




International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

ARTICLE TITLE	THE PERSONALIZED PLATE FOR HEALTHY EYES: A REVIEW OF ETHICAL AND SOCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF NUTRIGENOMICS AND AI IN PREVENTIVE OPHTHALMOLOGY
ARTICLE INFO	Maja Ćwiek, Amin Omid, Bartosz Krawiec, Bartosz Zarębski, Olaf Jadanowski, Jakub Sójka, Maksymilian Szombara, Michał Mokrzyński, Piotr Szyszka, Klaudia Malec. (2025) The Personalized Plate for Healthy Eyes: a Review of Ethical and Social Implications of Nutrigenomics and AI In Preventive Ophthalmology. <i>International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science</i> . 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3692
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3692
RECEIVED	25 July 2025
ACCEPTED	28 August 2025
PUBLISHED	05 September 2025
LICENSE	 The article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License .

© The author(s) 2025.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

THE PERSONALIZED PLATE FOR HEALTHY EYES: A REVIEW OF ETHICAL AND SOCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF NUTRIGENOMICS AND AI IN PREVENTIVE OPHTHALMOLOGY

Maja Ćwiek (Corresponding Author, Email: maja.cwiek4@gmail.com)

Independent Public Complex of Health Care Facilities in Wyszaków, Komisji Edukacji Narodowej 1, 07-200 Wyszaków, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0009-2199-8555

Amin Omid

Central Clinical Hospital, Banacha 1A, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0008-9432-3957

Bartosz Krawiec

District Specialist Hospital in Stalowa Wola, Stanisława Staszica 4, 37-450 Stalowa Wola, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0006-2346-7174

Bartosz Zarębski

District Health Center Otwock, Stefana Batorego 44, 05-400 Otwock, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0009-5656-1847

Olaf Jadanowski

University of Health Sciences in Bydgoszcz, Jagiellońska 4, 85-067 Bydgoszcz, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0000-6279-3067

Jakub Sójka

Mińsk Mazowiecki Independent Public Health Care Facility, Szpitalna 37, 05-300 Mińsk Mazowiecki, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-7687-9854

Maksymilian Szombara

Independent Public Complex of Health Care Facilities in Pruszków, aleja Armii Krajowej 2/4, 05-800 Pruszków, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0002-8977-6341

Michał Mokrzyński

Independent Public Complex of Health Care Facilities in Ostrów Mazowiecka, Dubois 68, 07-300 Ostrów Mazowiecka, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-9975-1378

Piotr Szyszka

University Clinical Hospital in Opole, aleja Wincentego Witosa 26, 46-020 Opole, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0008-4048-5280

Klaudia Malec

Central Clinical Hospital, 1a Banacha Str. 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0006-8299-1873

ABSTRACT

The convergence of nutrigenomics and artificial intelligence (AI) heralds a paradigm shift in preventive ophthalmology, moving from a reactive to a predictive and personalized approach. This review examines the transformative potential and the critical ethical and social challenges arising from the integration of these technologies to create tailored nutritional interventions for eye health. Technologically, the field is advancing rapidly. Nutrigenomics provides the foundation by deciphering how genetic variations influence individual responses to ocular-specific nutrients. AI and machine learning algorithms are crucial for analyzing complex multi-omics data, retinal images, and dietary patterns to generate precise recommendations. However, this technological promise is accompanied by significant ethical dilemmas. Primary concerns include data privacy and confidentiality of highly sensitive genetic and health information, the risk of algorithmic bias perpetuating health disparities, and challenges to informed consent due to the complexity of AI systems. The social implications are profound, with a risk of exacerbating healthcare disparities through high costs and the digital divide. Regulatory frameworks struggle to keep pace with adaptive AI, and the evolving roles of healthcare professionals require new competencies. This review concludes that while AI-driven nutrigenomics holds immense potential for preventing vision loss, its successful and equitable integration demands proactive development of robust ethical guidelines, inclusive policies, and interdisciplinary collaboration.

KEYWORDS

Nutrigenomics, Artificial Intelligence, Preventive Ophthalmology, Personalized Nutrition, Bioethics, Health Disparities

CITATION

Maja Ćwiek, Amin Omid, Bartosz Krawiec, Bartosz Zarębski, Olaf Jadanowski, Jakub Sójka, Maksymilian Szombara, Michał Mokrzyński, Piotr Szyszka, Klaudia Malec. (2025) The Personalized Plate for Healthy Eyes: a Review of Ethical and Social Implications of Nutrigenomics and AI In Preventive Ophthalmology. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3692

COPYRIGHT

© **The author(s) 2025.** This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

Introduction.

The convergence of nutrigenomics and artificial intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing preventive ophthalmology, offering unprecedented opportunities to combat vision impairment through personalized nutritional interventions. Vision loss and eye diseases represent a significant global health burden, with approximately 2.2 billion people worldwide suffering from visual impairments, many of which could be prevented or delayed through appropriate interventions. Traditional approaches to eye health have primarily focused on reactive treatments rather than preventive strategies, but advances in genomic science and data analytics are now enabling a paradigm shift toward personalized prevention (de Toro-Martín et al., 2017). This transformation intersects precisely with the growing understanding of how genetic factors influence individual responses to nutrients and how these interactions affect ocular health.

The integration of AI technologies in ophthalmology has been particularly rapid due to the specialty's reliance on imaging and data-intensive diagnostics. Ophthalmology stands as a leading medical field in AI application, with algorithms now capable of diagnosing conditions such as diabetic retinopathy, age-related macular degeneration (AMD), glaucoma, and retinopathy of prematurity with accuracy rivaling human experts (Ittarat et al., 2023; Liu & Wu, 2022). Similarly, nutrigenomics has evolved from theoretical concept to practical application, with research demonstrating how genetic variations influence individual responses to specific nutrients relevant to eye health (Lagoumintzis et al., 2024). The combination of these fields—AI-driven analysis of genetic, dietary, and clinical data—creates the foundation for truly personalized nutritional recommendations for ocular health prevention.

This review examines the ethical and social implications emerging at the intersection of nutrigenomics, AI, and preventive ophthalmology. While the technological capabilities advance rapidly, the ethical frameworks and social systems necessary to ensure equitable, just, and beneficial implementation lag behind. Issues of data privacy, algorithmic bias, healthcare disparities, informed consent, and professional responsibilities demand critical examination as we move toward "personalized plates for healthy eyes." By addressing these considerations proactively, we can harness the potential of these innovative approaches while mitigating their risks and ensuring they serve the broader goals of public health and equity (Abdullah et al., 2021; Goktas & Grzybowski, 2025).

Methodology

This paper employs a comprehensive literature review methodology to analyze and synthesize existing research on the ethical and social implications of integrating nutrigenomics and artificial intelligence (AI) in preventive ophthalmology. The analysis is structured around key thematic areas identified as most critical: technological foundations, ethical considerations, and broader social implications. Within these themes, specific issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, informed consent, healthcare disparities, and regulatory challenges are examined in depth. The review draws on a wide range of sources, including scientific studies on nutrigenomics and eye health, technical literature on AI and machine learning applications in medicine, bioethical analyses, policy documents, and sociological research on health equity. By synthesizing insights from these diverse fields, this narrative review aims to provide a holistic critical examination of the potential benefits and risks associated with these emerging technologies and to propose recommendations for their responsible and equitable implementation.

Technological Foundations

Nutrigenomics in Eye Health

Nutrigenomics, the study of how genes and nutrients interact, provides the scientific foundation for personalized nutritional approaches to eye health. Research has identified numerous genetic variations that influence an individual's response to nutrients particularly relevant to ocular function. For example, variations in genes such as CFH and ARMS2 significantly impact the risk of developing age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and modify the protective effects of specific nutrient combinations (de Toro-Martín et al., 2017). Similarly, genetic polymorphisms affecting antioxidant metabolism or inflammatory pathways can influence susceptibility to conditions like cataract formation and diabetic retinopathy (Lagoumintzis et al., 2024).

The translation of nutrigenomic research into clinical practice involves analyzing an individual's genetic profile to provide tailored dietary recommendations that optimize ocular health. This approach moves beyond generic advice to specific recommendations based on how an individual's genetic makeup affects their absorption, metabolism, and utilization of ocular-specific nutrients. Recent advances in genomic sequencing technologies have made genetic testing more accessible and affordable, facilitating the integration of nutrigenomics into preventive ophthalmology. The development of polygenic risk scores provides a more comprehensive assessment of genetic predisposition to various eye conditions and potential response to nutritional interventions (Lagoumintzis et al., 2024).

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Applications

Artificial intelligence, particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) algorithms, plays a crucial role in analyzing the complex datasets necessary for personalized nutritional recommendations in eye health. These technologies excel at identifying patterns and relationships within multidimensional data, including genetic information, dietary records, metabolic profiles, ocular imaging, and clinical outcomes (Poupi et al., 2024; Theodore Armand et al., 2024).

In preventive ophthalmology, AI applications include food recognition systems that analyze dietary intake through image analysis, predictive models that assess disease risk based on genetic and lifestyle factors, and recommendation algorithms that generate personalized dietary advice (Tsolakidis et al., 2024). For example, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) can classify retinal images for early detection of eye diseases while simultaneously integrating genetic and nutritional data to provide comprehensive risk assessments (Liu & Wu, 2022). More advanced systems incorporate deep learning techniques to continuously refine recommendations based on outcomes data, creating adaptive models that improve over time (Theodore Armand et al., 2024).

Integration of Multi-Omics Data

The combination of nutrigenomic data with other omics technologies—including transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and microbiomics—provides a more comprehensive understanding of the interactions between diet, genes, and eye health. AI algorithms are essential for integrating and analyzing these diverse datasets, identifying complex patterns that would be impossible to discern through traditional methods (Lagoumintzis et al., 2024; Poupi et al., 2024).

Metabolomic profiling, in particular, offers insights into how nutrients affect metabolic pathways relevant to ocular function. Similarly, gut microbiome analysis provides information about how an individual's microbial community influences the bioavailability of nutrients important for eye health. The integration of

multi-omics data with clinical information and lifestyle factors enables the development of sophisticated predictive models that can identify individuals at high risk for specific eye conditions and recommend targeted nutritional interventions (de Toro-Martín et al., 2017).

Ethical Considerations

Data Privacy and Confidentiality

The implementation of nutrigenomics and AI in preventive ophthalmology requires the collection and analysis of highly sensitive personal information, including genetic data, dietary habits, health records, and lifestyle factors. This creates significant privacy concerns, as unauthorized access or misuse of this information could lead to discrimination, stigmatization, or other harms (Abdullah et al., 2021). The confidentiality of genetic information is particularly crucial, as it not only reveals information about an individual's current health status but also provides insights into future disease risks and similar information about biological relatives.

Existing regulations like the GDPR establish requirements for handling personal data, but these frameworks may not fully address the unique challenges posed by genetic information and health data (Abdullah et al., 2021). Data ownership represents another complex ethical issue. Multiple parties may claim rights to the data, including patients, healthcare providers, researchers, and companies that develop AI algorithms or genetic testing services. Clear policies regarding data ownership, access, and control are essential to ensure that individuals' interests are protected while allowing for appropriate use of data for research and clinical purposes (Goktas & Grzybowski, 2025).

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness

AI algorithms used in nutrigenomics and preventive ophthalmology may perpetuate or even exacerbate existing health disparities if they are trained on non-representative datasets. For example, if training data primarily comes from populations of European ancestry, the algorithms may be less accurate for individuals from other ethnic backgrounds, leading to suboptimal recommendations or missed opportunities for prevention (Liu & Wu, 2022). This is particularly concerning for eye health, as certain conditions like glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy disproportionately affect specific ethnic groups.

Addressing algorithmic bias requires multiple strategies, including diversifying training datasets, implementing fairness-aware algorithms, and conducting rigorous testing across demographic groups. Techniques such as federated learning—which allows model training across multiple institutions without transferring raw data—can help incorporate more diverse data while maintaining privacy (Liu & Wu, 2022). Additionally, regulatory frameworks should require transparency about the demographic characteristics of training datasets and performance metrics across different groups to ensure equity in AI applications for preventive ophthalmology (Abdullah et al., 2021).

Autonomy and Informed Consent

The complexity of nutrigenomics and AI applications poses challenges to informed consent, as individuals may struggle to understand the implications of genetic testing or the functioning of AI algorithms. Traditional consent processes often fail to adequately communicate the potential risks and benefits of these technologies, including possible secondary uses of data or uncertainties in AI-generated recommendations (Abdullah et al., 2021; Detopoulou et al., 2023).

The dynamic nature of AI systems further complicates informed consent, as these systems may evolve over time in ways that were not anticipated when initial consent was obtained. Genetic exceptionalism suggests that consent processes for nutrigenomic applications should be particularly rigorous. This may include specific discussions about the potential for genetic discrimination, the implications for biological relatives, and the limitations of current knowledge about gene-nutrient interactions. Individuals should have the right to selective disclosure, choosing which types of information they wish to receive (Goktas & Grzybowski, 2025).

Social Implications

Healthcare Disparities and Access

The development of personalized nutrition approaches for eye health has the potential to improve outcomes for many individuals, but it also risks exacerbating existing health disparities if not implemented equitably. The high cost of genetic testing, AI analyses, and personalized recommendations may limit access to wealthy individuals or those with comprehensive insurance coverage, creating a two-tier system in preventive ophthalmology (Detopoulou et al., 2023). The digital divide—disparities in access to and familiarity

with technology—may further compound inequities in access to AI-driven preventive ophthalmology (Goktas & Grzybowski, 2025).

Addressing these disparities requires deliberate policies to ensure equitable access to emerging technologies. This may include public funding for genetic testing and AI applications for underserved populations, integration of these services into publicly funded healthcare systems, and development of culturally adapted approaches that are accessible to diverse communities (Liu & Wu, 2022). Additionally, researchers and developers should prioritize conditions that disproportionately affect disadvantaged populations to ensure that the benefits of nutrigenomics and AI are distributed equitably.

Regulatory and Policy Challenges

The rapid advancement of nutrigenomics and AI applications for preventive ophthalmology has outpaced regulatory frameworks, creating uncertainty about how these technologies should be evaluated, approved, and monitored. Traditional regulatory approaches for medical devices and health interventions may be ill-suited to adaptive AI systems that learn and evolve over time (Goktas & Grzybowski, 2025).

Algorithmic transparency represents a particular challenge for regulatory oversight, as many AI systems function as "black boxes" with decisions that are difficult to interpret. Regulatory frameworks should establish appropriate requirements for transparency and validation based on the risk level of specific applications. The cross-disciplinary nature of these technologies creates jurisdictional challenges for regulatory agencies, as they may fall under the purview of multiple agencies with different mandates. Coordinated approaches are necessary to ensure comprehensive oversight (Abdullah et al., 2021).

Professional Roles and Responsibilities

The integration of nutrigenomics and AI into preventive ophthalmology is transforming the roles of healthcare professionals. These professionals must develop new competencies in genetics, data interpretation, and AI-assisted decision making to effectively integrate personalized nutrition approaches into their practices (Ittarat et al., 2023).

The emergence of AI-driven recommendations challenges traditional professional boundaries and raises questions about responsibility for decisions based on algorithmic outputs. Clear guidelines regarding professional responsibility and liability are needed to ensure accountability while not discouraging innovation. Healthcare professionals also have an important role in advocating for equitable access, participating in the development of ethical guidelines, and engaging in public dialogue about the appropriate use of these technologies (Abdullah et al., 2021).

Discussion

Ethical Framework Development

The responsible integration of nutrigenomics and AI in preventive ophthalmology requires the development of comprehensive ethical frameworks that address the unique challenges of these technologies. These frameworks should be based on core ethical principles including respect for autonomy, privacy protection, justice, transparency, and beneficence, but must also provide concrete guidance for implementation in specific contexts (Goktas & Grzybowski, 2025). The European Commission's Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI provide a useful starting point, but domain-specific adaptations are necessary for the field of preventive ophthalmology. Such frameworks should involve stakeholders from diverse backgrounds, including ethicists, clinicians, patients, developers, and policymakers, to ensure that multiple perspectives are considered.

Conclusions

This review examines the significant ethical and social implications arising from the convergence of nutrigenomics and artificial intelligence (AI) in preventive ophthalmology. While this integration promises a revolutionary shift from reactive to predictive, personalized eye care through tailored nutritional advice, it presents considerable challenges. Technologically, the field is advancing rapidly. Nutrigenomics deciphers how individual genetic variations affect responses to eye-health nutrients, while AI algorithms are crucial for analyzing complex multi-omics data to generate precise recommendations. However, this potential is accompanied by serious ethical dilemmas. Primary concerns include ensuring data privacy for highly sensitive genetic information, mitigating algorithmic bias that could perpetuate health disparities, and overcoming challenges to obtaining truly informed consent. The social implications are profound, with a risk of

exacerbating healthcare inequalities through high costs and the digital divide. Regulatory frameworks struggle to keep pace with adaptive AI, and the evolving roles of healthcare professionals require new competencies. The review concludes that for this personalized approach to be successful and equitable, proactive measures are essential. This includes developing robust ethical guidelines, implementing inclusive policies to ensure fair access, and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration to navigate this complex landscape. Failure to address these issues risks undermining the benefits of this promising preventive strategy.

Funding Statement: This research received no external funding.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Abdullah, Y. I., Schuman, J. S., Shabsigh, R., Caplan, A., & Al-Aswad, L. A. (2021). Ethics of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine and Ophthalmology. **Asia-Pacific Journal of Ophthalmology*, 10*(3), 289–298. <https://doi.org/10.1097/APO.0000000000000397>
2. de Toro-Martín, J., Arsenault, B. J., Després, J. P., & Vohl, M. C. (2017). Precision Nutrition: A Review of Personalized Nutritional Approaches for the Prevention and Management of Metabolic Syndrome. **Nutrients*, 9*(8), 913. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9080913>
3. Detopoulou, P., Voulgaridou, G., Moschos, P., Levidi, D., Anastasiou, T., Dedes, V., Diplari, E.-M., Fourfour, N., Giaginis, C., Panoutsopoulos, G. I., & Papadopoulou, S. K. (2023). Artificial intelligence, nutrition, and ethical issues: A mini-review. **Clinical Nutrition Open Science*, 50*, 46–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutos.2023.07.001>
4. Goktas, P., & Grzybowski, A. (2025). Shaping the Future of Healthcare: Ethical Clinical Challenges and Pathways to Trustworthy AI. **Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 14*(5), 1605. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14051605>
5. Ittarat, M., Cheungpasitporn, W., & Chansangpet, S. (2023). Personalized Care in Eye Health: Exploring Opportunities, Challenges, and the Road Ahead for Chatbots. **Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 13*(12), 1679. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13121679>
6. Lagoumintzis, G., Afratis, N. A., & Patrinos, G. P. (2024). Editorial: Nutrigenomics and personalized nutrition: advancing basic, clinical, and translational research. **Frontiers in Nutrition*, 11*, 1435475. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2024.1435475>
7. Liu, T. Y. A., & Wu, J. H. (2022). The Ethical and Societal Considerations for the Rise of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data in Ophthalmology. **Frontiers in Medicine*, 9*, 845522. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.845522>
8. Poupi, A., Nfor, K., Kim, J. I., & Kim, H. C. (2024). Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning in Nutrition: A Systematic Review. **Nutrients*, 16*(7), 1073. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16071073>
9. Theodore Armand, T. P., Nfor, K. A., Kim, J. I., & Kim, H. C. (2024). Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning in Nutrition: A Systematic Review. **Nutrients*, 16*(7), 1073. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16071073>
10. Tsolakidis, D., Gymnopoulos, L., & Dimitropoulos, K. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Technologies for Personalized Nutrition: A Review. **Informatics*, 11*(3), 62. <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics11030062>