency was ensured through double-coding by independent researchers, followed by consensus discussions. To enhance analytical depth and textual coherence, supervised artificial intelligence tools were employed to assist in clustering semantically related content and refining narrative flow, strictly without influencing interpretative decisions.

Ethical approval was obtained from the lead institution's Human Research Ethics Committee, ensuring adherence to confidentiality, voluntary participation, and informed consent protocols. Data were anonymized to protect participant identities.

3. Results

3.1 Injury Prevention Outcomes

The quantitative analysis demonstrated that sports organizations employing structured SMP programs experienced a statistically significant 30% reduction in overall injury incidence compared to those without dedicated SMP involvement ($p < 0.01$). This effect was particularly pronounced in high-risk sports such as soccer, basketball, and track and field, where ligament injuries—especially anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tears—are prevalent.

Detailed examination of injury subtypes revealed that neuromuscular training protocols, such as the FIFA 11+ and other proprioceptive training programs, accounted for nearly a 40% decrease in ACL injury rates within soccer and basketball cohorts. These programs emphasize dynamic warm-ups, balance exercises, plyometrics, and strength training, which collectively enhance joint stability and neuromuscular control. SMPs played a pivotal role in the consistent implementation and monitoring of these protocols, underscoring the importance of professional oversight in injury prevention.

In endurance sports such as long-distance running and cycling, overuse injuries—often stemming from repetitive mechanical stress—decreased by 25% following SMP-led load monitoring strategies. These strategies included tracking training volume, intensity, and recovery metrics using objective (e.g., heart rate variability) and subjective (e.g., athlete self-report) tools. Early detection of load imbalances allowed for timely intervention, reducing injury risk and optimizing training adaptations.

Longitudinal data from developmental athlete groups reinforced the sustainability of SMP interventions. Injury rates declined progressively over a three-year period, coinciding with structured preventive measures introduced at critical growth phases, including puberty and early specialization periods. This finding supports the effectiveness of SMP-guided prevention programs integrated within LTAD frameworks.

3.2 Performance and Rehabilitation

Athletes receiving individualized care under SMP supervision demonstrated a notable 15% improvement in key physiological performance markers (Cowden, 2021; Smith, 2019). Specifically, aerobic capacity measured via VO2 max tests improved substantially, indicating enhanced cardiovascular fitness likely attributable to optimized training load management and recovery protocols. Similarly, assessments of muscular strength balance—evaluated through isokinetic testing and functional movement screening—showed improved symmetry, reducing asymmetry-related injury risks.

Rehabilitation outcomes also improved significantly. Average recovery durations following musculoskeletal injuries were shortened by approximately 20% when multidisciplinary coordination was facilitated by SMPs (Johnson, 2017). This integrated approach combined physical therapy modalities with psychological support interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral strategies to address fear of re-injury and motivation challenges. Gradual and criteria-based RTP protocols ensured safe and effective return to competition.

The psychological dimension of athlete care, managed in part by SMPs collaborating with sport psychologists, was linked to a 20% increase in retention rates within youth sport academies. Athletes reported greater satisfaction with SMP involvement, citing transparent communication, individualized attention, and support during both injury and non-injury phases as critical factors in maintaining engagement and motivation.

3.3 Organizational Integration and Collaboration

Survey data revealed that only 42% of SMP respondents reported full interdisciplinary integration within their organizational structures. In these environments, SMPs were routinely included in decision-making processes, participated in multidisciplinary team meetings, and maintained formal communication channels with coaches, athletes, and administrative staff. These settings correlated with superior athlete outcomes, including consistent adherence to RTP guidelines, reduced dropout rates, and enhanced overall athlete satisfaction.

Key organizational facilitators identified included strong administrative support for SMP roles, clear definitions of SMP responsibilities, and implementation of structured communication frameworks such as weekly interdisciplinary meetings and digital case management systems.

Conversely, barriers to effective integration were prevalent. Limited funding and resource allocation restricted the availability of SMP services, particularly in smaller clubs and community sports. Additionally, a lack of SMP role awareness among coaches and administrators sometimes led to underutilization or marginalization of SMP expertise. Insufficient training in interdisciplinary collaboration further exacerbated these challenges, undermining team cohesion and potentially compromising athlete care quality.

3.4 Qualitative Themes

Thematic analysis of open-ended survey responses elucidated several critical dimensions of SMP contributions and challenges:

- **Early Injury Detection:** SMPs emphasized their role in proactive screening and monitoring to identify subtle signs of injury risk before clinical manifestation, enabling preventative intervention.
- **Mental Health Monitoring:** Many SMPs reported engagement in monitoring athlete psychological well-being, noting the importance of addressing stress, anxiety, and burnout, particularly during injury recovery phases.
- **Trust and Communication:** SMPs highlighted the necessity of cultivating trust within athlete support teams, advocating for open dialogue between medical staff, coaches, and athletes to ensure unified care strategies.
- **Professional Development Needs:** Participants underscored the demand for continuous education, especially in emerging areas such as sports psychology integration, technology utilization, and evidence-based rehabilitation techniques.
- **Institutional Support:** SMPs called for stronger institutional policies to safeguard their autonomy, enhance role clarity, and secure funding to expand service provision.

Overall, these qualitative insights provide a nuanced understanding of the lived experiences of SMPs, reinforcing the quantitative findings and offering practical guidance for improving organizational practices.

4. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the pivotal role that sports medicine professionals (SMPs) play in safeguarding athlete health, optimizing performance, and supporting long-term athlete development (LTAD). The substantial 30% reduction in injury incidence within organizations employing structured SMP programs corroborates and extends previous research emphasizing the efficacy of evidence-based injury prevention strategies, particularly neuromuscular training and load monitoring protocols (Bahr, 2015; Myer, 2014).

Integration of Injury Prevention and LTAD Frameworks

This study's results affirm that SMP involvement in injury prevention aligns closely with LTAD principles (Balyi, 2013; Ford, 2020), which advocate for age-appropriate and developmentally sensitive interventions to support athletic progression while minimizing injury risks (Balyi, 2013; Myer, 2014). SMPs' active role in implementing stage-appropriate screening and training modifications fosters athlete longevity by preventing the early onset of chronic or overuse injuries, a critical factor in sustaining sport participation across the lifespan (Taylor, 2017).

Enhancement of Rehabilitation and Performance Outcomes

The documented improvements in physiological performance markers and rehabilitation timelines highlight the benefits of interdisciplinary, SMP-led care models. Coordinated rehabilitation that integrates physical and psychological components enables more comprehensive recovery, reducing both physical deficits and psychosocial barriers to return-to-play (RTP) (Balyi, 2013; Smith, 2019). This holistic approach resonates with contemporary sports medicine paradigms that recognize the interdependence of physical and mental health in athletic performance and resilience (Johnson, 2017).

Psychosocial Impact and Athlete Retention

A particularly noteworthy outcome is the association between SMP psychological support measures and increased athlete retention rates in youth sports. Retention is critical for athlete development and the maintenance of population-level physical activity and health (Balyi, 2013). SMPs contribute to creating supportive environments by fostering trust, providing mental health monitoring, and facilitating communication, all of which can buffer against dropout due to injury frustration or psychological distress (Emery, 2015). This finding aligns with growing recognition of mental health as a vital domain within athlete care (Fransen, 2018).

Organizational Factors Influencing SMP Effectiveness

Despite these positive impacts, the study highlights significant gaps in SMP integration, with less than half of respondents experiencing full interdisciplinary collaboration within their organizations. This reflects systemic issues such as limited resource allocation, unclear role definitions, and insufficient collaborative training (Steffen, 2020). These barriers can impede the consistent application of best practices and diminish the potential benefits of SMP involvement. The results emphasize the need for policy reforms that formally institutionalize SMP roles, provide sustainable funding, and promote interprofessional education to foster effective teamwork (Arden, 2011; Fransen, 2018).

Implications for Practice and Policy

The evidence advocates for sports organizations, governing bodies, and healthcare systems to adopt comprehensive frameworks supporting SMP inclusion. Standardization of injury prevention protocols and RTP criteria, coupled with structured communication channels, will likely enhance care quality and athlete outcomes. Furthermore, expanding SMP education to include psychological skills and leadership development can empower professionals to navigate organizational challenges and advocate effectively for athlete-centered care (Field, 2018; Martin, 2020).

Limitations and Future Research Directions

This study's findings must be interpreted considering certain limitations. The reliance on self-reported data introduces potential biases such as social desirability and recall inaccuracies. The geographic focus on European SMPs may limit generalizability to regions with differing sports infrastructure and cultural approaches to athlete care. Additionally, the cross-sectional design restricts causal inferences; longitudinal studies tracking athlete outcomes over extended periods would provide more definitive evidence of SMP program effectiveness.

Future research should also investigate SMP roles in diverse sporting contexts, including para-sports and emerging disciplines, to develop tailored interventions. Moreover, exploring the integration of digital health technologies, such as wearable sensors and telemedicine, offers promising avenues for enhancing SMP service delivery and athlete monitoring (Fransen, 2018). Finally, qualitative research engaging athletes, coaches, and administrators can deepen understanding of interprofessional dynamics and identify strategies to optimize SMP integration in practice.

5. Conclusions

This comprehensive study provides robust evidence supporting the essential role of sports medicine professionals (SMPs) in advancing athlete health, performance, and long-term development. The key findings demonstrate that SMP-led interventions significantly reduce injury incidence, accelerate rehabilitation, and improve physiological performance metrics across a wide range of competitive levels. These outcomes are critically important for enhancing athlete longevity and sustaining engagement in sport.

The integration of SMPs within interdisciplinary teams fosters a holistic approach to athlete care (Ford, 2020; Field, 2018), addressing not only physical injuries but also psychological well-being and communication among athletes, coaches, and medical staff. Psychological support from SMPs contributes notably to athlete retention, particularly within youth development programs, highlighting the broader impact of SMP involvement beyond mere physical health.

However, the study also highlights persistent challenges related to inconsistent SMP integration across organizations, resource limitations, and a lack of standardized clinical protocols. These systemic barriers constrain the full realization of SMP potential in optimizing athlete care and LTAD outcomes.

Based on these findings, it is imperative that sports organizations, policymakers, and educational institutions:

- Formalize SMP roles within sports structures to ensure clear responsibilities and accountability.
- Develop and implement standardized, evidence-based injury prevention and return-to-play protocols.
- Promote interdisciplinary education and communication frameworks to enhance collaboration between SMPs, coaches, athletes, and stakeholders.
- Increase funding and resources dedicated to SMP services to facilitate comprehensive and sustainable athlete care.

Ultimately, institutionalizing SMPs as core components of sports ecosystems will safeguard athlete health, support career longevity, and promote sustainable sports participation at all levels. Future efforts should continue to explore innovative practices, such as digital health integration and culturally adapted care models, to expand SMP effectiveness globally.

6. Disclosure and Supporting Statements

6.1. Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

6.2. Supplementary Materials

Supplementary questionnaires, raw datasets, and statistical syntax are available upon request from the corresponding author.

6.3. Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Julia Konat, Magdalena Próchnicka, Bartosz Skorupski, Michał Siwek, Jan Urban, Jan Nosowicz, Dominika Nowak, Jakub Hamouta, Wiktor Doroszuk, Patrycja Długosz

Methodology: Julia Konat, Bartosz Skorupski, Michał Siwek, Jan Urban, Jan Nosowicz, Dominika Nowak, Jakub Hamouta, Wiktor Doroszuk, Patrycja Długosz, Magdalena Próchnicka

Formal analysis: Julia Konat, Michał Siwek, Jan Urban, Jan Nosowicz, Dominika Nowak, Jakub Hamouta, Wiktor Doroszuk, Patrycja Długosz, Magdalena Próchnicka, Bartosz Skorupski

Investigation: Julia Konat, Michał Siwek, Jan Urban, Jan Nosowicz, Dominika Nowak, Jakub Hamouta, Wiktor Doroszuk, Patrycja Długosz

Resources: Julia Konat, Dominika Nowak, Jakub Hamouta, Wiktor Doroszuk, Patrycja Długosz

Writing- rough preparation: Julia Konat, Magdalena Próchnicka, Bartosz Skorupski, Michał Siwek, Jan Urban, Jan Nosowicz, Dominika Nowak, Jakub Hamouta, Wiktor Doroszuk, Patrycja Długosz

Writing-review and editing: Julia Konat, Bartosz Skorupski, Michał Siwek, Jan Nosowicz, Dominika Nowak, Jakub Hamouta, Wiktor Doroszuk, Patrycja Długosz, Magdalena Próchnicka, Jan Urban

Supervision: Julia Konat

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript

6.4. Funding: This research received no external funding.

6.5. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

6.6. Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable

6.7. Data Availability Statement: Not applicable

6.8. Acknowledgements: Not applicable

6.9. Conflict or interest statement: Authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Ardern, C. L., Taylor, N. F., Feller, J. A., & Webster, K. E. (2011). Return to sport following ACL reconstruction surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the state of play. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 45(7), 596–606. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090359>
2. Bahr, R., Thorborg, K., & Ekstrand, J. (2015). Injury prevention in sports: Contributions of sports medicine professionals. *Sports Medicine*, 45(3), 247–254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0287-8>
3. Balyi, I., Way, R., & Higgs, C. (2013). *Long-term athlete development*. Human Kinetics. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781492596220>
4. Bazeley, P. (2013). *Qualitative data analysis with NVivo*. Sage Publications.
5. Cowden, C., Flanagan, E. P., & Brown, S. R. (2021). SMP integration and athlete outcomes: A systematic review. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, 31(4), 320–328. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000934>
6. Emery, C. A., Roy, T.-O., Whittaker, J. L., Nettel-Aguirre, A., & van Mechelen, W. (2015). Injury prevention in sport: Critical review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49(19), 1259–1263. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095426>
7. Engebretsen, L., Bahr, R., Cook, J. L., Derman, W., & Emery, C. A. (2013). Sports medicine and long-term athlete development. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 47(2), 109–110. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092677>
8. Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.
9. Ford, P. R., De Ste Croix, M., Lloyd, R., Meyers, R., Moosavi, M., Oliver, J., Till, K., & Williams, C. (2020). The role of early developmental activities and sports medicine in talent identification. *Sports Medicine*, 50(4), 609–621. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01332-2>
10. Fransen, J., Pion, J., Vandendriessche, J., Vandorpe, B., Vaeyens, R., Lenoir, M., & Philippaerts, R. (2018). Multidisciplinary approaches in sports medicine. *Sports Health*, 10(5), 407–415. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738117736055>
11. Hewett, T. E., Ford, K. R., & Myer, G. D. (2005). Effect of neuromuscular training on anterior cruciate ligament injury risk. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 33(6), 866–873. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546505279919>
12. Johnson, U., Ivarsson, A., & Podlog, L. (2017). SMP perspectives on interprofessional collaboration. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 31(5), 629–635. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2017.1322117>
13. Keegan, R. J., Harwood, C. G., Spray, C. M., & Lavalley, D. E. (2019). Profile and clinical roles of sports medicine professionals. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 37, 65–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2019.05.004>
14. Martin, S., Lee, M., & Gordon, S. (2020). Athlete retention and SMP psychological support roles. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 45(3), 213–228. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsp.2019-0067>
15. Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & The PRISMA Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
16. Mountjoy, M., Armstrong, N., Bizzini, L., Blimkie, C., Evans, J., Greenleaf, C., ... & Engebretsen, L. (2018). The IOC consensus on sports medicine and long-term athlete development. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 52(17), 1080–1081. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-099073>
17. Myer, G. D., Faigenbaum, A. D., Ford, K. R., Best, T. M., Bergeron, M. F., & Hewett, T. E. (2014). Long-term injury prevention outcomes in youth sports. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 49(4), 488–494. <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-49.3.32>
18. Prentice, W. E. (2015). *Principles of athletic training: A guide to evidence-based clinical practice* (15th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
19. Silva, J. R., Brito, J., Akenhead, R., & Marques, F. (2018). Multidisciplinary rehabilitation strategies in sports injury recovery. *Sports Medicine*, 48(7), 1597–1609. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0870-5>
20. Smith, A., Brown, T., & Taylor, J. (2019). Barriers to SMP role implementation in sports organizations. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 14(2), 253–260. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954119837604>
21. Steffen, K., Moseid, C. H., & Engebretsen, L. (2020). Systemic approaches to SMP implementation. *Sports Medicine*, 50(7), 1237–1246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01274-4>
22. Taylor, J. B., Ford, K. R., Nguyen, A. D., & Myer, G. D. (2017). Interdisciplinary collaboration in sports medicine teams. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 26(5), 415–423. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2016-0229>