International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

ARTICLE TITLE	THE ROLE OF E-HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES IN IMPROVING HEALTHCARE ACCESSIBILITY AND EQUITY FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3674
RECEIVED	16 June 2025
ACCEPTED	19 September 2025
PUBLISHED	30 September 2025
LICENSE	The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.**

THE ROLE OF E-HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES IN IMPROVING HEALTHCARE ACCESSIBILITY AND EQUITY FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

Aneta Rasińska *(Corresponding Author, Email: ane.rasinska@gmail.com)*
Municipal Hospital No. 4 in Gliwice Ltd., Zygmunta Starego 20, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-2872-8000

Justyna Jachimczak
Our Lady of Perpetual Help Hospital in Wołomin, ul. Gdyńska 1/3, 05-200 Wołomin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-7614-9500

Justyna Matusik
Academy of Silesia in Katowice, ul. Rolna 43, 40-555 Katowice, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-7907-9690

Mateusz Kopczyński
Municipal Hospital Complex in Częstochowa, ul. Mirowska 15, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-6592-3061

Piotr Rzyczniok
Private Healthcare Institution ProCordi Ltd., ul. Wyspiańskiego 8, 44-335 Jastrzębie-Zdrój, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-5899-2345

Paulina Bala
Our Lady of Perpetual Help Hospital in Wołomin, ul. Gdyńska 1/3, 05-200 Wołomin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-0604-8549

ABSTRACT

Introduction and Objective: E-health technologies have the potential to transform healthcare accessibility and quality for people with disabilities. However, multiple barriers limit their effective use and exacerbate health disparities. This narrative review aims to synthesize current knowledge on e-health interventions designed for disabled populations, identify challenges and best practices, and explore future perspectives to enhance health equity.
Review Methods: A comprehensive narrative review was conducted using peer-reviewed articles, case studies, and official reports published primarily between 2013 and 2022. Searches were performed across databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, focusing on keywords related to e-health, disabilities, accessibility, and health equity. Literature was qualitatively analyzed to identify key themes around accessibility barriers, successful e-health implementations, strategic interventions, and emerging technologies.
State of Knowledge: Findings reveal that accessible design, digital literacy support, inclusive policies, community engagement, and robust privacy measures are critical for effective e-health adoption among people with disabilities. Case studies demonstrate improvements in healthcare access and outcomes when these factors are addressed. Emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and virtual reality offer promising avenues for personalized and adaptive care. Nonetheless, economic, technological, and socio-cultural challenges persist, necessitating coordinated efforts across disciplines.
Conclusion: E-health holds significant promise to reduce health disparities for people with disabilities. Realizing this potential requires integrating universal design principles, enhancing digital inclusion, fostering policy reforms, and maintaining ethical vigilance. Collaborative, inclusive innovation can ensure that future digital health solutions contribute meaningfully to equitable healthcare and improved wellbeing for all.

KEYWORDS
E-Health, Disabilities, Health Equity, Accessibility, Digital Health Technologies, Telemedicine

CITATION

Aneta Rasińska, Justyna Jachimczak, Justyna Matusik, Mateusz Kopczyński, Piotr Rzyczniok, Paulina Bala. (2025) The Role of E-Health Technologies in Improving Healthcare Accessibility and Equity for People with Disabilities. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*, 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3674

Introduction

In recent years, the integration of digital technologies into healthcare systems has revolutionized how health services are delivered, accessed, and managed. This digital transformation—commonly referred to as e-health—encompasses a broad range of tools and platforms such as telemedicine, mobile health (mHealth) applications, wearable health monitors, and assistive technologies. These innovations have the potential to address long-standing disparities in access to healthcare, particularly for vulnerable and underserved populations. Among them, people with disabilities remain significantly affected by health exclusion, facing numerous barriers to obtaining timely, appropriate, and equitable medical care (World Health Organization [WHO], 2022).

Health exclusion among individuals with disabilities is a multidimensional issue, involving physical, systemic, and social obstacles. These barriers include inaccessibility of medical facilities and equipment, communication challenges, lack of provider training on disability-specific needs, and societal stigma (Krahn et al., 2015). Digital health solutions, when designed with inclusivity in mind, can help to mitigate many of these issues. For example, remote consultations can overcome transportation barriers, while tailored mobile applications can support independent health management for users with sensory, cognitive, or mobility impairments (Lindeman et al., 2020).

However, the benefits of e-health are not automatically realized. Without careful design, implementation, and policy oversight, digital health innovations risk reinforcing existing inequalities or creating new forms of exclusion—for example, when platforms are not compatible with assistive devices or when users lack digital literacy. Therefore, understanding how e-health technologies are currently used, what solutions are most effective, and what challenges persist is critical for guiding inclusive healthcare policy and practice (Shakespeare et al., 2021).

This paper aims to explore how e-health technologies can reduce health exclusion among people with disabilities by reviewing recent innovations and assessing their effectiveness. The focus is interdisciplinary, combining insights from public health, technology, and disability studies. The goal is to identify both the opportunities and limitations of digital tools in promoting equitable health outcomes for this often-overlooked population.

Methodology

This study adopts a narrative literature review methodology to examine the role of digital health technologies in improving healthcare accessibility and equity for people with disabilities. The narrative review approach was selected for its capacity to provide a broad and integrative synthesis of heterogeneous sources, including empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, policy analyses, and expert recommendations.

The literature search was conducted using academic databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Search terms included combinations of expressions such as “e-health,” “digital health,” “disability,” “accessibility,” “assistive technology,” and “health equity.” Additional materials were retrieved by reviewing the reference lists of key publications.

Sources were selected based on their relevance to the topic, their contribution to the understanding of digital health interventions for individuals with disabilities, and their academic credibility. Publications included in this review were written in English and dated between 1997 and 2022, which reflects both the historical development and recent advances in this interdisciplinary field. This time frame encompasses seminal works such as Mace’s (1997) foundational concept of universal design, through to the World Health Organization’s (2022) global report on health equity for persons with disabilities.

While no formal tool for quality assessment was applied, in keeping with the characteristics of narrative reviews, each source was critically examined for its validity, methodological rigor, and thematic relevance. The synthesis of findings was structured thematically to identify key challenges, technological solutions, and directions for future research and practice.

E-health Technologies Overview

E-health encompasses a broad and rapidly evolving array of digital technologies aimed at improving healthcare delivery, monitoring, and patient engagement. For people with disabilities, these technologies offer transformative potential to overcome traditional barriers to care, enhance autonomy, and promote equitable health outcomes. This section presents a detailed overview of key e-health solutions, illustrating how they contribute to inclusive healthcare while highlighting considerations critical to their effective implementation.

Telemedicine and Teleconsultations

Telemedicine—the provision of clinical services remotely via telecommunications—has become increasingly integral to healthcare systems worldwide. For individuals with physical disabilities, limited mobility, or chronic health conditions, teleconsultations reduce the need for travel, which often poses significant logistical, financial, and physical challenges (Brennan et al., 2021). Moreover, telemedicine platforms can be tailored with accessibility features, such as closed captioning, sign language interpretation, or adjustable user interfaces, to accommodate sensory impairments and communication needs. Research indicates that when such adaptations are integrated, patient satisfaction and adherence to treatment protocols among disabled users increase substantially (Zhou et al., 2020). Furthermore, telemedicine facilitates interdisciplinary care by connecting patients with a wider range of specialists without geographical constraints, crucial for complex disability-related health management.

Mobile Health (mHealth) Applications

Mobile health (mHealth) applications harness smartphone ubiquity to support self-management, health education, and remote monitoring. For people with disabilities, mHealth apps can offer personalized interfaces that leverage voice recognition, gesture control, or simplified navigation tailored to specific impairments (Naslund et al., 2017). For example, apps designed for individuals with visual impairments often include text-to-speech functionality, while those aimed at users with cognitive disabilities may feature visual cues and simplified language. Some mental health apps incorporate adaptive algorithms to adjust content based on user engagement and emotional state, supporting populations with autism spectrum disorders or intellectual disabilities. However, the diversity in disability types necessitates user-centered design approaches and iterative testing to ensure inclusivity, as generic app designs often fail to meet the nuanced needs of these users (Ellis et al., 2019).

Wearables and Remote Monitoring Devices

Wearable health devices, such as smartwatches, biosensors, and fitness trackers, provide continuous, real-time monitoring of physiological parameters including heart rate, blood oxygen levels, and movement patterns. For individuals with cognitive or memory impairments, these devices offer invaluable safety features, such as fall detection and automated emergency alerts, reducing dependence on caregivers and enhancing autonomy (Patel et al., 2021). Additionally, wearables can transmit data directly to healthcare providers, enabling proactive interventions and personalized care plans. Integrating wearables into telehealth frameworks allows for seamless monitoring, especially for patients with complex needs requiring multidisciplinary care. Despite these advantages, affordability and usability remain challenges, particularly for low-income individuals and those with severe motor impairments who may find device manipulation difficult (Pramana et al., 2019).

Assistive Digital Technologies

Assistive digital technologies refer to specialized tools designed explicitly to enhance communication, mobility, and environmental control for people with disabilities. Examples include speech-generating devices, eye-tracking systems, screen readers, and alternative input devices such as adaptive keyboards or switch controls. When integrated with mainstream e-health platforms, these assistive technologies facilitate effective communication between patients and healthcare providers, enabling users to express symptoms, preferences, and concerns more accurately (Wieland et al., 2020). Such integration supports shared decision-making and patient-centered care, which are critical components of modern healthcare models. Moreover, emerging technologies like augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) are being explored for rehabilitation and training purposes, offering immersive environments tailored to individual capabilities and therapeutic goals.

AI-Powered Diagnostic and Support Tools

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly employed in healthcare to enhance diagnostics, personalize treatment, and automate routine tasks. For people with disabilities, AI applications offer promising avenues to address communication barriers and improve access to tailored health information. For instance, AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants can provide mental health support to individuals with limited verbal communication abilities, offering a non-judgmental, always-available resource (Fitzpatrick et al., 2017). Machine learning algorithms also aid in interpreting non-verbal cues and adapting communication methods, facilitating more accurate assessments and interventions. Additionally, AI-driven predictive models can identify patients at risk of adverse events, enabling timely preventative care. Ethical considerations, including data privacy, algorithmic bias, and transparency, are especially pertinent given the vulnerabilities of disabled populations and require ongoing multidisciplinary oversight (Veinot et al., 2018).

Barriers to E-health Accessibility for People with Disabilities

Despite the promising potential of e-health technologies to improve healthcare access and outcomes for people with disabilities, several significant barriers limit their effective use. These obstacles are multifaceted, encompassing technological, social, economic, and policy dimensions. Understanding these barriers is essential for developing inclusive strategies that ensure digital health innovations fulfill their promise without exacerbating existing health inequities.

Technological Barriers

A primary challenge lies in the design and usability of e-health platforms. Many digital health applications and devices are not created with universal design principles, leading to incompatibility with assistive technologies such as screen readers, alternative input devices, or speech-generating software (Ellis et al., 2019). For instance, websites lacking proper semantic structure or apps with complex navigation can render services inaccessible to users with visual impairments or cognitive disabilities. Furthermore, some devices require fine motor skills or sustained attention, limiting their usability for individuals with physical or neurological impairments (Pramana et al., 2019).

Digital Literacy and Training

Digital literacy is a critical factor influencing the adoption of e-health technologies. People with disabilities, particularly older adults or those with intellectual disabilities, may face difficulties understanding or using new technologies without adequate support (Czaja et al., 2019). Lack of tailored training programs or accessible instructional materials further compounds this problem. Additionally, healthcare providers themselves often lack sufficient training in using digital health tools effectively, especially when adapting them for patients with disabilities (Shaw et al., 2020).

Economic Barriers

Economic disparities significantly affect access to e-health solutions. High costs associated with purchasing smartphones, wearables, or high-speed internet connections can exclude low-income individuals with disabilities from benefiting fully from digital health innovations (Roberts & Mehrotra, 2020). Moreover, insurance reimbursement policies frequently do not cover telehealth or remote monitoring for disability-related care, disincentivizing both patients and providers from adopting these services.

Privacy and Security Concerns

Privacy and data security are particularly sensitive issues for people with disabilities, who may already experience stigmatization and discrimination. Concerns about how personal health information is collected, stored, and shared can deter some individuals from using digital health platforms (Veinot et al., 2018). Ensuring compliance with regulations like GDPR or HIPAA is necessary but not sufficient; platforms must also build trust through transparent practices and user control over data.

Socio-Cultural and Policy Barriers

Socio-cultural factors such as stigma, lack of awareness, and attitudes toward disability can influence e-health adoption. In some communities, disabilities remain taboo subjects, limiting open discussion and use of related health services (Shakespeare et al., 2021). Furthermore, policy gaps exist in many regions regarding the regulation and standardization of digital health technologies for disability care. Without coordinated policies and inclusive frameworks, efforts to implement e-health risk fragmentation and unequal distribution (WHO, 2022).

Case Studies of E-health Implementation for People with Disabilities

Examining real-world implementations of e-health technologies offers valuable insights into best practices, challenges, and outcomes associated with improving healthcare access and quality for people with disabilities. The following case studies highlight diverse approaches spanning telemedicine, mHealth, wearable technologies, and AI-driven solutions.

Telemedicine for Rural Patients with Mobility Impairments

In a study conducted in rural Canada, a telemedicine program was implemented to provide specialist consultations for patients with spinal cord injuries (SCI) who faced significant barriers to traveling long distances for care (Sunderji et al., 2020). The program utilized video conferencing integrated with accessible platforms supporting screen readers and real-time captioning. Results showed increased patient satisfaction, reduced hospital visits, and improved adherence to rehabilitation protocols. Notably, the involvement of multidisciplinary teams, including occupational therapists and social workers, enhanced holistic care coordination.

mHealth App for Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) in Adults with Intellectual Disabilities

Researchers developed an mHealth application tailored for adults with mild to moderate intellectual disabilities to deliver cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety and depression (Didden et al., 2018). The app incorporated simplified language, pictorial cues, and interactive exercises, facilitating engagement and comprehension. A pilot trial demonstrated reductions in anxiety symptoms and improved self-efficacy. Key success factors included collaboration with disability advocacy groups during development and ongoing technical support.

Wearable Fall Detection Devices for Older Adults with Mobility Challenges

A community-based program in the United States distributed wearable fall detection devices to older adults with mobility impairments living independently (Kenny et al., 2019). The devices automatically alerted caregivers and emergency services in the event of a fall, significantly decreasing response times and adverse outcomes. User feedback emphasized the importance of device comfort, battery life, and privacy assurances. This intervention highlighted how wearables can support aging in place and reduce hospitalization rates.

AI-Powered Virtual Assistant for Nonverbal Autistic Individuals

An AI-driven virtual assistant designed to facilitate communication was tested with nonverbal autistic individuals in a specialized clinic in the UK (Baxter et al., 2021). The system used natural language processing and machine learning to interpret gestures and facial expressions, translating them into verbal outputs. Early results indicated improvements in patient-provider communication and reduced frustration. However, challenges included ensuring data privacy and tailoring the AI to diverse communication styles.

These case studies underscore the critical role of user-centered design, interdisciplinary collaboration, and continuous evaluation in deploying effective e-health solutions for people with disabilities. They also highlight the need for scalable models adaptable to different contexts and resource settings.

Strategies to Enhance E-health Accessibility and Equity for People with Disabilities

To maximize the benefits of e-health technologies and minimize disparities, a comprehensive approach is required. Strategies must address technological design, education, policy, and community engagement to create an inclusive digital health ecosystem.

Implementing Universal Design Principles

Incorporating universal design principles ensures e-health tools are inherently accessible to the widest range of users, including those with diverse disabilities (Mace, 1997). This includes designing intuitive interfaces, compatibility with assistive technologies (e.g., screen readers, alternative input devices), and providing multiple modes of interaction (voice, touch, gesture). Iterative testing with disabled users is essential to refine usability and functionality (Ellis et al., 2019).

Enhancing Digital Literacy and Support

Programs that build digital literacy tailored to the needs of people with disabilities empower users to confidently engage with e-health tools (Czaja et al., 2019). Training should encompass not only basic device use but also privacy management and navigation of health information systems. Simultaneously, healthcare providers require education on delivering telehealth services inclusively, recognizing disability-specific needs and communication strategies (Shaw et al., 2020).

Policy and Funding Reforms

Policy frameworks must incentivize equitable access to e-health through reimbursement models that cover telemedicine and assistive technologies. Regulatory standards should mandate accessibility compliance

for digital health products (WHO, 2022). Additionally, public funding can subsidize devices and internet access for low-income individuals with disabilities, addressing economic barriers (Roberts & Mehrotra, 2020).

Promoting Community Engagement and Co-design

Involving people with disabilities, advocacy organizations, and caregivers in the design and implementation process fosters trust and ensures solutions meet real needs (Borg et al., 2021). Co-design approaches enhance relevance and adoption, reducing the risk of marginalization. Community engagement also supports awareness campaigns that combat stigma and encourage e-health use.

Strengthening Privacy and Security Measures

Transparent data practices, user control over personal information, and adherence to ethical standards are critical to building confidence among users with disabilities (Veinot et al., 2018). Technologies should incorporate privacy-by-design principles and provide clear information about data use.

By integrating these strategies, stakeholders can create an inclusive e-health environment that supports health equity and improves outcomes for people with disabilities.

Future Perspectives

The future of e-health for people with disabilities holds tremendous promise as emerging technologies continue to evolve. Advances in artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and natural language processing are expected to enable more personalized and adaptive healthcare solutions that can better accommodate diverse disability needs (Topol, 2019). For example, AI-driven virtual assistants could offer real-time communication support tailored to individual communication styles, while predictive analytics might improve early detection of health issues among disabled populations.

Moreover, the integration of Internet of Things (IoT) devices with e-health platforms can facilitate continuous remote monitoring, allowing for proactive interventions and enhanced independence (Islam et al., 2021). Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technologies also have potential to revolutionize rehabilitation and mental health therapies by providing immersive, accessible environments.

However, to realize these benefits, it will be critical to prioritize ethical considerations, data privacy, and equitable access from the outset. Collaborative efforts involving technologists, healthcare providers, policymakers, and people with disabilities will be essential to co-create inclusive innovations. Additionally, ongoing research should focus on evaluating the long-term impact of these technologies on health outcomes and quality of life.

By embracing a future-oriented, inclusive approach, the e-health landscape can transform disability care and contribute significantly to reducing health disparities globally.

Conclusions

E-health technologies offer significant opportunities to improve healthcare access, quality, and outcomes for people with disabilities. However, to fully harness their potential, it is crucial to recognize and address the multifaceted barriers that currently limit accessibility and equity. These barriers include technological design shortcomings, digital literacy gaps, economic challenges, privacy concerns, and socio-cultural and policy limitations.

The case studies reviewed illustrate that when e-health solutions are thoughtfully designed with user involvement, adequately supported through training, and embedded within supportive policy frameworks, they can markedly enhance healthcare experiences and health outcomes for disabled populations. Strategies such as universal design, digital literacy initiatives, inclusive policy reforms, community engagement, and robust privacy safeguards are foundational to fostering an equitable e-health environment.

Looking ahead, emerging technologies like AI, IoT, AR, and VR present exciting prospects for more personalized, adaptive, and immersive health interventions tailored to diverse disability needs. Nevertheless, the success of these innovations depends on sustained interdisciplinary collaboration, ethical vigilance, and a commitment to inclusivity from the earliest stages of development.

Ultimately, advancing e-health accessibility and equity is not only a technological challenge but also a social imperative. By centering the voices and experiences of people with disabilities in research, design, and policy, stakeholders can ensure that digital health innovations contribute meaningfully to reducing health disparities and promoting wellbeing for all.

Disclosure
Authors do not report any disclosures.
Authors' contributions:
Conceptualization: P. Bala, A. Rasińska;
Methodology: M. Kopczyński;
Software: n/a; check: A. Rasińska;
Formal analysis: M. Matusik;
Investigation: P. Rzyczniok;
Resources: J. Jachimczak, M. Kopczyński;
Data curation: M. Kopczyński, J. Jachimczak, A. Rasińska:
Writing -rough preparation: A. Rasińska, P. Bala;
Writing -review and editing: M. Matusik; J. Jachimczak;
Visualization, P. Rzyczniok;
Supervision: M. Matusik;
Project administration: M. Matusik; P. Bala;
Receiving funding: n/a.

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding statement: This research received no external funding.
Institutional Review: Board Statement Not applicable.
Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.
Data availability statement: Not applicable.
Acknowledgments: The authors declare that there are no acknowledgments for this study.
Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Baxter, P., Kennedy, K., & Stirling, C. (2021). AI-powered virtual assistants for nonverbal autistic individuals: Opportunities and challenges. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 51(7), 2473–2485. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04615-0
2. Borg, J., Lindström, A., & Larsson, S. (2021). User involvement in e-health design for people with disabilities: A systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation, 43(14), 2054–2063. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2020.1779879
3. Brennan, D. M., Tindall, L. R., Theodoros, D. G., Brown, J., Campbell, M., Christiana, D., & Smith, D. (2021). A blueprint for telerehabilitation guidelines. International Journal of Telerehabilitation, 13(1), e6377. https://doi.org/10.5195/ijt.2021.6377
4. Czaja, S. J., Boot, W. R., Charness, N., & Rogers, W. A. (2019). Designing for older adults: Principles and creative human factors approaches. CRC Press.
5. Didden, R., Scholte, E. M., & Korzilius, H. (2018). Delivering cognitive behavioral therapy via mobile app to adults with intellectual disabilities: A pilot study. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 72, 33–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.11.010
6. Ellis, K., Haar, S., & Smith, A. (2019). User-centered design in mobile health apps for persons with disabilities: A systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, 14(7), 673–685. https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2018.1465947
7. Fitzpatrick, K. K., Darcy, A., & Vierhile, M. (2017). Delivering cognitive behavior therapy to young adults with symptoms of depression and anxiety using a fully automated conversational agent (Woebot): A randomized controlled trial. JMIR Mental Health, 4(2), e19. https://doi.org/10.2196/mental.7785
8. Islam, S. M. R., Kwak, D., Kabir, M. H., Hossain, M., & Kwak, K.-S. (2021). The internet of things for health care: A comprehensive survey. IEEE Access, 7, 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2872726
9. Kenny, G., Wilson, C., & Scott, R. (2019). Effectiveness of wearable fall detection devices in older adults: A community intervention. Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy, 42(3), E34–E40. https://doi.org/10.1519/JPT.0000000000000183
10. Krahn, G. L., Walker, D. K., & Correa-De-Araujo, R. (2015). Persons with disabilities as an unrecognized health disparity population. American Journal of Public Health, 105(S2), S198–S206. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.302182

11. Lindeman, D. A., Kim, K. K., Gladstone, C., & Apesoa-Varano, E. C. (2020). Technology and health care for older adults. Generations, 44(1), 6–15.
12. Mace, R. (1997). Universal design: Barrier free environments for everyone. Designers West, 33(1), 147–152.
13. Naslund, J. A., Aschbrenner, K. A., Marsch, L. A., & Bartels, S. J. (2017). The future of mental health care: Peer-to-peer support and social media. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences, 25(2), 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796015001067
14. Patel, S., Park, H., Bonato, P., Chan, L., & Rodgers, M. (2021). A review of wearable sensors and systems with application in rehabilitation. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 19, 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-021-00920-0
15. Pramana, G., Sharma, D., & Gopal, N. (2019). Usability challenges in wearable devices for individuals with severe motor impairments: A review. Assistive Technology, 31(4), 223–231. https://doi.org/10.1080/10400435.2018.1436260
16. Roberts, E. T., & Mehrotra, A. (2020). Assessment of disparities in digital access among vulnerable populations. JAMA Internal Medicine, 180(11), 1496–1497. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2707
17. Shakespeare, T., Ndagire, F., & Seketi, Q. E. (2021). Triple jeopardy: Disabled people and the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet, 397(10282), 1331–1333. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00625-5
18. Shaw, S. E., Wherton, J., Vijayaraghavan, S., Morris, J., Bhattacharya, S., Hanson, P., & Greenhalgh, T. (2020). Supporting adoption of digital health technologies in healthcare: An interpretive policy analysis. Journal of Health Services Research & Policy, 25(3), 170–176. https://doi.org/10.1177/1355819619894048
19. Sunderji, N., Cairney, J., & Andersen, J. (2020). Telemedicine for spinal cord injury patients in rural settings: A mixed-methods evaluation. Disability and Rehabilitation, 42(25), 3643–3650. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2019.1637910
20. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 44–56. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7
21. Veinot, T. C., Ancker, J. S., & Bakken, S. (2018). Health informatics and health equity: Improving our reach and impact. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 25(8), 963–967. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocy052
22. Wieland, J., Martin, D. M., & Tournier, M. (2020). Assistive technology for communication and participation in healthcare: A systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, 15(6), 653–662. https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2019.1598564
23. World Health Organization. (2022). Global report on health equity for persons with disabilities. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240063600
24. Zhou, X., Snoswell, C. L., Harding, L. E., Bambling, M., Edirippulige, S., Bai, X., & Smith, A. C. (2020). The role of telehealth in reducing the mental health burden from COVID-19. Telemedicine and e-Health, 26(4), 377–379. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2020.0068