



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

ARTICLE TITLE	HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS AS A PUBLIC HEALTH CHALLENGE: THE ROLE OF VACCINATION AND SYSTEMIC CARE IN THE ELIMINATION OF HPV-RELATED DISEASES – A REVIEW OF CURRENT RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES
ARTICLE INFO	Aleksandra Kołdyj, Agnieszka Floriańczyk, Ewa Romanowicz, Agnieszka Ozdarska, Adrian Krzysztof Biernat, Marcin Lampart, Anna Rupińska, Hanna Skarakhdova, Kamila Krzewska. (2025) Human Papillomavirus as a Public Health Challenge: The Role of Vaccination and Systemic Care in The Elimination of HPV-Related Diseases – A Review of Current Recommendations and Implementation Challenges. <i>International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science</i> . 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3623
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3623
RECEIVED	21 July 2025
ACCEPTED	28 August 2025
PUBLISHED	10 September 2025
LICENSE	 The article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License .

© The author(s) 2025.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS AS A PUBLIC HEALTH CHALLENGE: THE ROLE OF VACCINATION AND SYSTEMIC CARE IN THE ELIMINATION OF HPV-RELATED DISEASES – A REVIEW OF CURRENT RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

Aleksandra Koldyj (Corresponding Author, Email: ola.koldyj@gmail.com)

District Medical Centre in Grójec, ul. Piotra Skargi 10, 05-600 Grójec, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0002-5695-608X

Agnieszka Floriańczyk

Masovian Bródnowski Hospital, ul. Ludwika Kondratowicza 8, 03-242 Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0003-5136-0380

Ewa Romanowicz

Independent Public Healthcare Institution in Wyszaków, ul. Komisji Edukacji Narodowej 1, 07-200 Wyszaków, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0004-6612-3174

Agnieszka Ozdarska

Independent Public Healthcare Institution in Wyszaków, ul. Komisji Edukacji Narodowej 1, 07-200 Wyszaków, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0008-7083-2140

Adrian Krzysztof Biernat

Specialist Provincial Hospital in Ciechanów, ul. Powstańców Wielkopolskich 2, 06-400 Ciechanów, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0007-6734-0447

Marcin Lampart

St. John Paul II Independent Public Western Specialist Hospital, ul. Daleka 11, 05-825 Grodzisk Mazowiecki, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0005-8485-850X

Anna Rupińska

Independent Public Outpatient Healthcare Group – Warsaw-Ochota, ul. Szczęśliwicka 36, 02-353 Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-8567-5925

Hanna Skarakhdova

Health Center of Western Mazovia Sp. z o.o., ul. Limanowskiego 30, 96-300 Żyrardów, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-8051-6837

Kamila Krzewska

Primary Care Clinic „Zdrowa Rodzina”, ul. Generała Tadeusza Pełczyńskiego 22J, 01-401 Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0002-5672-3796

ABSTRACT

The Human Papillomavirus (HPV) represents a global public health concern that can significantly impact both mental well-being and lead to the development of various diseases, including life-threatening malignancies. A proactive and informed approach is crucial in limiting the spread of the virus, which is why comprehensive education - beginning in early school years and continuing through medical training and ongoing professional development for physicians, nurses and other healthcare workers – is essential. Currently, there is no approved targeted therapy for HPV infection. Available treatment options focus primarily on managing HPV-associated lesions rather than eradicating the underlying viral infection. This review provides a comparative analysis of current HPV prevention strategies and vaccination guidelines, as well as diagnostic and therapeutic recommendations for HPV-related diseases, including cutaneous warts, genital warts, laryngeal papillomatosis, cervical cancer, and HPV-related oropharyngeal cancers.

Review methods: To obtain the most up-to-date information and clinical guidelines, online scientific databases such as Mendeley and PubMed were utilized. Additionally, resources from the World Health Organization (WHO), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), as well as websites of various professional medical societies, were consulted.

KEYWORDS

Human Papillomavirus, Vaccine, Prevention, Cervical Cancer, Condyloma Acuminata, Laryngeal Papillomatosis, Cutaneous Warts

CITATION

Aleksandra Koldyj, Agnieszka Floriańczyk, Ewa Romanowicz, Agnieszka Ozdarska, Adrian Krzysztof Biernat, Marcin Lampart, Anna Rupińska, Hanna Skarakhdova, Kamila Krzewska. (2025) Human Papillomavirus as a Public Health Challenge: The Role of Vaccination and Systemic Care in The Elimination of HPV-Related Diseases – A Review of Current Recommendations and Implementation Challenges. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3623

COPYRIGHT

© **The author(s) 2025.** This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction

Over 200 types of human papillomavirus (HPV) have been identified, among which both low-risk and high-risk types are known to induce either benign or malignant lesions in the human body.

Table1. Genotypes of Human Papillomavirus [1]

Low-risk types of HPV	6, 11, 40, 42, 43, 44, 54, 61, 72, 82
High-risk types of HPV	16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66, 68

Low-risk HPV types are responsible for genital warts in both men and women, as well as for cutaneous warts. In contrast, high risk types are associated with the development of cancers in the oral cavity, pharynx and genital area, including cervical, vulvar, vaginal, penile and anal cancer. [1]

HPV infection is among the most common sexually transmitted infections. According to a 2010 meta-analysis comprising 194 studies and data from over one million women, the highest prevalence of HPV was observed in sub-Saharan Africa (20.0%), Eastern Europe (21.4%) and Latin America (16.0%). Among the most frequently detected HPV types 16, 18, 31, 52 and 58 are routinely identified. [2]

According to 2022 WHO data, cervical cancer ranks fourth in incidence and third in mortality among women, with 99% of cases attributable to prior HPV infection. The highest incidence is reported in West and sub-Saharan Africa, as well as in countries such as Bolivia, Guyana and Papua New Guinea, where rates range between 24.6 and 95.5 per 100,000 people in the population. [3]

Cervical cancer mortality follows a similar geographic distribution, ranging from 16.8 to 64.3 per 100,000 people in the population. [4]

HPV-related cancers and global incidence (2022 data):

- Cervical cancer: approximately 628,000 cases
- Oropharyngeal cancer: 39,794 cases
- Anal cancer: 54,306 cases
- Vulvar cancer: 14,674 cases
- Vaginal cancer: 14,679 cases
- Penile cancer: 19,227 cases. [5]

2. Pathogenesis of HPV Infection

HPV is a non-enveloped DNA virus, 50-60 nm in diameter, classified within the *Papillomaviridae* family. Its genome comprises early (E) and late (L) genes, each responsible for distinct functions during infection.

Table 2. Functions of the proteins

L1, L2	Capsid protein synthesis
E1	Functions as a helicase regulate viral genome replication
E2	Facilitates binding of E1 protein
E4	Interacts with keratins; involved in virion release, transmission and post-translation modifications
E5	A key oncoprotein implicated in HPV-induced carcinogenesis; supports angiogenesis
E6	Promotes p53 degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, inhibiting apoptosis; enhances angiogenesis
E7	Disrupts the cell cycle, promoting cervical dysplasia, facilitating cellular invasion and inducing inflammation

The human papillomavirus exhibits the highest tropism for keratinocytes, infecting exclusively the undifferentiated basal cells of the skin or mucosal epithelium – known as basal epithelial cells. Following endocytosis, the virus enters the host cell and is transported via vesicles to the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus. It then undergoes a series of structural modifications and releases its viral genome near the perinuclear region. In its episomal form, the viral DNA penetrates the nucleus through nuclear pores, initiating either active or latent replication. [6,7]

The exact mechanism determining why some individuals progress from asymptomatic HPV carriage to clinically apparent infection remain unclear. It is hypothesized that in immunocompromised individuals impaired cellular immune response result in HPV tolerance, preventing effective clearance of the virus. [8]

3. Vaccines

Currently bivalent, quadrivalent and nonavalent vaccines based on virus-like particles (VLPs) are approved for use on the market. The trade names of the bivalent vaccines include Ceequin, Cervarix and Walrinvax; the quadrivalent vaccine is marketed as Gardasil; the nonavalent vaccine as Gardasil-9. Each formulation targets specific HPV types. The latest-generation nonavalent vaccine contains nine genotypes: 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58. [9]

3.1. Safety

There have been isolated reports from individual studies suggesting a potential association between HPV vaccines and various conditions including Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS), Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome (POTS), Primary Ovarian Insufficiency (POI), Venous Thrombosis, Guillain-Barré Syndrome and autoimmune diseases (e.g. celiac disease). In response to concerns about the safety of HPV vaccines, the World Health Organization (WHO) commissioned a review of serious adverse events which found no evidence that the vaccine increases the risk of any of the conditions. Additionally, the Global

Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety (GACVS) has deemed the vaccine to be exceptionally safe, a conclusion supported by data collected after the administration of over 270 million doses. The benefits of vaccination substantially outweigh any potential risks.

No adverse effects on pregnancy, the fetus or the newborn have been observed, as confirmed by studies conducted in Denmark and the United States. [10]

3.2. Dosage

Table 3. Vaccines dosage

Age/Type of vaccine	Gardasil	Cervarix	Gardasil 9
9-14 years old	2-dose schedule in months: 0, 6 3-dose schedule in months: 0, 2,	2-dose schedule in months: 0, 6-12 (In case when second dose is given earlier than after 5 months, then a third dose must be given)	2-dose schedule in months: 0, 6-12 3-dose schedule in months: 0, 2, 6
15 years and older	3-dose schedule in months: 0, 2, 6 (Each of the doses should be given within 1 year)	3-dose schedule in months: 0, 1, 6 (Second dose can be given between 1 and 2,5 months after the first dose and third dose between 5 and 12 months after the first dose)	3-dose schedule in months: 0, 2, 6 (Each of the doses should be given within 1 year)

The route of administration of the HPV-vaccines is intramuscular. [1]

3.3. Adverse effects

In clinical trials following vaccination, all HPV vaccines met safety criteria and demonstrated a comparable incidence of adverse post-vaccination reactions. Local reactions primarily included pain at the injection site (35-88%), pain severe enough to impair normal activity (6%), erythema (5-40%) and swelling (4-35%).

Systemic reactions were generally mild and self-limiting, including headache, dizziness, gastrointestinal symptoms (such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain), arthritis and myalgia.

Anaphylactic reactions occurred very rarely - approximately 1.7 cases per million doses administered – likely due to hypersensitivity to one of the vaccine components.

Fainting episodes were sporadically reported and are considered stress-related rather than a direct adverse effect of the vaccine. Preventive measures, such as having the patient seated and observing them for 15 minutes post-vaccination, are recommended. [10,12]

3.4. Efficacy

Numerous clinical trials and randomized controlled studies – including a 9-year prospective cohort study in Denmark, a 10-year prospective cohort study in Greece, a population-based cohort study in Sweden, a registry-based cohort study in Denmark and a randomized clinical trial in Costa Rica – have been conducted across different regions of the world. These studies consistently confirm the preventive efficacy of the HPV vaccine and its long-term protective effects. [12,13,14,15,16]

4. Diseases

Depending on the genotype of the human papillomavirus (HPV) to which an individual is exposed, various clinical manifestations may develop. HPV is responsible for common cutaneous warts, which are classified into four types: verruca vulgaris (common warts), verruca plantaris (plantar warts), mosaic warts (resulting from coalescence of multiple plantar warts) and verruca plana (flat warts). These are benign lesions, although they exhibit a high tendency for recurrence. The likelihood of occurrence increases with age, due to persistent viral replication. Cutaneous warts are typically more frequent in males and are most commonly observed in individuals with compromised immune function.

Warts that develop on mucosal surfaces of the anogenital regions are referred to as condyloma acuminata (anogenital warts). These lesions typically arise at sites of microtrauma in HPV carriers and represent the most prevalent clinical manifestation of HPV infection worldwide. They are most commonly associated with low-risk genotypes 6 and 11. [7] The same genotypes of HPV are also responsible for another condition – recurrent respiratory papillomatosis (RRP) – characterized by benign papillomatous growths within the respiratory tract. Although histologically benign, these lesions carry the potential for malignant transformation, particularly when they disseminate to the pulmonary system. RRP is classified into juvenile-onset and adult-onset forms. Juvenile-onset RRP, more commonly associated with HPV type 11, is typically diagnosed before the age of 12 and tends to follow a more aggressive clinical course, often necessitating tracheotomy. Transmission in such cases is primarily vertical, occurring during natural birth. In contrast, adult-onset RRP is more frequently caused by HPV type 6, usually diagnosed after puberty and is generally less aggressive. In adults, transmission most commonly occurs through orogenital contact.

In contrast, warts occurring in the throat are diagnosed as laryngeal papillomatosis, which may undergo malignant transformation, particularly if the lesions spread into the lungs. [8]

The most serious HPV-related diseases include cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx, as well as malignancies of the genital tract. Cervical cancer is currently the fourth most common malignancy among women worldwide. It is most frequently caused by HPV genotypes 16 and 18. An untreated HPV infection can progress to cancer, typically over a period of 15 to 20 years. In immunocompromised women (e.g. those infected with HIV), this progression may occur more rapidly – within approximately 5 to 10 years. Risk factors for the development of cervical cancer include: early age at first pregnancy, high number of childbirths (more than five deliveries), early onset of sexual activity, a high number of sexual partners, presence of other sexually transmitted diseases, immune competence, long-term use of hormonal contraception, cigarette smoking and the oncogenic potential of the HPV genotype involved. [17] Head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC), originating from the mucosal epithelium of the oral cavity, pharynx and larynx are most commonly associated with tobacco smoking and/or excessive alcohol consumption. However, there is a subset of HPV-positive HNSCCs, whose development is primarily attributed to infection with HPV genotype 16. [18] The increasing incidence of HNSCC is associated with changes in sexual practices. Risk factors include high number of sexual partners, early initiation of sexual activity, oral sex, direct contact between genital infection and oral infection (of HPV), open-mouth kissing and lack of condom use. [19]

5. Strategies

5.1. New Strategies

The Global Strategy to Accelerate the Elimination of Cervical Cancer as a Public Health Problem was proposed and published by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2020. The strategy is centered around a „triple intervention” approach:

1. HPV vaccination
2. Cervical cancer screening
3. Treatment

The WHO aims to implement these measures by 2030 in order to reach a target incidence of 4 cases per 100,000 woman-years by 2120. The key interim targets to be achieved by 2030 are known as the 90-70-90 goals, where:

- 90% of girls are fully vaccinated against HPV by age 15
- 70% of women are screened with a high-performance test at ages 35 and 45
- 90% of women diagnosed with cervical disease receive appropriate treatment and care.

As of June 2025, 147 countries have integrated HPV vaccination into their national immunization programs. Among them, 86 countries focus on vaccinating only girls/women, while the remaining 61 countries include both sexes in their vaccination strategies. [20]

5.2. Vaccines against HPV

In April 2022, the World Health Organization (WHO) issued updated immunization recommendations, including guidance on HPV vaccination. The Strategic Advisory Groups of Experts (SAGE) recommends administering the HPV vaccine to young girls and boys aged 9 to 14 years, with the aim of providing immunization as early as possible and prior to the onset of sexual activity. Depending on the vaccine type, the appropriate dosing schedule – based on the manufacturer's guidelines – should be followed. Typically, a 2-dose

schedule is recommended for girls aged 9-14, while 3-dose schedule is advised for those 15 years and older and for immunocompromised individuals (including those living with HIV), to be completed within one year.

However, numerous randomized clinical trials have demonstrated that. A single-dose regimen offers comparable efficacy, effectiveness and duration of protection in immunocompetent females under the age of 18, relative to the standard two-dose schedule. Although the one-dose regimen is not currently included in vaccine manufacturer's guidelines, it is endorsed by SAGE (Strategic Advisory Group of Experts) due to its simplified implementation, lower cost and high effectiveness.

HPV vaccination is a form of primary prevention, but it does not eliminate the risk of infection or cancer entirely, as current vaccines do not cover all HPV genotypes. [10, 21]

5.2.1. Recommendations for Poland

Recommendations for Poland (March 2022) regarding prophylactic HPV vaccination were developed in cooperation with the Polish Society of Gynecologists and Obstetricians, the Polish Pediatric Society, the Polish Society of Family Medicine, the Polish Society of Gynecologic Oncology, the Polish Vaccinology Society and the Polish Society of Colposcopy and Cervical Pathophysiology.

The guidelines identify girls aged 11-13 years as the priority group of vaccination. The next steps should focus on vaccinating girls over the age of 13 as well as boys aged 11-13 years. In older groups vaccination may also be beneficial – for instance, in women previously diagnosed and treated for cervical precancerous lesions, the HPV vaccine may reduce the risk of recurrence.

Under the National Immunization Program, the selection of the vaccine should be based on efficacy, the genotype composition most relevant to the epidemiology of HPV in Poland and cost-effectiveness.

The qualification process for HPV vaccination is the same as for other vaccines and the contraindications are no different. Pregnancy testing prior to vaccination is not required. Co-administration of HPV vaccines with vaccines against pertussis, tetanus, diphtheria, inactivated polio, hepatitis A and B, meningococcal disease or COVID-19 is considered safe. In such cases, an injection site distance of at least 2.5 cm should be maintained or the vaccines should be administered in opposite arms.

It is important to consider the risk of syncope, which can occur due to stress related to vaccination. Proper patient preparation is essential to reduce the risk – it is advised that the patient sits or lies down during injection and offering a drink or snack may also help. Post-vaccination observation for at least 30 minutes is recommended to monitor for anaphylaxis or other acute adverse events.

The final point of the recommendations emphasizes the importance of education about vaccination among both patients and healthcare professionals. Suggested measures include public campaigns, conferences and educational initiatives within clinics and hospitals. [1]

Poland introduced HPV vaccination into its National Immunization Program in 2023 and the success of implementation largely depends on the active involvement of medical institutions. [22]

5.3. Recommendations for the Western Pacific Region

In response to the growing burden of cervical cancer, Member States, in collaboration with the World Health Organization (WHO) and partners in the Western Pacific Region, have developed strategic approaches aimed at eliminating cervical cancer from the region. The three core pillars of this strategy are:

1. Strengthening health services and health system
2. Sustainable financing mechanisms
3. Health education, health promotion, improved communication and community engagement

Significant disparities across the region pose a major challenge to implementing a unified prevention and treatment action plan. In many countries, health systems remain underdeveloped and in resource-limited settings, there is often a lack of funding to implement even the basic components of cervical cancer prevention and care.

The recommendations for the Western Pacific Region highlight the updated 2022 WHO guidance on the use of a one- or two-dose HPV vaccination schedule for girls 9-14 years, as well as for older adolescent girls and women aged 15-20 who have not been previously vaccinated. Currently, countries in the region are at different stages of introducing or integrating HPV vaccination into their national immunization programmes.

The recommendations note that the primary barrier to implementation is the cost of introducing HPV vaccines. In many countries, there are insufficient public funds to provide vaccination free of charge and individuals must bear the cost themselves. For low-income countries, support from the global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (Gavi) may offer a solution, as the organization co-finances the procurement of vaccines in selected eligible countries. [23]

5.4. Recommendations for the African Region

The strategic plan for African countries includes the integration of a one-dose HPV vaccination schedule, as well as the incorporation of cervical cancer screening and treatment into essential health system service packages. Similar to other regions, particular emphasis is placed on the importance of education regarding HPV vaccination, in order to eliminate misconceptions among patients and increase awareness of HPV-related diseases.

The plan also outlines the need to expand access to high-quality, affordable screening tests. The African Region aims to utilize existing multipurpose molecular testing platforms to improve the availability of HPV testing. In addition, it seeks to enhance access to surgical treatment, chemotherapy and radiotherapy services.

The strategy includes the establishment of robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to assess the effectiveness of cervical cancer elimination programmes, as well as the strengthening of national research infrastructure to advance scientific knowledge in the fields of cervical cancer prevention and treatment.

One of the most significant challenges facing the African Region is securing sustainable funding for these initiatives. However, several African countries benefit from support provided by the global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (Gavi), which has facilitated the implementation of these objectives. [24]

5.5. Recommendations for the European Region

As of 2025, 47 out of 53 countries in the WHO European Region have incorporated the HPV vaccine into their national immunization programmes, with 39 of them offering the vaccine to both girls and boys. Currently, only 15 countries have achieved a vaccination coverage rate of 70% or higher. The WHO has outlined several steps that should be taken to improve existing outcomes.

It has emphasized the importance of evidence-based decision-making, particularly in countries that have not yet implemented HPV vaccination in their national schedules. In countries where the vaccination coverage remains suboptimal, catch-up vaccination strategies should be developed to ensure access for individuals outside the primary target group, including those from hard-to-reach areas.

Moreover, it is essential to strengthen the competencies of healthcare professionals in communicating with adolescents and their parents regarding the safety and importance of HPV vaccination. [25]

5.6. Recommendations for the Region of the Americas

The Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) recommends that one or two doses of the HPV vaccine be administered to girls between the ages of 9 and 14. In immunocompromised individuals (e.g. patients living with HIV), two doses should be given at an interval of six months, or -if feasible- a three-dose schedule should be used.

Member States are encouraged to strengthen school-based immunization programmes, as this significantly increases vaccine coverage rates. To ensure the most effective population-level protection and to accelerate elimination of cervical cancer, HPV vaccination should also be introduced for girls and women over 15 years of age, as well as for boys and men.

A regional health protection fund should guarantee access to HPV vaccines at affordable prices throughout the Americas. [26]

5.7. Recommendations for Eastern Mediterranean Region

The Eastern Mediterranean Region has developed five strategic directions aimed at eliminating cervical cancer.

The first area focuses on primary prevention-countries in the region must be prioritize accelerating the implementation of Human Papillomavirus vaccination programs in order to improve vaccination coverage rates. The second pillar emphasizes early detection through the expansion of cervical cancer screening programs and the treatment of precancerous lesions.

The third component involves comprehensive treatment and care, with an emphasis on ensuring continuity of services, including improved access to early diagnosis, therapeutic interventions, rehabilitation and palliative care.

The fourth and fifth strategic directions are supportive in nature-addressing the strengthening of health systems and the enhancement of communication, advocacy and community engagement. These complementary efforts aim to promote service integration and improve overall health outcomes across the region. [27]

6. Screening

6.1. Molecular Diagnostics

Molecular diagnostics for the detection of HPV have been recommended as the primary screening test for cervical cancer in the guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) published in 2021, as well as in the WHO recommendations issued in 2021. The recommended method is primary high-risk HPV (HR-HPV) testing, which involves genotyping for HPV types 16 and 18 in the initial phase of screening, followed by cytology for those who test positive for HR-HPV. These recommendations apply to women aged over 25 years, with the suggestion to continue testing until at least the age of 74 (up to the age of 70, according to the ASCOs recommendations). [28, 29]

6.2. Cytology

Cytology is a screening test capable of detecting early neoplastic changes within cervix. The procedure involves collecting a sample from the ectocervix and endocervical canal using a cytobrush, which is then either smeared onto a glass slide and fixed with 96% ethanol (in the case of conventional cytology) or placed in a vial containing a liquid fixative (for liquid-based cytology – LBC). [30]

Recent studies have demonstrated that HPV DNA-based tests offer higher sensitivity, particularly in detecting CIN3 lesions and more advanced cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. In 2021, the World Health Organizations (WHO) recommended the broader implementation of HPV DNA testing to enhance the detection of HPV-associated precancerous or cancerous lesions in women. [31]

However, it should be noted that, compared to cytology, HPV DNA testing more frequently leads to the detection of CIN2 lesions in younger women, which are known to have higher likelihood of spontaneous regression. [32]

6.3. WHO Recommendations on Diagnostic Testing

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), women should undergo cervical cancer screening every 5 to 10 years, starting at the age of 30. In contrast, women who test positive for HIV should begin screening at the age of 25 and repeat it every 3 years. Precancerous lesions, as well as early-stage cervical cancer, are frequently asymptomatic and painless; therefore, regular screening is crucial for early detection.

Currently, liquid-based cytology is commonly used, allowing for the identification of infections with specific HPV genotypes. In cases where HPV infection is confirmed, colposcopy should be performed accompanied by targeted biopsies and endocervical curettage. [21]

6.4. Recommendations of the Polish Society of Gynecologists and Obstetricians

In June 2022, the Polish Society of Gynecologists and Obstetricians published guideline for primary cervical cancer screening, introducing five diagnostic algorithms aimed at facilitating the interpretation and practical application of screening models. The proposed system enables gynecologists to initiate preliminary screening and refer more advanced cases to colposcopy specialists.

The position statement recommends initiating screening at the age of 25, with no upper age limit, though it advises continuing testing at least until the age of 74. The preferred screening method is high-risk HPV (HR-HPV) testing with genotyping for typed 16 and 18. As alternative methods, co-testing (HR-HPV testing and cytology) or cytology alone (conventional or liquid-based) may be used. In cases of equivocal cytological findings (when it is unclear whether the lesion corresponds to LSIL or HSIL), the use of p16/Ki-67 dual-stain testing is recommended. Increased expression of p16 suggests a high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL), in which case colposcopy and biopsy are indicated.

Recommended screening intervals for patients with HR-HPV-negative results and NILM (Negative for Intraepithelial Lesion or Malignancy) cytology are every 3 to 5 years. For HR-HPV-negative cases with abnormal cytology results, such as ASC-US (Atypical Squamous Cells of Undetermined Significance) or LSIL (Low-grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion), annual follow-up testing is advised.

When cytology is used as the primary screening test, follow-up intervals should range from 1 to 3 years depending on the results. [33]

As of July 1, 2025, the National Health Fund has introduced free HPV-HR testing with genotyping triage using liquid-based cytology (LBC). Cervical samples will be collected from patients for primary HPV-HR molecular screening. In the event of a positive result, cytological examination (liquid-based) will be performed on the previously collected sample. [34]

7. Treatment

7.1. Management of Anogenital Warts

Anogenital warts (condyloma acuminata) are most often diagnosed during physical examination; however, in some cases, a biopsy and histopathological assessment may be required. These lesions may regress spontaneously or proliferate extensively in number and size. Management largely depends on patients, as well as the location and size of the lesions.

For at-home treatment, the following topical agents may be used: imiquimod cream (3.75% or 5%), podophyllotoxin gel (0.5%) or sinecatechin ointment (15%). Physician-administered therapies include surgical excision (using scissors, electrosurgery, curettage or CO₂ laser ablation), cryotherapy or chemical ablation using 80-90% trichloroacetic acid or dichloroacetic acid.

Alternative therapies include application of podophyllin resin, topical cidofovir, interferon therapy (administered locally) and photodynamic therapy. However, these options should be discussed with the patient, as current evidence supporting their efficacy is limited and potential risks must be considered. [35]

7.2. Management of Cutaneous Warts

The treatment of cutaneous warts depends on their type and localization. For common warts, cryotherapy is considered the first-line treatment when well tolerated by patient. However, for individuals preferring home-based therapy, topical preparations containing salicylic acid – often in combination with lactic acid – may be used. Thermotherapy is also an available option for wart removal.

Plantar warts may be treated with intralesional bleomycin injections, laser therapy, cryotherapy or thermotherapy in the case of primary lesions. In recurrent cases, CO₂ laser ablation combined with intralesional injection of recombinant human interleukin-2 is recommended.

Flat warts, especially when present in multiple lesions, can be managed with CO₂ laser therapy or intralesional injections of bleomycin or *Candida albicans* antigen. In cases of intolerance or contraindications to the aforementioned methods, photodynamic therapy may be considered. [36]

7.3. Treatment of Laryngeal Papillomatosis

Currently, due to the lack of targeted therapies, surgical excision remains the gold standard. In cases where the disease exhibits an aggressive course, complete eradication often requires multiple surgical intervention. [8]

7.4. Treatment of Cervical Cancer

Cervical cancer treatment is based on the clinical stage of the disease.

In early-stage cervical cancer (i.e. stages IA-IB1), with tumor size ≤ 2 cm, the recommended treatment is surgical. The procedure of choice for stage IA1 is laser or electrosurgical loop excision (conization) with adequate margins; for stage IA2, simple hysterectomy is preferred. Sentinel lymph node biopsy should be performed for staging. For women who have completed childbearing and present with IA1 or IA2 lesions, simple hysterectomy is also recommended.

For stage IB1 tumors, conization and sentinel lymph node assessment should be performed. If lymph node involvement is confirmed histologically, chemoradiation is the preferred treatment. As an alternative to surgery, radiotherapy may be considered in stage IB1 depending on the risk-benefit assessment especially in cases where reoperation may be required.

In locally advanced stages (i.e. IB2-IIA1) without lymph node involvement and with clear surgical margins and/or no residual tumor, concurrent chemoradiotherapy is recommended. Radical surgery (including removal of the parametrium, upper vagina and pelvic lymph node) may be considered when adjuvant chemoradiotherapy is not expected postoperatively. If imaging shows residual tumor, suspicious lymph nodes or positive surgical margins at these stages, chemoradiotherapy with or without brachytherapy is the treatment of choice. Para-aortic lymph node dissection should be performed for diagnostic purposes even when these nodes appear uninvolved, particularly if pelvic nodes are positive.

In advanced, disseminated, inoperable cases (i.e. IB3-IVA), imaging (PET-CT) and nodal staging should be performed. If lymph node metastases are present, radical concurrent chemoradiotherapy is indicated. If lymph nodes are not involved, treatment should be individualized based on risk factors such as tumor size, histopathological findings and patient age. Surgical treatment with intraoperative lymph node assessment may be considered. If nodes are negative, a radical hysterectomy can be performed; if nodes are positive, definitive chemoradiotherapy with image-guided brachytherapy is recommended. [38]

Table 4. Staging of Cervical Carcinoma [37]

Stage	Stage Description		
I	Stromal invasion	IA – Stromal invasion < 3 mm	
		IB - Stromal invasion ≥ 3 mm to < 5 mm	IB1 – Stromal invasion ≥ 5 mm or positive surgical margins after conization; tumor size < 2 cm
			IB2 – Tumor size ≥ 2 to < 4 cm
			IB3 – Tumor size ≥ 4 cm
II	Tumor extends beyond the uterus but does not reach the lower third of the vagina or the pelvic wall	IIA – No parametrial invasion	IIA1 – Tumor size < 4 cm
			IIA2 – Tumor size ≥ 4 cm
		IIB – Parametrial invasion not reaching the pelvic wall	
III	Tumor involves the lower third of the vagina and/or extends to the pelvic wall and/or causes hydronephrosis or non-functioning kidney and/or has pelvic or para-aortic lymph node metastases	IIIA – Tumor involves the lower third of the vagina, not the pelvic wall	
		IIIB – Tumor extends to the pelvic wall and/or causes hydronephrosis or non-functioning kidney	
		IIIC – Lymph note metastases	IIIC1 – Involvement of pelvic lymph nodes (e.g. obturator, iliac)
			IIIC2 – Involvement of para-aortic lymph nodes
IV	Tumor extends beyond the true pelvis and/or invades the mucosa of the bladder or rectum	IVA – Tumor invades the bladder or rectal mucosa	
		IVB – Distant metastases present	

7.5. Treatment of HPV-Related Oropharyngeal Cancers

HPV-related oropharyngeal cancer exhibit increased sensitivity to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, which often makes these modalities the treatment of choice. This translates into a more favorable prognosis and higher overall survival rates. Lesions identified at an early stage (i.e. T1-T2, N0-N1) can be managed surgically, either via transoral approach or through open excision combined with selective neck dissection. Definitive radiotherapy serves as an alternative treatment modality.[39]

Management of intermediate and advanced stages centers on radiochemotherapy using standardized protocols. A common approach involves dose de-escalation of radiation therapy by 15-20% while maintaining concurrent chemotherapy. Clinical outcomes show no evidence of disease progression in 92% of patients at two years post-treatment, along with lower incidence of adverse effects.[40]

A 2019 study presented a novel treatment strategy that combined the administration of an HPV-16 vaccine in a three-dose schedule (on days 1, 22 and 50) with nivolumab (a PD-1 inhibitor), given biweekly starting on a day 8 and continuing for up to one year. The findings demonstrated good tolerability and safety, with a one-year overall rate of 70%, representing an improvement compared to nivolumab monotherapy. [41]

In randomized controlled trial involving patients with HPV-positive, low-oncogenic-risk oropharyngeal and oral cavity cancers, standard treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy was compared to a regimen using cetuximab. These results showed no significant difference in treatment-related toxicity; however, cisplatin demonstrated superiority in terms of 2-year overall survival and 2-year disease recurrence risk. [42]

Conclusions

The Human Papillomavirus (HPV) is a globally widespread pathogen, which is why education on modes of transmission, prevention, diagnostics and treatment should begin as early as school age. While there is no targeted therapy against HPV infection, effective preventive measures - namely vaccination – are available.

Despite the lack of evidence linking HPV vaccines to the development of various previously mentioned diseases, the Global Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety (GACVS) reports that unfounded allegations and fears persist, undermining public trust in vaccines and contributing to reduced vaccination coverage in certain countries. The anti-vaccine movement has also significantly affected HPV immunization rates both in Poland and worldwide. This highlights the critical importance of educational initiatives introduced in schools (targeting both children and parents), as well as training medical personnel in effective patient communication to address and dispel vaccine-related concerns.

Lack of awareness about HPV-related risks and fear of potential side effects are only part of the barriers to implementing the WHO strategy. Due to financial constraints, many countries are unable to independently fund HPV prevention programs. In this context, support from international organizations such as Gavi plays a vital role. However, prevention efforts must be complemented by comprehensive, specialized healthcare systems that ensure access to appropriate diagnostics and treatment for HPV-related diseases.

The successful implementation of the WHO's global strategy for HPV elimination by 2120 depends on the commitment of all member states to adopt and integrate the recommended interventions within their national healthcare systems.

Disclosure: Authors do not report any disclosures.

Author's contribution:

Conceptualization: Aleksandra Kołdyj, Agnieszka Floriańczyk

Methodology: Ewa Romanowicz, Marcin Lampart

Software: Anna Rupińska, Adrian Krzysztof Biernat

Check: Zuzanna Jasińska, Ewa Romanowicz

Formal analysis: Kamila Krzewska, Agnieszka Ozdarska

Investigation: Zuzanna Jasińska, Aleksandra Kołdyj

Resources: Aleksandra Kołdyj, Katarzyna Kozon

Data curation: Agnieszka Floriańczyk, Anna Rupińska

Writing-rough preparation: Aleksandra Kołdyj, Ewa Romanowicz

Writing-review and editing: Marcin Lampart, Adrian Krzysztof Biernat

Supervision: Kamila Krzewska, Anna Rupińska

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Founding Statement: The study did not receive funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements: Not applicable

REFERENCES

1. Nowakowski, A., Jach, R., Szenborn, L., Bidziński, M., Jackowska, T., Kotarski, J., et al. (2023). Recommendations of the Polish Society of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians [...] on prophylactic vaccinations against infections with human papillomaviruses in Poland. *Ginekologia Polska*, 94(9), 759–767. <https://doi.org/10.5603/GP.a2022.0101>
2. Bruni, L., Diaz, M., Castellsagué, X., Ferrer, E., Bosch, F. X., & de Sanjosé, S. (2010). Cervical human papillomavirus prevalence in 5 continents: Meta-analysis of 1 million women with normal cytological findings. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 202(12), 1789–1799. <https://doi.org/10.1086/657321>
3. International Agency for Research on Cancer. (n.d.). *Global Cancer Observatory. Cancer today – Cervical cancer incidence (heatmap)*. Retrieved August 7, 2025, from <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/en/dataviz/maps-heatmap?mode=population&sexes=2&cancers=23&types=0>

4. International Agency for Research on Cancer. (n.d.). *Global Cancer Observatory. Cervical cancer mortality (heatmap)*. Retrieved August 7, 2025, from <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/en/dataviz/maps-heatmap?mode=population&sexes=2&cancers=23&types=1>
5. Zhang, J., Ke, Y., Chen, C., et al. (2025). HPV cancer burden by anatomical site, country, and region in 2022. *Scientific Reports*, 15, 21048. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-06700-8>
6. Buck, C. B., Day, P. M., & Trus, B. L. (2013). The papillomavirus major capsid protein L1. *Virology*, 445(1–2), 169–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2013.05.038>
7. Kombe Kombe, A. J., Li, B., Zahid, A., Mengist, H. M., Bounda, G. A., Zhou, Y., et al. (2021). Epidemiology and burden of human papillomavirus and related diseases, molecular pathogenesis, and vaccine evaluation. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8, 552028. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.552028>
8. Jackowska, J., Piersiala, K., Klimza, H., & Wierzbicka, M. (2018). Outcomes of bevacizumab and cidofovir treatment in HPV-associated recurrent respiratory papillomatosis – review of the literature. *Otolaryngologia Polska*, 72(4), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0012.0484>
9. World Health Organization. (2024). *Considerations for human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine product choice* (2nd ed.). Geneva: WHO. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/>
10. World Health Organization. (2022). Human papillomavirus vaccines: WHO position paper (2022 update). *Weekly Epidemiological Record*, 97(50), 645–672. Retrieved August 7, 2025, from <https://iorgaris.who.int/handle/10665/365351>
11. World Health Organization. (2022). Human papillomavirus vaccines: WHO position paper, December 2022. *Weekly Epidemiological Record*, 97(50), 645–672.
12. Hoes, J., Pasmans, H., Knol, M. J., Donken, R., van Marm Wattimena, N., Schepp, R. M., et al. (2020). Persisting antibody response 9 years after bivalent human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination in a cohort of Dutch women: Immune response and the relation to genital HPV infections. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 221(11), 1884–1894. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa007>
13. Paraskevaïdis, E., Athanasiou, A., Paraskevaïdi, M., Bilirakis, E., Galazios, G., Kontomanolis, E., et al.; HeCPA Group. (2020). Cervical pathology following HPV vaccination in Greece: A 10-year HeCPA observational cohort study. *In Vivo*, 34(3), 1445–1449. <https://doi.org/10.21873/invivo.11927>
14. Herweijer, E., Feldman, A. L., Ploner, A., Arnheim Dahlström, L., Uhnoo, I., et al. (2015). The participation of HPV vaccinated women in a national cervical screening program: Population based cohort study. *PLoS ONE*, 10(7), e0134185. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134185>
15. Thamsborg, L. H., Napolitano, G., Larsen, L. G., & Lynge, E. (2018). Impact of HPV vaccination on outcome of cervical cytology screening in Denmark – A register based cohort study. *International Journal of Cancer*, 143(7), 1662–1670. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.31568>
16. Porras, C., Tsang, S. H., Herrero, R., Guillén, D., Darragh, T. M., Stoler, M. H., et al.; Costa Rica Vaccine Trial Group. (2020). Efficacy of the bivalent HPV vaccine against HPV-16/18-associated precancer: Long-term follow-up results from the Costa Rica Vaccine Trial. *Lancet Oncology*, 21(12), 1643–1652. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-2045\(20\)30524-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-2045(20)30524-6)
17. World Health Organization. (2024, March 5). *Cervical cancer*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cervical-cancer>
18. Johnson, D. E., Burtress, B., Leemans, C. R., Lui, V. W. Y., Bauman, J. E., & Grandis, J. R. (2020). Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 6(1), 92. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-020-00224-3>
19. Wierzbicka, M., Józefiak, A., Szydlowski, J., et al. (2013). Recommendations for the diagnosis of human papilloma virus (HPV) high and low risk in the prevention and treatment of diseases of the oral cavity, pharynx and larynx. Guide of experts PTORL and KIDL. *Otolaryngologia Polska*, 67(3), 113–134.
20. World Health Organization. (n.d.). *Immunization, vaccines and biologicals; HPV vaccine introduction clearing house; HPV dashboard*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from [https://www.who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-biologicals/diseases/human-papillomavirus-vaccines-\(HPV\)/hvpv-clearing-house/hpv-dashboard](https://www.who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-biologicals/diseases/human-papillomavirus-vaccines-(HPV)/hvpv-clearing-house/hpv-dashboard)
21. World Health Organization. (2024, March 5). *Human papillomavirus and cancer*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/human-papilloma-virus-and-cancer>
22. World Health Organization. (n.d.). *HPV vaccine introduction clearing house: HPV dashboard*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from [https://www.who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-biologicals/diseases/human-papillomavirus-vaccines-\(HPV\)/hvpv-clearing-house/hpv-dashboard](https://www.who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-biologicals/diseases/human-papillomavirus-vaccines-(HPV)/hvpv-clearing-house/hpv-dashboard)
23. World Health Organization Regional Office for the Western Pacific. (2023). *Strategic framework for the comprehensive prevention and control of cervical cancer in the Western Pacific Region 2023–2030*. Manila: WHO. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/>
24. World Health Organization African Region. (n.d.). *Status of the cervical cancer elimination initiative in WHO African Region*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from <https://www.afro.who.int/publications/status-cervical-cancer-elimination-initiative-who-african-region>

25. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. (2022). *Roadmap to accelerate the elimination of cervical cancer as a public health problem in the WHO European Region 2022–2030*. Copenhagen: WHO. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/>
26. Pan American Health Organization. (2025, January 8). *1999–2024 TAG recommendations for human papilloma virus (HPV)*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from <https://www.paho.org/en/documents/1999-2024-tag-recommendations-human-papilloma-virus-hpv>
27. World Health Organization Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean. (2023). *Regional cervical cancer elimination strategy for the Eastern Mediterranean*. Cairo: WHO. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/>
28. World Health Organization. (2021). *WHO guideline for screening and treatment of cervical pre-cancer lesions for cervical cancer prevention* (2nd ed.). Geneva: WHO. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/>
29. Shastri, S. S., et al. (2022). Secondary prevention of cervical cancer: ASCO resource-stratified guideline update. *JCO Global Oncology*, 8, e2200217. <https://doi.org/10.1200/GO.22.00217>
30. Birdsong, G. G., & Davey, D. D. (2015). Specimen adequacy. In R. Nayar & D. Wilbur (Eds.), *The Bethesda system for reporting cervical cytology* (pp. 1–18). Cham: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11074-5_1
31. Inturrisi, F., de Sanjosé, S., Desai, K. T., et al. (2024). A rapid HPV typing assay to support global cervical cancer screening and risk-based management: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Cancer*, 154(2), 241–250. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.34698>
32. Ronco, G., et al. (2010). Efficacy of human papillomavirus testing for the detection of invasive cervical cancers and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncology*, 11(3), 249–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(09\)70360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70360-2)
33. Nasierowska-Guttmejer, A., Kędzia, W., Wojtylak, S., Lange, D., Rokita, W., Jach, R., & Wielgoś, M. (2016). Polish recommendations regarding diagnostics and treatment of cervical squamous intraepithelial lesions according to the CAP/ASCCP guidelines. *Ginekologia Polska*, 87(9), 670–676. <https://doi.org/10.5603/GP.2016.0066>
34. Narodowy Fundusz Zdrowia. (2025, June 30). *Komunikat dla świadczeniodawców*. Retrieved August 7, 2025, from <https://www.nfz.gov.pl/aktualnosci/aktualnosci-centrali/komunikat-dla-swiadczeniodawcow,8796.html>
35. Workowski, K. A., Bachmann, L. H., Chan, P. A., Johnston, C. M., Muzny, C. A., Park, I., et al. (2021). Sexually transmitted infections treatment guidelines, 2021. *MMWR Recommendations and Reports*, 70(4), 1–187. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.rr7004a1>
36. Zhu, P., Qi, R. Q., Yang, Y., Huo, W., Zhang, Y., He, L., Wang, G., et al. (2022). Clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of cutaneous warts (2022). *Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine*, 15(3), 284–301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jebm.12494>
37. Salvo, G., Odetto, D., Pareja, R., Frumovitz, M., & Ramirez, P. T. (2020). Revised 2018 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) cervical cancer staging: A review of gaps and questions that remain. *International Journal of Gynecological Cancer*, 30(6), 873–878. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ijgc-2020-001257>
38. Cibula, D., et al. (2023). ESGO/ESTRO/ESP guidelines for the management of patients with cervical cancer – Update 2023. *International Journal of Gynecological Cancer*, 33(5), 649–666.
39. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. (2025, June 20). *NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Head and neck cancers* (Version 4.2025). Fort Washington, PA: NCCN.
40. Marur, S., et al. (2010). HPV-associated head and neck cancer: A virus-related cancer epidemic. *Lancet Oncology*, 11(8), 781–789. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(10\)70017-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70017-6)
41. Massarelli, E., William, W., Johnson, F., et al. (2019). Combining immune checkpoint blockade and tumor-specific vaccine for patients with incurable human papillomavirus 16-related cancer: A phase 2 clinical trial. *JAMA Oncology*, 5(1), 67–73. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.4051>
42. Mehanna, H., Robinson, M., Hartley, A., Kong, A., Foran, B., Fulton Lieuw, T., et al.; De-ESCALaTE HPV Trial Group. (2019). Radiotherapy plus cisplatin or cetuximab in low risk human papillomavirus positive oropharyngeal cancer (De-ESCALaTE HPV): An open-label randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*, 393(10166), 51–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32752-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32752-1)