International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher
RS Global Sp. z O.O.
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,
Poland 00-773
+48 226 0 227 03
editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

ARTICLE TITLE	EXERCISE STRESS TESTING FOR EVALUATING SYNCOPE IN ATHLETES: A NARRATIVE REVIEW
ARTICLE INFO	Patryk Macuk, Anna Leśniewska, Julia Tarnowska, Filip Szydzik, Bartosz Żegleń, Michał Gniedziejko, Jakub Roszak, Oliwia Sójkowska-Sławińska, Aleksandra Gradek. (2025) Exercise Stress Testing for Evaluating Syncope in Athletes: A Narrative Review. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3487
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3487
RECEIVED	08 June 2025
ACCEPTED	19 July 2025
PUBLISHED	21 July 2025
LICENSE	The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.**

EXERCISE STRESS TESTING FOR EVALUATING SYNCOPE IN ATHLETES: A NARRATIVE REVIEW

Patryk Macuk *[PM] (Corresponding Author, Email: patryk.macuk@gumed.edu.pl)*
University Clinical Center in Gdansk, Dębinki 7, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-1615-5036

Anna Leśniewska *[AL]*
COPERNICUS, Nicolaus Copernicus Hospital in Gdansk, Nowe Ogrody 1/6, 80-803 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-3272-3467

Julia Tarnowska *[JT]*
University Clinical Center in Gdansk, Dębinki 7, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-3231-669X

Filip Szydzik *[FS]*
University Clinical Center in Gdansk, Dębinki 7, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-3291-4923

Bartosz Żegleń *[BŻ]*
University Clinical Center in Gdansk, Dębinki 7, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-6150-6191

Michał Gniedziejko *[MG]*
University Clinical Center in Gdansk, Dębinki 7, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-0856-2117

Jakub Roszak *[JR]*
University Clinical Center in Gdansk, Dębinki 7, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-8565-5442

Oliwia Sójkowska-Sławińska *[OS]*
7th Navy Hospital in Gdansk, Polanki 117, 80-305 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-1601-3985

Aleksandra Gradek *[AG]*
University Clinical Center in Gdansk, Dębinki 7, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-5112-3439

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Syncope in athletes presents a complex diagnostic challenge requiring systematic evaluation to differentiate benign neurally-mediated causes from potentially life-threatening cardiac conditions. Unrecognized cardiovascular disease in athletes can lead to sudden cardiac death, making accurate risk stratification essential for athlete safety and appropriate return-to-play decisions. We aim to provide a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence regarding the indications, protocols, diagnostic yield, and limitations of exercise stress testing in athletes presenting with syncope.
Methods: We conducted a narrative literature review of PubMed databases from 2004-2025, focusing on studies involving athletic populations and recent consensus statements from major cardiovascular and sports medicine organizations. Evidence was synthesized from observational studies, clinical guidelines, and expert consensus documents.
Key findings: Exercise stress testing is strongly recommended for all athletes with exertional syncope, with sport-specific, maximal-effort protocols yielding arrhythmic or ischemic findings in 15-25% of cases. Negative predictive values approach 95% when proper protocols are employed, significantly reducing the probability of exercise-induced life-threatening events, though residual risk remains. Current evidence supports individualized testing protocols rather than generic clinical protocols designed for ischemia detection. Integration with comprehensive clinical assessment, ECG, echocardiography, and risk factor evaluation optimizes diagnostic accuracy and clinical decision-making.
Conclusions: Sport-specific, effortful exercise stress testing serves as a pivotal diagnostic tool and safety gatekeeper in athletic syncope evaluation. When integrated within systematic algorithms it enables accurate risk stratification, specialist referral, and evidence-based return-to-play decisions. Future research should focus on protocol validation, long-term outcome studies, and integration of emerging technologies for further enhancement of diagnostic precision.

KEYWORDS

Athletic Syncope, Exercise Stress Testing, Sports Cardiology, Sudden Cardiac Death, Athlete Screening, Sport-Specific Protocols

CITATION

Patryk Macuk, Anna Leśniewska, Julia Tarnowska, Filip Szydzik, Bartosz Żegleń, Michał Gniedziejko, Jakub Roszak, Oliwia Sójkowska-Sławińska, Aleksandra Gradek. (2025) Exercise Stress Testing for Evaluating Syncope in Athletes: A Narrative Review. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 3(47). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(47).2025.3487

Introduction.

Syncope, defined as a transient loss of consciousness due to cerebral hypoperfusion with rapid onset, short duration, and spontaneous complete recovery, presents unique diagnostic challenges in the athletic population (O'Connor et al., 2009). While syncope is typically a benign event in the general population, it may represent the initial presentation of several cardiac disorders associated with sudden cardiac death (SCD) during physical activity in competitive athletes (Natarajan & Nikore, 2006).

The stakes are particularly high in competitive sports, where unrecognized cardiovascular disease can lead to catastrophic outcomes. The prevalence of SCD in young athletes, though rare at approximately 0.6-6.8 per 100,000 (Innocentiis et al., 2018) athlete-years, represents a devastating loss of young lives and has profound implications for families, teams, and sporting communities. This reality creates significant pressure on sports medicine physicians to accurately differentiate between benign reflex syncope and potentially lethal cardiac causes.(Magalhães-Ribeiro & Freitas, 2016; Natarajan & Nikore, 2006)

A critical clinical gap exists in distinguishing syncope occurring during exercise versus post-exercise periods.(O'Connor et al., 2009) Recent evidence suggests that exercise timing matters significantly, as syncope during exertion carries a much higher likelihood of underlying cardiac pathology compared to post-exercise collapse, which is more often related to benign mechanisms such as vasovagal response or orthostatic hypotension.(O'Connor et al., 2009)

Current diagnostic approaches face the challenge that resting electrocardiograms and echocardiograms are often normal in athletes with exercise-induced arrhythmogenic conditions.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020; Maron et al., 2015; Zipes et al., 2015) This limitation necessitates provocation testing, with exercise stress testing

serving as a cornerstone diagnostic tool. However, stress testing protocols have historically been under-standardized for athletic populations,(Gerche et al., 2013; Innocentiis et al., 2018) with many centers employing generic protocols designed for ischemia detection rather than sport-specific arrhythmia provocation.(Drezner et al., 2013; Petek et al., 2021)

This review aims to present current evidence regarding the optimal utilization of exercise stress testing in athletic syncope evaluation, examining when testing should be performed(Lampert et al., 2024; McAward & Moriarity, 2005; Zipes et al., 2015), how protocols should be tailored, and what diagnostic yields can be expected. We will explore the integration of stress testing within comprehensive diagnostic algorithms and discuss emerging technologies that may enhance diagnostic accuracy in the future.

Methodology

Database Search Strategy

A narrative literature search was undertaken in MEDLINE (PubMed) and for publications dated 1 January 2004 – 15 July 2025. Controlled vocabulary (MeSH) and free-text keywords related to exertional syncope and exercise testing in athletes were combined with Boolean operators: “Syncope”, “Exercise Stress Test”, “Athlete”, “Arrhythmias, Cardiac”, “Cardiomyopathies”, “Channelopathies”, “Sudden Cardiac Death”, “Stress Echocardiography”, “Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test”, “Wearable ECG”, and “Artificial Intelligence”.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Eligible studies included prospective or retrospective cohorts, registries, diagnostic-accuracy studies, clinical guidelines and expert consensus statements that: (I) enrolled athletes ≥ 14 years presenting with exertional or unexplained syncope; and (II) assessed any form of exercise stress testing (sport-specific treadmill/cycle protocols, Bruce-type protocols, stress echocardiography or cardiopulmonary exercise testing) for diagnostic or prognostic purposes. Case reports (< 10 participants), paediatric non-competitive cohorts, non-English articles and abstracts without full text were excluded.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

Initial screening was performed based on title and abstract review, followed by full-text evaluation of potentially relevant studies. Data review focused on study design, participant characteristics, intervention details, outcome measures, and clinical relevance to athletic populations.

Results

Epidemiology of Athletic Syncope

The true incidence of syncope in athletic populations remains challenging to quantify due to significant under-reporting and variability in definitions across studies. Available data suggests that syncope affects approximately 0.5-2% of competitive athletes annually. The prevalence of syncope in the general population is high, up to 40% (Link & Estes, 2007). In a cohort of 7568 athletes undergoing preparticipation screening, there were 474 (6.2%) cases of reported syncope within the prior 5 years. Of those with syncope, most of the cases were reported as unrelated to exercise, 12% were post-exertional, and 1.3% of cases were during exercise (Hastings & Levine, 2012). Across all studied rates varied substantially between youth and masters athletes, as well as across different sporting disciplines(Lampert et al., 2024). In this context, a “masters athlete” is formally defined as an athlete aged over 35 years and informally as an athlete “past their prime” or an aging athlete (Koene et al., 2017). “Young” refers to adolescent or prepubertal athletes; however, no age-group specific recommendations are made (Lampert et al., 2024).

In youth athletes (ages 12-18), syncope episodes are reported more frequently during pre-participation screening, with studies indicating prevalence rates of 1-3% during comprehensive cardiovascular evaluation.(Aydin et al., 2010; McAward & Moriarity, 2005) Masters athletes (≥35 years)(Natarajan & Nikore, 2006) demonstrate different patterns, with cardiogenic syncope being more common, though this may reflect both physiological differences and increased surveillance among this older cohort. Importantly, syncope etiology varies considerably across sports.

Sport-specific rates reveal patterns, as different categories of sports, being isometric and isotonic exercise, induce distinct cardiac remodelling patterns and hemodynamic challenge(Lampert et al., 2024). Static/isometric exercise sports (weightlifting, wrestling, gymnastics, judo) are primarily associated with concentric left ventricular hypertrophy and symmetric right ventricular enlargement, potentially predisposing to reflex syncope from acute afterload increases during maximal efforts and orthostatic syncope during rapid transitions from high-intensity isometric contractions(Lampert et al., 2024). Conversely, dynamic/isotonic endurance sports (long-distance running, cycling, soccer) typically result in eccentric left ventricular

hypertrophy with normal right ventricular dimensions (Halliwill et al., 2013; Innocentiis et al., 2018), potentially leading earlier to post-exertional syncope from venous pooling and reduced preload or exercise-induced syncope[12] in underlying cardiomyopathies and channelopathies (e.g. CPVT, ARVC) due to sustained high cardiac output demands. However, these mechanistic associations remain largely theoretical, and further research is warranted to validate these hypotheses with prospective outcome data.

The fact that swimming is often horizontal and involves intermittent breath-holding, with potential activation of the mammalian diving reflex, which theoretically could contribute to bradycardia and cerebral hypoperfusion (D'Ascenzi et al., 2020). However, the clinical significance of diving reflex-mediated syncope in competitive swimming remains largely theoretical, with limited prospective data quantifying this specific risk mechanism in athletic populations.

Similarly high-intensity, endurance-based activities (e.g., marathon running, competitive cycling) demonstrate a higher incidence of exercise-associated hyponatremia, dehydration, and electrolyte imbalances, potentially increasing susceptibility to syncope, especially in combination with intense exertion and frequent changes in body position.(McAward & Moriarity, 2005)

Syncope is most commonly attributed to vasovagal or orthostatic causes, while cardiac syncope accounts for approximately 9.5% of episodes (Natarajan & Nikore, 2006).

Despite these differences, a consistent finding across age groups and sports is that exertional syncope (syncope occurring during exercise) carries significantly higher risk than post-exertional syncope. A critical factor influencing syncope epidemiology is the degree of exertional stress (Hastings & Levine, 2012), which warrants distinct diagnosis methods to be used. These epidemiological nuances highlight the importance of considering age, sport type, and exertional context when evaluating athletic syncope.

This distribution likely reflects both the prolonged physiological stress of endurance activities and the greater likelihood of vasovagal responses during recovery phases. Additionally, contact sports may have higher rates of neurally mediated syncope secondary to pain or emotional stress (Lampert et al., 2024).

Under-reporting represents a significant challenge in epidemiological assessment. Many athletes may not report brief loss of consciousness episodes, particularly if they occur during training rather than competition, or if athletes fear potential consequences for their competitive eligibility. Studies using anonymous survey methodologies suggest that actual syncope rates may be 2-3 times higher than those captured through formal medical reporting systems (Zipes et al., 2015), though this estimate is based on limited survey data and may be subject to recall bias and variable definitions of syncopal episodes across different study populations.

From a risk stratification perspective, the proportion of athletic syncope due to benign reflex mechanisms versus cardiac causes varies significantly based on the timing and circumstances of the episode.(Link & Estes, 2007; Magalhães-Ribeiro & Freitas, 2016) Studies consistently demonstrate that approximately 80-85% of athletic syncope episodes are ultimately attributed to neurally mediated (reflex) causes, while up to 50% might have identifiable cardiac etiologies.(Hastings & Levine, 2012) However, this distribution shifts dramatically when examining only exertional syncope, where cardiac causes may account for 25-40% of cases (Lampert et al., 2024).

Syncope occurring before pre-participation screening in young athletes is typically neurally mediated, with a low recurrence rate (Colivicchi et al., 2004).

Mortality statistics underscore the importance of accurate diagnosis. While overall mortality associated with athletic syncope is low (<1%), athletes with undiagnosed cardiac causes face substantially elevated risks. Long-term follow-up studies indicate that athletes with cardiac syncope who remain undiagnosed and continue competition have SCD rates of 2-5% over 5-year periods, compared to <0.1% in those with confirmed benign etiologies.(Hastings & Levine, 2012; Lampert et al., 2024)

Sex and sport differences merit consideration in epidemiological assessment of different syncope mechanisms. Male athletes demonstrate slightly higher rates of cardiac syncope (12-18% vs 8-12% in females), while female athletes show higher rates of neurally mediated syncope(Halliwill et al., 2013). These differences may reflect hormonal influences, training patterns, or underlying cardiovascular anatomy variations between sexes(Colivicchi et al., 2004; McAward & Moriarity, 2005).

Etiological Spectrum: Cardiac vs. Non-Cardiac

Understanding the diverse etiological spectrum of athletic syncope is fundamental to appropriate diagnostic approach and risk stratification.(Colivicchi et al., 2004; D'Ascenzi et al., 2020) The causes can be systematically categorized into neurally mediated, orthostatic, and cardiac mechanisms, each with distinct clinical implications and management strategies.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024; D'Ascenzi et al., 2020; McAward & Moriarity, 2005)

Neurally Mediated Cluster

Neurally mediated syncope, also referred to as reflex syncope, represents the most common cause of syncope in young adults and athletes(Link & Estes, 2007; Melby et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2017). Vasovagal syncope typically occurs independently from exercise, often triggered by emotional stress, pain, prolonged standing, or medical procedures. The pathophysiology involves inappropriate activation of the Bezold-Jarisch reflex, leading to paradoxical vasodilation and bradycardia.(Brignole et al., 2018; Halliwill et al., 2013)

Post-exercise collapse represents a specific variant particularly relevant to athletic populations. This condition typically occurs within minutes of exercise cessation and results from venous pooling in the lower extremities when muscle pump activity suddenly decreases.(Halliwill et al., 2013; Lampert et al., 2024; O'Connor et al., 2009) The phenomenon is especially common following prolonged endurance activities and is exacerbated by hot environmental conditions, dehydration, or abrupt cessation of activity without adequate cool-down periods.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020; Mündel et al., 2015)

Situational syncope encompasses episodes triggered by specific activities such as coughing, swallowing, defecation, or micturition. While less common in athletic settings, these mechanisms can occasionally manifest during sports activities, particularly in contact sports where Valsalva maneuvers are common.(Melby et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2017)

Structural-Cardiac Cluster

Given their association with sudden cardiac arrest or death (SCA/D), structural cardiac abnormalities are the most concerning cause of syncope in athletes. Cardiomyopathies, particularly hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, account for a significant proportion of SCD cases in young athletes (35-47%)(Peterson et al., 2020). HCM can cause exertional syncope due to dynamic left ventricular outflow tract obstruction, notably during high-intensity exercise. (Magalhães-Ribeiro & Freitas, 2016; Peterson et al., 2020; Pugh et al., 2012)The condition may present with exertional syncope due to dynamic left ventricular outflow tract obstruction, particularly during high-intensity activities.

Arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (AC), previously termed arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy, represents another significant structural cause. This progressive fibro-fatty replacement of myocardium typically affects the right ventricle initially but may involve the left ventricle or demonstrate a biventricular pattern.(Graziano et al., 2024; Maron et al., 2015) Exercise may accelerate disease progression and increase arrhythmia risk.(Innocentiis et al., 2018)

Anomalous coronary arteries, particularly anomalous left coronary artery arising from the right sinus of Valsalva, can cause exercise-induced ischemia and syncope. The anomalous vessel may become compressed between the aorta and pulmonary artery during exercise, leading to acute coronary insufficiency.(McAward & Moriarity, 2005; Pugh et al., 2012)

Valvular lesions, including severe aortic stenosis, hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy with significant gradients, and rarely, severe mitral stenosis, can cause exertional syncope through limitation of cardiac output during exercise.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024; Shen et al., 2017)

Electrical-Cardiac Cluster

Primary electrical disorders represent a challenging diagnostic category as structural heart disease is often absent on conventional imaging. Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) is particularly relevant to athletic populations as episodes are typically triggered by exercise or emotional stress.(Fletcher et al., 2013; Lampert et al., 2024; Natarajan & Nikore, 2006) The condition results from mutations in genes controlling intracellular calcium handling, leading to delayed afterdepolarizations and triggered ventricular arrhythmias.(Fletcher et al., 2013)

Long QT syndrome (LQTS) can manifest with exercise-triggered syncope, particularly in specific genetic variants. LQT1, the most common form, typically presents with swimming or exercise-related events, while LQT2 may be triggered by sudden loud noises or emotional stress during sports activities.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024; Chandler et al., 2019)

Wolff-Parkinson-White (WPW) syndrome can cause syncope through rapid conduction of atrial fibrillation over the accessory pathway, leading to ventricular fibrillation. Exercise may increase sympathetic tone and predispose to atrial arrhythmias in susceptible individuals.(Lampert et al., 2024; Natarajan & Nikore, 2006)

Exercise-triggered atrial fibrillation represents an increasingly recognized phenomenon in endurance athletes(Fletcher et al., 2013; Lampert et al., 2024; Zipes et al., 2015). While often well-tolerated in structurally normal hearts, rapid ventricular response rates may occasionally cause hemodynamic compromise and syncope.

Non-Syncopal Mimics

Accurate differential diagnosis requires recognition of conditions that may mimic syncope but have distinct pathophysiology and management implications. Seizure disorders can occasionally be triggered by exercise, particularly in athletes with photosensitive epilepsy exposed to strobe lighting in indoor venues or those with exercise-induced seizures.(Brignole et al., 2018)

Hypoglycemia represents a metabolic mimic, particularly relevant in endurance athletes or those with diabetes. Episodes typically occur during prolonged exercise or in the post-exercise period when glycogen stores are depleted.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020; Lampert et al., 2024)

Heat-related illness, including heat exhaustion and heat stroke, can present with altered consciousness and collapse. These conditions are distinguished by associated hyperthermia, altered mental status, and specific environmental triggers.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020; Lampert et al., 2024)

Early separation of these mimics from true syncope is essential as diagnostic approaches and treatment strategies differ substantially. Careful history-taking focusing on prodromal symptoms, witness accounts, and recovery patterns helps differentiate these conditions from cardiovascular syncope.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024; Brignole et al., 2018; van Dijk et al., 2006)

Diagnostic Road-Map (Baseline to Advanced)

The diagnostic evaluation of athletic syncope requires a systematic, evidence-based approach that balances the need for thorough evaluation against the risks of over-investigation and inappropriate sport restriction. The foundation of this approach rests on comprehensive initial assessment followed by algorithmic progression to advanced testing based on risk stratification.(Lampert et al., 2024; O'Connor et al., 2009)

First-Line Work-Up

Comprehensive history remains the cornerstone of syncope evaluation in athletes. Key elements include detailed characterization of the syncopal episode, including prodromal symptoms, circumstances of occurrence, witness descriptions, and recovery patterns. Particular attention should be paid to the relationship between syncope and exercise, with careful distinction between events occurring during, immediately after, or remote from physical activity.(Hastings & Levine, 2012; Zipes et al., 2015)

Family history assessment must include specific inquiry about premature sudden death (≤50 years), known cardiomyopathies, channelopathies, and unexplained drowning or motor vehicle accidents that might represent unrecognized cardiac events. Personal history should explore previous cardiac symptoms, medications, substance use, and any prior cardiac evaluation.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020; Gerche et al., 2013)

Physical examination should include assessment for structural heart disease, with attention to murmurs, gallops, and signs of heart failure. Blood pressure measurement in supine and standing positions helps identify orthostatic hypotension. Specific maneuvers such as Valsalva or squat-stand may reveal dynamic outflow obstruction in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.(Brignole et al., 2018; Rocha et al., 2024)

The 12-lead electrocardiogram represents a critical initial test, though interpretation in athletes requires recognition of physiological adaptations versus pathological findings.(Corrado et al., 2006; Williford et al., 2018) The 2017 International Criteria for ECG interpretation in athletes provides standardized guidance for distinguishing training-related changes from concerning abnormalities. Red flag findings include prolonged QT interval, epsilon waves, T-wave inversions beyond V1-V3, pathological Q waves, and high-grade conduction abnormalities.(Corrado et al., 2019; Cosío-Lima et al., 2020; Sharma et al., 2017; Williford et al., 2018)

Resting transthoracic echocardiography serves to evaluate cardiac structure and function, assess for valve disease, and identify features suggestive of cardiomyopathy. In athletes, attention to wall thickness measurements, cavity dimensions, and diastolic function parameters helps distinguish physiological remodeling from pathological conditions.(Gerche et al., 2013; Innocentiis et al., 2018)

A red-flag checklist facilitates systematic risk assessment and includes: family history of premature SCD or inherited cardiac disease, personal history of exertional chest pain or dyspnea, syncope during or immediately after exercise, abnormal ECG findings, structural abnormalities on echocardiography, and recurrent syncopal episodes.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020; Lampert et al., 2024)

Decision Node Algorithm

The decision to proceed with exercise stress testing follows logical algorithmic progression based on initial evaluation findings. High-risk features mandate stress testing regardless of resting study results, while low-risk presentations may allow for more conservative approaches with close monitoring.(Corrado et al., 2019)

Specific triggers for stress testing include any history of exertional syncope, abnormal resting ECG findings suggesting arrhythmogenic conditions, concerning family history in the setting of unexplained syncope, structural abnormalities on echocardiography requiring functional assessment, and recurrent episodes despite negative initial evaluation.(Zipes et al., 2015)

The algorithmic approach must also consider sport-specific factors, as different athletic disciplines carry varying cardiovascular demands and risks. Endurance sports may require different evaluation approaches compared to power sports or team sports with intermittent high-intensity efforts.(Innocentiis et al., 2018; Lampert et al., 2024)

Role of Ancillary Tests

Holter monitoring and implantable loop recorders serve important roles in athletes with recurrent symptoms or when arrhythmic causes are suspected but not captured on stress testing. External monitoring for 24-48 hours may identify rate and rhythm abnormalities during routine activities, while longer-term monitoring with patch devices or implantable recorders can capture infrequent events.(Frazier-Mills et al., 2022; Hastings & Levine, 2012)

Tilt table testing has limited utility in athletic populations due to the high prevalence of vasovagal responses in trained individuals. However, it may be useful when reflex syncope is suspected but requires confirmation for reassurance or when distinguishing between cardiac and neurally mediated causes remains challenging after initial evaluation.(McAward & Moriarity, 2005; Rocha et al., 2024)

Advanced imaging with cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) provides superior tissue characterization and may identify structural abnormalities not apparent on echocardiography. CMR is particularly valuable for detecting myocardial scar, infiltrative processes, and subtle morphological abnormalities in conditions such as arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy.(Innocentiis et al., 2018; Lampert et al., 2024)

Genetic testing may be considered in athletes with strong family histories or when specific genetic conditions are suspected based on clinical or imaging findings. However, genetic testing should be performed in consultation with genetic counselors and with understanding of the implications for family members.(Graziano et al., 2024; Maron et al., 2015)

Exercise Stress Testing: "When"

The decision to perform exercise stress testing in athletes with syncope requires careful consideration of clinical indications, contraindications, and the specific clinical context. Current guidelines provide clear direction on when stress testing should be utilized, though implementation requires individualized assessment of each athlete's presentation.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024; Hastings & Levine, 2012; Zipes et al., 2015) Exercise testing is particularly useful in revealing conditions like dynamic intraventricular gradients or systolic anterior motion of the mitral valve, potentially explaining exercise-related syncope and offering diagnostic insights for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients, as highlighted by the European Society of Cardiology Guidelines (Brignole et al., 2018; D'Ascenzi et al., 2020)

Contextual Considerations

Beyond the general guidelines, several contextual factors influence the decision to proceed with exercise stress testing in athletes. The athlete's age, sport, and training intensity all play a role in determining the appropriateness and interpretation of testing. In older athletes or those with risk factors for coronary artery disease, typical stress testing protocols designed to elicit ischemic manifestations may be appropriate (O'Connor et al., 2009). In younger athletes without risk factors, protocols focused on arrhythmia provocation and hemodynamic assessment may be more relevant.

The timing of syncope relative to exertion provides important clues. As stated in the previous paragraphs, syncope that occurs during exertion is more concerning for cardiac etiology than syncope that occurs after exercise(Gerche et al., 2013).

Indications

Exercise stress testing is considered a Class I indication (should be performed) for all athletes with syncope occurring during exertion. The 2024 HRS Expert Consensus Statement specifically recommends that "in all athletes with syncope during exertion, an ECG, exercise stress test, and transthoracic echocardiogram

should be performed." This strong recommendation reflects the high likelihood of identifying exercise-induced arrhythmias or hemodynamic abnormalities in this population.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Athletes with abnormal baseline ECG findings and a history of syncope represent another clear indication for stress testing. Conditions such as QT prolongation, Wolff-Parkinson-White pattern, Brugada pattern, or findings suggestive of cardiomyopathy require functional assessment under exercise conditions to evaluate arrhythmia risk and hemodynamic response.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020)

Concerning family history, particularly premature sudden cardiac death or known inherited cardiomyopathies or channelopathies, warrants stress testing in athletes with syncope even when initial evaluation appears normal. The high penetrance and variable expression of many inherited cardiac conditions make provocation testing essential for risk stratification.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024)

Athletes undergoing therapy monitoring for known conditions also require serial stress testing. This includes individuals with treated arrhythmias, those on antiarrhythmic medications, or athletes with implanted devices requiring assessment of therapy efficacy and exercise capacity.(Sharma et al., 2017)

Post-exercise syncope, while often attributed to benign mechanisms, may still warrant stress testing in specific circumstances. Recent evidence suggests that some exercise-induced arrhythmias manifest during the recovery phase rather than peak exercise, making comprehensive evaluation including recovery monitoring important.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Contraindications

Prior to testing, a thorough clinical evaluation must be performed to identify potential contraindications (Obeyesekere et al., 2011).

Absolute contraindications to exercise stress testing include acute myocarditis or pericarditis, as exercise may worsen inflammation and increase arrhythmia risk. Severe aortic stenosis with gradients >50 mmHg or symptomatic severe stenosis represents another absolute contraindication due to risk of hemodynamic collapse during exercise.(Innocentiis et al., 2018; Lampert et al., 2024; Magalhães-Ribeiro & Freitas, 2016)

Uncontrolled hypertension (>180/110 mmHg) should be addressed before stress testing due to risk of further blood pressure elevation during exercise. Similarly, acute heart failure or hemodynamic instability requires stabilization before any exercise testing can be safely performed.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Relative contraindications include moderate aortic stenosis, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with significant resting gradients (>30 mmHg), suspected coronary artery disease without prior evaluation, and pregnancy. These conditions require individual assessment of risk-benefit ratio and may necessitate modified protocols or alternative testing approaches.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Pre-test stabilization is essential when relative contraindications exist. This may include optimization of blood pressure control, echocardiographic assessment of valve function, or cardiology consultation for risk stratification before proceeding with stress testing(Lampert et al., 2024). Importantly, the presence of a left bundle branch block reduces the diagnostic specificity of exercise-based stress testing to detect obstructive coronary artery disease (Lee et al., 2023).

Athletes with known implantable cardioverter-defibrillators (ICDs) require special consideration, including device interrogation before testing, availability of device programmer, and clear protocols for device management during exercise. While not contraindicated, ICD presence necessitates enhanced monitoring and emergency preparedness.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024; Frazier-Mills et al., 2022; Lampert et al., 2024)

Pharmacotherapy should be carefully reviewed, as certain medications may affect heart rate, blood pressure, and exercise capacity. For example beta-blockers can blunt heart rate response and may need to be adjusted prior to testing, as guided by a physician (Fletcher et al., 2013). Antiarrhythmic medications can modulate the threshold for arrhythmia induction and/or detection, necessitating a nuanced interpretation of test results and a pre-defined, individualized termination protocol.(Pugh et al., 2012; Whitman et al., 2021)

Environmental factors including ambient temperature, humidity, and altitude may influence testing safety and interpretation. Extreme conditions may require protocol modifications or postponement of testing until more favorable conditions exist.(Whitman et al., 2021)

The timing of stress testing relative to recent training, competition, or illness also requires consideration. Testing during periods of detraining, following viral illness, or during peak training loads may affect both safety and diagnostic accuracy, potentially necessitating delayed evaluation. A brief history and physical examination are required to rule out contraindications to testing and to detect important clinical signs, such as cardiac murmur, gallop sounds, pulmonary wheezing, or rales (Fletcher et al., 2013).

Exercise Stress Testing: "How"

The methodology of exercise stress testing in athletes requires fundamental departures from standard clinical protocols designed for ischemia detection. Sport-specific testing approaches that maximize diagnostic yield while ensuring athlete safety represent the current standard of care based on recent consensus statements and clinical evidence.(Hastings & Levine, 2012; Petek et al., 2021)

Protocol Design

Sport-specific protocol modifications are essential for optimal diagnostic yield in athletic populations. The 2024 HRS Expert Consensus Statement emphasizes that "exercise stress testing should mimic the athlete's sport where possible" rather than employing standard Bruce or other generic protocols. This principle recognizes that exercise-induced arrhythmias may be triggered by specific physiological demands or movement patterns characteristic of particular sports. For example, an overhead athlete should undergo testing that involves overhead movements, whereas a cyclist should be tested via cycling.(Petek et al., 2021)

Supine or upright bicycle exercise may be preferable to treadmill testing in athletes with musculoskeletal limitations or those whose sport-specific movements are better replicated on a bicycle ergometer. Semi-supine bicycle exercise, in particular, can be technically easier than upright exercise, especially when combined with echocardiography (Lancellotti et al., 2016).

For endurance athletes, protocols should emphasize sustained moderate-to-high intensity efforts that replicate race conditions(Fletcher et al., 2013; Petek et al., 2021). Traditional ramp protocols may be modified with extended stages at submaximal intensities to assess chronotropic response and identify delayed arrhythmias. Cycling athletes may benefit from sport-specific ergometry that allows for seated positioning and familiar pedaling mechanics. Recent studies have highlighted the importance of evaluating athletes in positions and using movement patterns specific to their sport, as standard protocols may not provoke relevant arrhythmias or physiological responses(Lampert et al., 2024). In addition, test duration should be tailored to individual athlete needs and functional capacity (Petek et al., 2021). The duration of an ergo-cycle exercise test should range between 6 and 10 minutes to depict good exercise capacity, since shorter or longer tests may lead to inconclusive results due to leg fatigue (Khattab et al., 2023). The use of a 9-minute targeted ramp protocol offers advantages, including a more accurate estimation of MET level (Fletcher et al., 2013).

Power and sprint athletes require protocols incorporating stop-start intervals that mimic explosive efforts followed by brief recovery periods. These burst protocols may be more effective at triggering catecholamine-sensitive arrhythmias such as CPVT compared to steady-state exercise.(Mündel et al., 2015; Roston et al., 2021) Basketball, soccer, and tennis players may benefit from protocols incorporating directional changes and varied movement patterns when feasible. Combining CMR with isometric exercise utilizing biceps contraction can also evoke specific age related blood pressure responses (Innocentiis et al., 2018).

Swimming athletes present unique challenges as pool-based testing is rarely available in clinical settings.(Innocentiis et al., 2018) Arm ergometry or modified treadmill protocols with upper body emphasis may provide sport-specific stress, though limitations in replicating swimming-specific physiology must be acknowledged.

Maximal versus symptom-limited endpoints represent another critical protocol consideration (Van Hare et al., 2015). Current guidelines strongly recommend proceeding to maximal volitional effort rather than terminating at arbitrary heart rate thresholds such as 85% of predicted maximum. The HRS statement specifically notes that "exercise stress testing should be based on maximal effort and/or symptom reproduction rather than heart rate or protocol completion."(Lampert et al., 2024)

Ramp protocols offer advantages over traditional staged protocols by providing a more gradual and controlled increase in workload. This approach allows for more precise determination of functional capacity, reduces the likelihood of premature test termination due to muscular fatigue, and minimizes abrupt hemodynamic shifts that could mask or provoke arrhythmias. Such protocols facilitate more accurate assessment of the athlete's physiological response to exercise, including heart rate variability, blood pressure response, and ventilatory efficiency.(Lampert et al., 2024; Petek et al., 2021)

Objective measures of maximal effort include respiratory exchange ratio >1.10, plateau in oxygen consumption, rating of perceived exertion ≥18 on the Borg scale, and volitional fatigue.(Fletcher et al., 2013; Lampert et al., 2024) Heart rate alone should not determine test termination, as many athletes demonstrate exceptional cardiovascular fitness with high maximum heart rates that may exceed age-predicted values. Early test termination may occur due to discomfort and fatigue of the quadriceps muscles, which can limit test tolerance (Fletcher et al., 2013). A combination of these variables, such as elevated respiratory exchange ratio, high postexercise blood lactate levels, or the subject's rating of perceived exertion, can also be considered (Edvardsen et al., 2014). Exercise laboratory personnel should be familiar with effective techniques for motivating individuals to expend adequate efforts (Fletcher et al., 2013).

Safety and Monitoring

Personnel requirements for athletic stress testing exceed those for routine clinical testing due to the higher intensity protocols and potential for exercise-induced arrhythmias. A physician with advanced cardiac life support training should be immediately available, with nursing staff experienced in exercise testing and arrhythmia recognition. Exercise physiologists familiar with athletic populations provide valuable expertise in protocol selection and maximal effort assessment. Continuous 12-lead ECG monitoring is mandatory throughout the test, with careful attention to ST-segment changes, ectopy, and interval measurements (Lee et al., 2023).

Resuscitation equipment must include defibrillation capability, advanced airway management tools, and emergency medications including epinephrine, atropine, and antiarrhythmic agents. Automated external defibrillators should be supplemented with manual defibrillators capable of synchronized cardioversion for organized arrhythmias. (Zipes et al., 2015)

Termination criteria require modification for athletic populations beyond standard clinical indications. While traditional criteria (chest pain, significant arrhythmias, hemodynamic compromise) remain applicable, additional sport-specific criteria may include reproduction of syncopal symptoms, emergence of concerning arrhythmias during recovery, or development of exercise-induced bronchospasm in susceptible athletes.(Frazier-Mills et al., 2022; Lampert et al., 2024)

Legal and ethical rules require athletes to give informed consent before testing. They must know that the tests are intense and that maximal exercise has risks. They should also know that test results might mean they can't play sports or need to change how they play. As with any procedure carrying a risk, ensuring the individual being tested fully understands the potential benefits and risks is crucial (Fletcher et al., 2013).

Emergency action plans should be established with local emergency medical services, including clear protocols for transport to appropriate cardiac facilities if advanced interventions are required. Testing facilities should maintain current certification in emergency cardiovascular care and conduct regular emergency drills.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Combined Imaging Approaches

Stress echocardiography provides valuable additional information in athletes with syncope, particularly for detecting exercise-induced outflow tract obstruction, wall motion abnormalities, or valve dysfunction. The combination of exercise provocation with real-time imaging enhances diagnostic accuracy for structural conditions that may not be apparent at rest. Pharmacological stress echocardiography with agents such as dobutamine may be considered in athletes unable to achieve adequate exercise workloads due to musculoskeletal limitations or deconditioning (Lampert et al., 2024).

Exercise-induced left ventricular outflow tract obstruction in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy can be dynamically assessed with stress echocardiography, providing both diagnostic information and risk stratification. Similarly, mitral valve prolapse with exercise-induced mitral regurgitation may be identified through combined testing approaches. Furthermore, stress echocardiography is essential for assessing ventricular function during exercise, offering real-time electrocardiographic and cardiopulmonary exercise testing data while remaining widely accessible and cost-effective, without exposing patients to radiation (Innocentiis et al., 2018).

Nuclear stress testing has limited application in young athletic populations due to radiation exposure concerns and lower likelihood of coronary artery disease. However, it may be considered in masters athletes or when coronary anomalies are suspected and cannot be adequately assessed through other modalities.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024)

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) incorporates quantitative gas analysis to provide objective measurement of exercise capacity, chronotropic response, and metabolic efficiency. CPET may enhance understanding of exercise limitations and provide objective markers of maximal effort through measured VO2max, ventilatory threshold analysis, and respiratory exchange ratio assessment. The objective is to distinguish between normal physiological adaptation and pathological cardiac conditions (Innocentiis et al., 2018).

Combining cardiac imaging with high-intensity exercise can improve diagnostic accuracy when distinguishing healthy athletes from those with complex right ventricular arrhythmias (Lampert et al., 2024).

CPET offers additional insights, including the identification of exercise-induced hypoxemia, assessment of ventilatory efficiency, and evaluation of cardiac output response through oxygen pulse analysis. These parameters are particularly valuable in athletes with unexplained exercise limitations or when distinguishing between cardiovascular and pulmonary causes of syncope. By using CPET, clinicians can more accurately measure cardiorespiratory fitness, identify the physiological systems affecting exercise responses, and determine the underlying pathophysiology that limits exercise or causes differences in performance (Balady et al., 2010).

Discussion

Interpretation of exercise stress test results in athletes requires an understanding of physiological adaptations, sport-specific considerations, and the significance of various abnormal findings within the context of syncope evaluation. Due to the complexity of athletic physiology, expertise in sports cardiology is necessary for optimal result interpretation. The unique physiology of athletes, especially their cardiovascular systems, requires special consideration when using CPET to assess and manage their health, as the normal ranges for gas exchange parameters are based on studies of the general population, whose exercise physiology may differ from that of highly trained athletes (Petek et al., 2021).

Normal Test Results

A normal stress test in an athlete with syncope provides significant prognostic reassurance, though residual risk cannot be completely eliminated. Studies demonstrate that negative sport-specific stress testing substantially reduces the likelihood of exercise-induced arrhythmias, with negative predictive values approaching 95% when testing achieves true maximal effort and sport-specific protocols are employed.(Whitman et al., 2021) Clinicians should focus on excluding life-threatening conditions, such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and coronary artery anomalies, before reassuring athletes with a normal stress test (Lampert et al., 2024).

Normal findings include appropriate chronotropic response with achievement of predicted maximum heart rate, absence of arrhythmias during exercise and recovery phases, normal blood pressure response with gradual increase during exercise and appropriate recovery, and absence of symptoms during maximal effort testing.(Petek et al., 2021; Van Hare et al., 2015)

Return-to-play guidance following normal stress testing depends on overall clinical assessment including history, physical examination, and additional testing results. In athletes with isolated syncope and comprehensively normal evaluation including maximal effort stress testing, return to full activity is generally appropriate with continued monitoring.(Lampert et al., 2024)

However, clinicians must counsel athletes and families about residual risk, particularly for conditions with intermittent manifestations or those triggered by specific circumstances not replicated during testing. Long-term follow-up recommendations typically include annual clinical assessment with consideration for repeat testing if symptoms recur.(Lampert et al., 2024; Maron et al., 2015)

Hemodynamic Abnormalities

Blood pressure responses during exercise testing provide important diagnostic information in athletes with syncope. Exercise-induced hypotension, defined as failure to increase systolic blood pressure >10 mmHg from baseline or decrease in systolic pressure during exercise, may indicate underlying cardiac disease or chronotropic incompetence.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Exaggerated hypertensive responses (systolic >250 mmHg or diastolic >115 mmHg) may suggest underlying hypertension, increased cardiovascular risk, or predisposition to exercise-induced arrhythmias. While common in strength athletes, marked hypertensive responses warrant further evaluation and potential intervention.(McAward & Moriarity, 2005)

Chronotropic incompetence, defined as failure to achieve 85% of predicted maximum heart rate in the absence of rate-limiting medications, may indicate sinus node dysfunction, autonomic abnormalities, or underlying cardiac disease. In athletes, assessment must account for exceptional cardiovascular fitness and potential for higher-than-predicted maximum heart rates.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024)

Post-exercise hypotension with symptoms may confirm vasovagal mechanisms in some athletes, though care must be taken to distinguish physiological recovery responses from pathological findings. Prolonged recovery periods or associated arrhythmias may suggest underlying abnormalities requiring further investigation.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Arrhythmic Findings

Ventricular ectopy during exercise requires careful interpretation based on frequency, morphology, and clinical context. Simple ventricular premature beats that decrease with increasing exercise intensity are generally benign, while complex ventricular ectopy (multiform, couplets, nonsustained ventricular tachycardia) or ectopy that increases with exercise may indicate underlying pathology.(Corrado et al., 2019)

Polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, particularly if triggered by exercise or emotional stress, raises suspicion for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) and warrants immediate further evaluation including genetic testing and family screening. Even brief episodes of polymorphic VT in young athletes should prompt sport restriction until comprehensive evaluation is complete.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Exercise-induced atrial fibrillation or supraventricular tachycardia may indicate predisposition to arrhythmias during sports participation. While often well-tolerated in structurally normal hearts, rapid ventricular response rates or associated symptoms may require intervention and sport modification.(Corrado et al., 2019)

Post-exercise QT prolongation may suggest concealed long QT syndrome, particularly if associated with ventricular ectopy or symptoms. Measurement of QT intervals during recovery phases should be performed systematically, with careful attention to rate correction and comparison to baseline values.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024)

The pathway to electrophysiology study or ICD consideration depends on specific arrhythmia characteristics, underlying structural disease, and family history. Malignant arrhythmias, typically warrant immediate cardiology consultation and consideration for advanced testing or intervention. Comprehensive cardiac imaging including cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and exercise stress testing is recommended to assess for underlying structural heart disease and the behavior of premature ventricular contractions with exercise (Lampert et al., 2024).

Ischemic Findings

ST-segment changes during exercise testing in young athletes are uncommon but concerning when present. Horizontal or downsloping ST depression ≥1 mm, particularly if associated with chest pain or hemodynamic changes, raises suspicion for coronary artery disease or coronary anomalies.(Sharma et al., 2017)

In young athletes, ischemic findings should prompt immediate consideration of anomalous coronary arteries, particularly anomalous left coronary artery arising from the right sinus of Valsalva. These anatomical variants can cause exercise-induced compression and ischemia, potentially leading to syncope and sudden death.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020)

Downstream imaging considerations include coronary CT angiography for anatomical assessment, cardiac magnetic resonance for functional evaluation and scar detection, and potential coronary angiography if high clinical suspicion exists. Exercise-induced ischemia in young athletes represents a medical emergency requiring immediate sport restriction until comprehensive evaluation is complete.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024)

Chest pain during exercise testing, particularly if associated with ECG changes or arrhythmias, warrants careful evaluation for structural heart disease, coronary anomalies, or other stated conditions. The combination of chest pain and syncope in young athletes carries particularly high concern for serious underlying pathology.(Magalhães-Ribeiro & Freitas, 2016)

Adjunctive & Emerging Technologies

The integration of novel technologies with traditional exercise stress testing offers promising avenues for enhanced diagnostic accuracy and real-world monitoring of athletes with syncope. These emerging approaches may address some limitations of laboratory-based testing while providing new insights into exercise-induced arrhythmias and hemodynamic abnormalities.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Wearable Technology Integration

Consumer-grade wearable devices have evolved to provide increasingly sophisticated cardiovascular monitoring capabilities, including heart rate variability analysis, rhythm detection, and activity correlation. Modern devices can capture heart rhythm data during actual sports participation, potentially identifying arrhythmias that occur only under specific training or competition conditions not replicated in laboratory settings.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Validation studies of wearable technology demonstrate reasonable accuracy for basic heart rate monitoring and gross rhythm abnormalities, with newer devices achieving sensitivity rates of 85-95% for atrial fibrillation detection. However, limitations include motion artifact during high-intensity activities, false positive rates for complex arrhythmias, and inability to provide diagnostic-quality ECG tracings for detailed analysis.(Frazier-Mills et al., 2022; Lampert et al., 2024)

Clinical integration of wearable data requires careful interpretation and correlation with formal medical testing. While consumer devices may identify concerning patterns warranting further evaluation, they should not replace comprehensive medical assessment or serve as the sole basis for diagnosis or sport restriction decisions. Future developments in wearable technology may include enhanced signal processing algorithms, multi-lead ECG capability, and integration with other physiological parameters such as blood pressure monitoring. These advances could potentially transform the approach to athletic monitoring and syncope evaluation. (Lampert et al., 2024)

Artificial Intelligence Enhancement

Artificial intelligence (AI) applications in ECG interpretation show promising potential for enhancing detection of subtle abnormalities that might be missed during routine interpretation. Machine learning

algorithms trained on large databases of athletic ECGs may improve sensitivity for detecting patterns associated with arrhythmogenic conditions while reducing false positive rates.(Lampert et al., 2024)

AI-enhanced pattern recognition systems can analyze complex relationships between ECG parameters, exercise responses, and clinical outcomes, potentially revealing insights not readily apparent to human interpreters. These systems may prove particularly valuable for identifying early markers of conditions like arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy or subtle channelopathy manifestations. Furthermore, arrhythmia prediction algorithms utilizing heart rate variability analysis, exercise response patterns, and other physiological parameters may aid in identifying athletes at increased risk for exercise-induced events. However, it's crucial to acknowledge that current systems require extensive validation within athletic populations before clinical implementation.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Limitations of current AI applications include the need for large, diverse training datasets, potential for algorithmic bias, and the requirement for human oversight in clinical decision-making. Regulatory approval and validation studies specific to athletic populations remain ongoing for most AI-enhanced cardiac monitoring systems.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Advanced Imaging Integration

Exercise cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) represents an emerging technology that combines the tissue characterization capabilities of CMR with exercise provocation. This approach may identify stress-induced wall motion abnormalities, perfusion defects, or functional changes not apparent on resting imaging or exercise echocardiography. CMR can be used to assess ventricular function, myocardial perfusion, and scar tissue presence (Gerche et al., 2013).

Real-time CMR during exercise can be particularly valuable for detecting subtle ischemic changes in athletes with suspected coronary anomalies or early cardiomyopathy. The superior tissue contrast and lack of ionizing radiation make exercise CMR an attractive option for young athletic populations. However, technical challenges remain, including the need for specialized equipment, motion artifact during exercise, and the limited availability of exercise-capable CMR systems. Furthermore, current protocols typically employ supine bicycle exercise, which may not adequately replicate sport-specific demands for many athletes.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Future hybrid testing approaches may combine multiple modalities to provide comprehensive physiological assessment during exercise. Potential combinations include simultaneous stress echocardiography with cardiopulmonary exercise testing, exercise CMR with metabolic assessment, or integrated wearable monitoring during controlled exercise protocols.(Jain & Borlaug, 2020)

Clinical Algorithms & Consensus

The development of evidence-based clinical algorithms for athletic syncope evaluation has progressed significantly with recent guideline publications and consensus statements. Integration of multiple professional society recommendations provides a framework for systematic, standardized approaches to diagnosis and management.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Current Guideline Synthesis

The 2018 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope provide foundational recommendations applicable to athletic populations, though specific athletic considerations require additional guidance from sports cardiology sources. The ESC guidelines establish Class I recommendations for exercise testing in patients with exercise-related syncope, emphasizing the need for maximal effort protocols.(Brignole et al., 2018)

The 2024 Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) Expert Consensus Statement on arrhythmias in the athlete represents the most current and comprehensive guidance specific to athletic populations. This document provides Level B evidence recommendations for exercise stress testing, stating that "in all athletes with syncope during exertion, an ECG, exercise stress test, and transthoracic echocardiogram should be performed."(Lampert et al., 2024)

The International Olympic Committee (IOC) consensus statements on cardiovascular care of athletes emphasize the importance of comprehensive evaluation while avoiding unnecessary restriction of athletic participation. The IOC framework supports individualized assessment with sport-specific risk stratification and shared decision-making between athletes, families, and medical teams.(Ljungqvist et al., 2009)

Integration of these various guideline sources reveals consistent themes including the critical importance of exercise testing for exertional syncope, the need for sport-specific protocols, emphasis on maximal effort testing, and systematic risk stratification approaches.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020)

Proposed Pragmatic Algorithm

A practical clinical algorithm must balance comprehensive evaluation with efficient resource utilization while maintaining safety as the primary priority. The proposed pathway begins with thorough initial assessment including history, physical examination, ECG, and echocardiography for all athletes with syncope.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Decision thresholds for progression to stress testing include any history of exertional syncope (immediate indication), abnormal ECG or echocardiographic findings in the setting of syncope, concerning family history with unexplained syncope, and recurrent episodes despite initial normal evaluation.(D'Ascenzi et al., 2020)

Risk stratification following stress testing determines subsequent management pathways. High-risk findings (exercise-induced arrhythmias, hemodynamic abnormalities, ischemic changes) warrant immediate cardiology consultation and sport restriction pending further evaluation. Intermediate-risk findings may allow continued participation with enhanced monitoring and repeat evaluation.(Innocentiis et al., 2018)

Low-risk athletes with comprehensively normal evaluation including negative stress testing may return to full activity with continued clinical monitoring and education about symptom recognition. Annual follow-up assessment helps identify delayed manifestations of conditions with variable penetrance.(Baswaraj & Flaker, 2024)

The algorithm incorporates sport-specific considerations, recognizing that collision sports, endurance activities, and competitive levels carry different risk profiles. Environmental factors such as altitude, temperature, and hydration status may influence both testing protocols and return-to-play decisions.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Shared decision-making principles ensure that athletes and families understand evaluation results, residual risks, and implications for sport participation. Clear communication about the limitations of testing and the possibility of delayed diagnosis helps establish appropriate expectations and monitoring plans.(Corrado et al., 2019)

Limitations of Current Evidence

Despite significant advances in understanding athletic syncope and stress testing applications, substantial limitations in the current evidence base affect clinical decision-making and guideline development. Recognition of these limitations is essential for appropriate interpretation of research findings and clinical application of available evidence. One major challenge involves heterogeneity in study populations, testing protocols, and outcome measures across different studies (Lampert et al., 2024; O'Connor et al., 2009).

Study Design and Population Limitations

The majority of studies examining stress testing in athletic syncope involve small sample sizes, typically including fewer than 200 participants, which limits statistical power for detecting rare but clinically significant events. The low incidence of serious cardiac conditions in young athletic populations necessitates large prospective studies that are challenging to conduct and fund adequately.(Lampert et al., 2017)

Heterogeneity in study populations represents another significant limitation, with studies including varying age ranges, competition levels, and sport types. This diversity makes meta-analysis challenging and limits the generalizability of findings across different athletic populations. Professional athletes, collegiate competitors, and recreational participants may have substantially different risk profiles and physiological adaptations.(Innocentiis et al., 2018)

Selection bias affects many studies, since athletes referred for stress testing typically represent higher-risk populations with concerning symptoms or initial evaluation findings. This referral bias may inflate diagnostic yields and overestimate the utility of testing compared to broader athletic populations. The lack of randomized controlled trials represents a fundamental limitation in the evidence base. Ethical considerations prevent the randomization of athletes with concerning symptoms to observation versus testing, necessitating reliance on observational studies and expert consensus for many clinical recommendations. (Lampert et al., 2024)

Long-term follow-up data remain limited, with most studies providing outcomes over 1-3 year periods rather than the extended timeframes necessary to assess the true prognostic value of testing results. The variable penetrance and age-related expression of many genetic cardiac conditions require decades of follow-up for complete risk assessment.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Methodological Limitations of This Review

This narrative review has several important limitations that must be acknowledged. First, the non-systematic methodology may introduce selection bias in study inclusion and interpretation. Unlike systematic reviews with pre-specified protocols, narrative reviews are inherently subjective in evidence selection and synthesis.

Second, we did not employ formal quality assessment tools (e.g., Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, GRADE methodology) that would provide standardized evaluation of study quality and strength of recommendations. This limitation is particularly relevant given the predominance of observational studies in this field.

Third, the heterogeneous nature of included studies—ranging from large screening registries to small single-center cohorts—makes quantitative synthesis challenging. Effect sizes and confidence intervals vary substantially across studies, limiting our ability to provide precise estimates of diagnostic accuracy.

Finally, the rapidly evolving nature of sports cardiology guidelines means that some recommendations may become outdated as new consensus statements emerge. This review represents a snapshot of current evidence and expert opinion as of 2025.

Technical Constraints

Standardization of stress testing protocols across studies remains problematic, with significant variation in testing modalities, intensity targets, termination criteria, and recovery monitoring. This heterogeneity makes comparison of diagnostic yields and outcomes across studies challenging and limits the development of evidence-based protocol recommendations.(Corrado et al., 2019)

Laboratory versus field testing mismatch represents a fundamental limitation of current approaches. Controlled laboratory environments may fail to replicate the specific physiological demands, environmental conditions, and psychological stressors present during actual sports participation where syncope episodes occur.(Lampert et al., 2024)

The definition of "positive" test results varies significantly across studies, with some focusing on arrhythmia detection, others emphasizing hemodynamic responses, and still others incorporating symptom reproduction. This variability in outcome definitions makes interpretation and comparison of research findings difficult.(Corrado et al., 2019)

Psychological factors may influence test results, as athletes may experience anxiety or motivation differences in laboratory settings compared to their usual training or competition environments. These factors can affect both physiological responses and symptom reporting during testing.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Technical limitations of current monitoring systems include inability to replicate sport-specific movements, environmental conditions, and team dynamics that may contribute to syncope episodes. Many arrhythmogenic conditions may require very specific triggers that are difficult or impossible to reproduce in clinical settings.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Clinical Translation Challenges

The translation of research findings into clinical practice faces several obstacles, including variations in healthcare systems, resource availability, and expertise in sports cardiology. Many healthcare settings lack access to specialized athletic testing protocols or personnel with appropriate training in sports medicine and cardiology.(Innocentiis et al., 2018)

Cost-effectiveness data remain limited, making it difficult to justify resource allocation for comprehensive athletic screening programs or specialized testing protocols. The low absolute risk of serious events in most athletic populations challenges traditional healthcare economic models.(Innocentiis et al., 2018)

Legal and liability concerns may influence testing and management decisions, potentially leading to over-testing or unnecessary sport restriction to minimize medicolegal risk. The balance between appropriate caution and excessive conservatism remains challenging in clinical practice.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Communication of complex risk-benefit information to athletes, families, and coaches requires specialized skills and time that may not be available in routine clinical settings. Misunderstanding of test results or risk assessments can lead to inappropriate decisions about sport participation.(Corrado et al., 2019)

Conclusions

Exercise stress testing has emerged as a pivotal diagnostic tool in the evaluation of athletes with syncope, serving as an essential gatekeeper for identifying potentially life-threatening cardiac conditions while minimizing unnecessary sport restrictions. The evidence reviewed demonstrates that properly tailored, sport-specific stress testing protocols significantly enhance diagnostic accuracy compared to generic clinical approaches, with diagnostic yields higher in athletes with exertional syncope when maximal effort, sport-specific protocols are employed.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Key Clinical Takeaways

The contemporary approach to stress testing in athletic syncope emphasizes several critical principles that should guide clinical practice. First, exercise stress testing is strongly indicated for all athletes with exertional

syncope and should be considered for those with concerning family histories or abnormal initial evaluations, even in the absence of exercise-related episodes.(Gerche et al., 2013; O'Connor et al., 2009; Zipes et al., 2015)

Protocol design must prioritize sport-specific modifications that replicate the physiological demands and movement patterns of the athlete's primary sport. Standard ischemia-focused protocols such as the Bruce protocol are inadequate for detecting exercise-induced arrhythmias in athletic populations and should be replaced with individualized approaches that emphasize maximal volitional effort rather than arbitrary heart rate targets.(Lampert et al., 2024)

The integration of stress testing within comprehensive diagnostic algorithms enhances overall diagnostic accuracy and clinical decision-making. Stress testing should not be viewed as an isolated diagnostic tool but rather as a component of systematic evaluation that includes careful history, physical examination, resting ECG, echocardiography, and when indicated, advanced imaging and genetic testing.(Lampert et al., 2024; Maron et al., 2015)

Risk stratification following stress testing requires nuanced interpretation that considers the athlete's sport, competition level, and individual risk factors. A negative stress test provides substantial reassurance but does not eliminate all residual risk, particularly for conditions with intermittent manifestations or specific environmental triggers not replicated during testing.(Cosío-Lima et al., 2020)

The importance of expertise in sports cardiology cannot be overstated, as interpretation of findings in athletic populations requires understanding of physiological adaptations, sport-specific considerations, and the complex risk-benefit analyses involved in return-to-play decisions.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Research Priorities and Future Investigations

The current evidence base would benefit significantly from large-scale, multicenter registries that prospectively follow athletes with syncope across diverse populations and sports. Such registries could provide more robust data on diagnostic yields, long-term outcomes, and optimal testing strategies while addressing current limitations in sample size and population diversity.(Lampert et al., 2024)

Sport-specific protocol validation represents a critical research need, with systematic studies required to establish optimal testing approaches for different athletic disciplines. These investigations should examine not only diagnostic accuracy but also practical implementation considerations, cost-effectiveness, and athlete acceptability.

The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies offers promising avenues for enhancing diagnostic accuracy and identifying subtle patterns not apparent to human interpreters. Research should focus on developing and validating AI algorithms specifically for athletic populations while addressing concerns about algorithmic bias and clinical integration.

Wearable technology validation studies are needed to establish the clinical utility of consumer devices and medical-grade monitors for real-world athletic monitoring. These investigations should address accuracy across different sports and environmental conditions while developing frameworks for integrating wearable data with formal medical evaluation.

Long-term outcome studies with extended follow-up periods are essential for understanding the true prognostic value of stress testing results and establishing evidence-based monitoring strategies. These studies should include assessment of quality of life, sport participation patterns, and late manifestations of genetic conditions.

The incorporation of genetic testing into diagnostic algorithms for athletic syncope represents a rapidly evolving area with tremendous potential for personalized risk assessment and management (Dores et al., 2024).

Cost-effectiveness analyses could inform healthcare policy and resource allocation decisions, particularly important as screening programs expand and novel technologies become available. Such analyses should consider not only direct medical costs but also broader societal impacts including athlete career effects and family psychosocial outcomes.

Development of standardized outcome measures and reporting protocols would facilitate comparison across studies and support future meta-analyses. Professional societies should collaborate to establish consensus definitions for positive test results, risk categories, and outcome endpoints.

In conclusion, stress testing represents a cornerstone of athletic syncope evaluation when properly applied within evidence-based diagnostic algorithms. Continued research and technological development promise to further enhance diagnostic accuracy and clinical outcomes while maintaining the primary goal of athlete safety. The field would benefit from increased collaboration between sports medicine physicians, cardiologists, exercise physiologists, and technology developers to advance the science and practice of athletic cardiovascular care.

Disclosure

Author's contribution

Conceptualization: PM, FS, BŻ, AG; Methodology: PM, BŻ, AL, OS; Software: BŻ, AL, FS, JT; Check: AL, JR; Formal analysis: PM, JR, MG, OS; Investigation: PM, AL, JR; Resources, Data curation: PM, MG, JR, BŻ, FS, JT, AL, OS, AG; Writing- rough preparation: PM, BŻ, AG JT; Writing-review and editing: BŻ, MG, OS; Visualization: MG; Supervision: BŻ, AL, AG, OS; Project administration: PM, AL, AG.

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement: The article did not receive any funding.
Institutional Review and Board Statement: Not applicable.
Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.
Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.
Conflict of Interest Statement: No conflicts of interest to declare.
Acknowledgements: None.

REFERENCES

1. Aydin, M. A., Salukhe, T. V., Wilke, I., & Willems, S. (2010). Management and therapy of vasovagal syncope: A review. World Journal of Cardiology, 2(10), 308. https://doi.org/10.4330/wjc.v2.i10.308
2. Balady, G., Arena, R., Sietsema, K. E., Myers, J., Coke, L. A., Fletcher, G. F., Forman, D. E., Franklin, B. A., Guazzi, M., Gulati, M., Keteyian, S. J., Lavie, C. J., Macko, R. F., Mancini, D., & Milani, R. V. (2010). Clinician's Guide to Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Adults [Review of Clinician's Guide to Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Adults]. Circulation, 122(2), 191. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0b013e3181e52e69
3. Baswaraj, D., & Flaker, G. (2024). Syncope in Athletes: A Prelude to Sudden Cardiac Death? PubMed, 121(1), 52. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38404441
4. Bickel, T., Gunasekaran, P., Murtaza, G., Gopinathannair, R., Gunda, S., & Lakkireddy, D. (2019). Sudden Cardiac Death in Famous Athletes, Lessons Learned, Heterogeneity in Expert Recommendations and Pitfalls of Contemporary Screening Strategies [Review of Sudden Cardiac Death in Famous Athletes, Lessons Learned, Heterogeneity in Expert Recommendations and Pitfalls of Contemporary Screening Strategies]. Journal of Atrial Fibrillation, 12(4). https://doi.org/10.4022/jafib.2193
5. Brignole, M., Moya, A., de Lange, F. J., Deharo, J.-C., Elliott, P. M., Fanciulli, A., Fedorowski, A., Furlan, R., Kenny, R. A., Martiın, A., Probst, V., Reed, M. J., Rice, C., Sutton, R., Sutton, R. E., Ungar, A., & van Dijk, J. G. (2018). 2018 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope. Kardiologia Polska, 76(8), 1119. https://doi.org/10.5603/kp.2018.0161
6. Brignole, M., Moyá, A., Lange, F. J. de, Deharo, J., Elliott, P., Fanciulli, A., Fedorowski, A., Furlan, R., Kenny, R. A., Martín, A., Probst, V., Reed, M. J., Rice, C., Sutton, R., Ungar, A., Dijk, J. G. van, Torbicki, A., Moreno, J., Aboyans, V., … Zamorano, J. L. (2018). Practical Instructions for the 2018 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope. European Heart Journal, 39(21). https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy071
7. Chandler, S. F., Hylind, R. J., & Abrams, D. J. (2019). Exercise Stress Testing: Diagnostic Utility in the Evaluation of Long QT Syndrome. In Springer eBooks (p. 219). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16818-6_30
8. Colivicchi, F., Ammirati, F., & Santini, M. (2004). Epidemiology and prognostic implications of syncope in young competing athletes. European Heart Journal, 25(19), 1749. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ehj.2004.07.011
9. Corrado, D., Basso, C., Pavei, A., Michieli, P., Schiavon, M., & Thiene, G. (2006). Trends in Sudden Cardiovascular Death in Young Competitive Athletes After Implementation of a Preparticipation Screening Program. JAMA, 296(13), 1593. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.13.1593
10. Corrado, D., Drezner, J. A., D'Ascenzi, F., & Zorzi, A. (2019). How to evaluate premature ventricular beats in the athlete: critical review and proposal of a diagnostic algorithm [Review of How to evaluate premature ventricular beats in the athlete: critical review and proposal of a diagnostic algorithm]. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 54(19), 1142. BMJ. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-100529
11. Cosío-Lima, L., Adlof, L., Simpson, J. D., Crawley, A., & Lee, Y. (2020). Athletes ECG Stress Characteristics in Division II College Athletes: A Preliminary Analysis. Journal of Science in Sport and Exercise, 2(2), 183. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42978-020-00057-2
12. D'Ascenzi, F., Zorzi, A., Sciaccaluga, C., Berrettini, U., Mondillo, S., & Brignole, M. (2020). Syncope in the Young Adult and in the Athlete: Causes and Clinical Work-up to Exclude a Life-Threatening Cardiac Disease. Journal of Cardiovascular Translational Research, 13(3), 322. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-020-09989-0

13. Dores, H., Dinis, P., Viegas, J., & Freitas, A. (2024). Preparticipation Cardiovascular Screening of Athletes: Current Controversies and Challenges for the Future [Review of Preparticipation Cardiovascular Screening of Athletes: Current Controversies and Challenges for the Future]. Diagnostics, 14(21), 2445. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics14212445
14. Drezner, J. A., Ackerman, M. J., Anderson, J., Ashley, E. A., Asplund, C. A., Baggish, A. L., Börjesson, M., Cannon, B. C., Corrado, D., DiFiori, J. P., Fischbach, P., Froelicher, V. F., Harmon, K. G., Heidbüchel, H., Marek, J. C., Owens, D. S., Paul, S., Pelliccia, A., Prutkin, J. M., … Wilson, M. G. (2013). Electrocardiographic interpretation in athletes: the 'Seattle Criteria.' British Journal of Sports Medicine, 47(3), 122. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-092067
15. Edvardsen, E., Hem, E., & Anderssen, S. A. (2014). End Criteria for Reaching Maximal Oxygen Uptake Must Be Strict and Adjusted to Sex and Age: A Cross-Sectional Study. PLoS ONE, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085276
16. Fletcher, G. F., Ades, P. A., Kligfield, P., Arena, R., Balady, G. J., Bittner, V. A., Coke, L. A., Fleg, J. L., Forman, D. E., Gerber, T. C., Gulati, M., Madan, K., Rhodes, J., Thompson, P. D., & Williams, M. A. (2013). Exercise Standards for Testing and Training A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0b013e31829b5b44
17. Frazier-Mills, C., Johnson, L. C., Xia, Y., Rosemas, S., Franco, N., & Pokorney, S. D. (2022). Syncope Recurrence and Downstream Diagnostic Testing after Insertable Cardiac Monitor Placement for Syncope. Diagnostics, 12(8), 1977. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12081977
18. Gerche, A. L., Baggish, A. L., Knuuti, J., Prior, D. L., Sharma, S., Heidbüchel, H., & Thompson, P. M. (2013). Cardiac Imaging and Stress Testing Asymptomatic Athletes to Identify Those at Risk of Sudden Cardiac Death [Review of Cardiac Imaging and Stress Testing Asymptomatic Athletes to Identify Those at Risk of Sudden Cardiac Death]. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging, 6(9), 993. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2013.06.003
19. Graziano, F., Zorzi, A., Ungaro, S., Bauce, B., Rigato, I., Cipriani, A., Marra, M. P., Pilichou, K., Basso, C., & Corrado, D. (2024). The 2023 European Task Force Criteria for Diagnosis of Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathy: Historical Background and Review of Main Changes [Review of The 2023 European Task Force Criteria for Diagnosis of Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathy: Historical Background and Review of Main Changes]. Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine, 25(9). IMR Press. https://doi.org/10.31083/j.rcm2509348
20. Halliwill, J. R., Sieck, D. C., Romero, S. A., Buck, T. M., & Ely, M. R. (2013). Blood pressure regulation X: what happens when the muscle pump is lost? Post-exercise hypotension and syncope [Review of Blood pressure regulation X: what happens when the muscle pump is lost? Post-exercise hypotension and syncope]. European Journal of Applied Physiology, 114(3), 561. Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-013-2761-1
21. Hastings, J. L., & Levine, B. D. (2012). Syncope in the Athletic Patient [Review of Syncope in the Athletic Patient]. Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, 54(5), 438. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2012.02.003
22. Innocentiis, C. D., Ricci, F., Khanji, M. Y., Aung, N., Tana, C., Verrengia, E., Petersen, S. E., & Gallina, S. (2018). Athlete's Heart: Diagnostic Challenges and Future Perspectives [Review of Athlete's Heart: Diagnostic Challenges and Future Perspectives]. Sports Medicine, 48(11), 2463. Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0985-2
23. Jain, C. C., & Borlaug, B. A. (2020). Performance and Interpretation of Invasive Hemodynamic Exercise Testing [Review of Performance and Interpretation of Invasive Hemodynamic Exercise Testing]. CHEST Journal, 158(5), 2119. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.05.552
24. Khattab, E., Velidakis, N., Gkougkoudi, E., & Kadoglou, N. P. E. (2023). Exercise-Induced Pulmonary Hypertension: A Valid Entity or Another Factor of Confusion? [Review of Exercise-Induced Pulmonary Hypertension: A Valid Entity or Another Factor of Confusion?]. Life, 13(1), 128. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/life13010128
25. Koene, R. J., Adkisson, W. O., & Benditt, D. G. (2017). Syncope and the risk of sudden cardiac death: Evaluation, management, and prevention [Review of Syncope and the risk of sudden cardiac death: Evaluation, management, and prevention]. Journal of Arrhythmia, 33(6), 533. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joa.2017.07.005
26. Lampert, R., Chung, E. H., Ackerman, M. J., Arroyo, A. R., Darden, D., Deo, R., Dolan, J., Etheridge, S. P., Gray, B. R., Harmon, K. G., James, C. A., Kim, J. H., Krahn, A. D., La Gerche, A., Link, M. S., MacIntyre, C., Mont, L., Salerno, J. C., & Shah, M. J. (2024). HRS expert consensus statement on arrhythmias in the athlete: Evaluation, treatment, and return to play. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2024.05.018
27. Lampert, R., Olshansky, B., Heidbüchel, H., Lawless, C. E., Saarel, E. V., Ackerman, M. J., Calkins, H., Estes, N. A. M., Link, M. S., Maron, B. J., Marcus, G. M., Scheinman, M. M., Wilkoff, B. L., Zipes, D. P., Berul, C. I., Cheng, A., Jordaens, L., Law, I. H., Loomis, M., … Cannom, D. S. (2017, June 5). Safety of Sports for Athletes With Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillators. In Circulation (Vol. 135, Issue 23, p. 2310). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.117.027828

28. Lancellotti, P., Pellikka, P. A., Budts, W., Chaudhry, F. A., Donal, E., Dulgheru, R., Edvardsen, T., Garbi, M., Ha, J., Kane, G. C., Kreeger, J., Mertens, L., Pîbarot, P., Picaño, E., Ryan, T., Tsutsui, J. M., & Varga, A. (2016). The clinical use of stress echocardiography in non-ischaemic heart disease: recommendations from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the American Society of Echocardiography [Review of The clinical use of stress echocardiography in non-ischaemic heart disease: recommendations from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the American Society of Echocardiography]. European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging, 17(11), 1191. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jew190
29. Lee, C., Dow, S., Shah, K., Henkin, S., & Taub, C. C. (2023). Complications of exercise and pharmacologic stress echocardiography [Review of Complications of exercise and pharmacologic stress echocardiography]. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 10. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2023.1228613
30. Link, M. S., & Estes, N. A. M. (2007). How to Manage Athletes with Syncope [Review of How to Manage Athletes with Syncope]. Cardiology Clinics, 25(3), 457. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccl.2007.07.005
31. Ljungqvist, A., Jenoure, P., Engebretsen, L., Alonso, J. M., Bahr, R., Clough, A., Bondt, G. de, Dvořák, J., Maloley, R., Matheson, G. O., Meeuwisse, W., Meijboom, E. J., Mountjoy, M., Pelliccia, A., Schwellnus, M., Sprumont, D., Schamasch, P., Gauthier, J.-B., Dubi, C., … Thill, C. (2009). The International Olympic Committee (IOC) Consensus Statement on periodic health evaluation of elite athletes March 2009. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 43(9), 631. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2009.064394
32. Magalhães-Ribeiro, C., & Freitas, J. (2016). Syncope in the young athlete: Assessment of prognosis in subjects with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [Review of Syncope in the young athlete: Assessment of prognosis in subjects with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy]. Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia, 35, 433. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2016.04.007
33. Maron, B. J., Udelson, J. E., Bonow, R. O., Nishimura, R. A., Ackerman, M. J., Estes 3rd, N. A., Cooper Jr, L. T., Link, M. S., & Maron, M. S. (2015). Eligibility and disqualification recommendations for competitive athletes with cardiovascular abnormalities: Task Force 3: hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy and other cardiomyopathies, and myocarditis: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000239
34. Maron, B., Levine, B., Washington, R., Baggish, A., Kovacs, R., & Maron, M. (2015). Eligibility and disqualification recommendations for competitive athletes with cardiovascular abnormalities: Task Force 2: preparticipation screening for cardiovascular disease in competitive athletes: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000238
35. McAward, K., & Moriarity, J. (2005). Exertional syncope and presyncope: faint signs of underlying problems. The Physician and Sportsmedicine, 33(11), 7. https://doi.org/10.3810/psm.2005.11.245
36. Melby, D. P., Cytron, J. A., & Benditt, D. G. (2004). New approaches to the treatment and prevention of neurally mediated reflex (neurocardiogenic) syncope. Current Cardiology Reports, 6(5), 385. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-004-0042-7
37. Mündel, T., Perry, B. G., Ainslie, P. N., Thomas, K. N., Sikken, E. L. G., Cotter, J. D., & Lucas, S. J. E. (2015). Postexercise orthostatic intolerance: influence of exercise intensity. Experimental Physiology, 100(8), 915. https://doi.org/10.1113/ep085143
38. Natarajan, B., & Nikore, V. (2006). Syncope and near syncope in competitive athletes. Current Sports Medicine Reports, 5(6), 300. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11932-006-0057-5
39. Obeyesekere, M., Klein, G. J., Modi, S., Leong-Sit, P., Gula, L. J., Yee, R., Skanes, A. C., & Krahn, A. D. (2011). How to Perform and Interpret Provocative Testing for the Diagnosis of Brugada Syndrome, Long-QT Syndrome, and Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia [Review of How to Perform and Interpret Provocative Testing for the Diagnosis of Brugada Syndrome, Long-QT Syndrome, and Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia]. Circulation Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 4(6), 958. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1161/circep.111.965947
40. O'Connor, F. G., Levine, B. D., Childress, M. A., Asplundh, C. A., & Oriscello, R. G. (2009). Practical Management: A Systematic Approach to the Evaluation of Exercise-Related Syncope in Athletes. Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine, 19(5), 429. https://doi.org/10.1097/jsm.0b013e3181b732c3
41. Petek, B. J., Gustus, S., & Wasfy, M. M. (2021). Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Athletes: Expect the Unexpected. Current Treatment Options in Cardiovascular Medicine, 23(7). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11936-021-00928-z
42. Peterson, D. F., Kucera, K., Thomas, L. C., Maleszewski, J., Siebert, D., Lopez-Anderson, M., Zigman, M., Schattenkerk, J., Harmon, K. G., & Drezner, J. A. (2020). Aetiology and incidence of sudden cardiac arrest and death in young competitive athletes in the USA: a 4- year prospective study. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102666
43. Pugh, A., Bourke, J., & Kunadian, V. (2012). Sudden cardiac death among competitive adult athletes: a review [Review of Sudden cardiac death among competitive adult athletes: a review]. Postgraduate Medical Journal, 88(1041), 382. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2011-130215

44. Rocha, E. A., Kubrusly, B. S., Gurgel, A. de A. A., Pinho, L. G. B., Farias, A. G. P., Coimbra, V. O., Gondim, P. S. P., Rocha, M. C. T., Rocha, M. E. Q. A., Pereira, F. T. M., Marques, V. R. B., Farias, R. L., & Rocha, E. A. (2024). The Tilt Test in the Assessment of Syncope and Pre-syncope. Effective and safe? Analysis of a Series of 2364 Patients over 6 Years. Journal of Cardiac Arrhythmias, 37. https://doi.org/10.24207/jca.v37i1.3490
45. Roston, T. M., Kallas, D., Davies, B., Franciosi, S., Souza, A. M. D., Laksman, Z., Sanatani, S., & Krahn, A. D. (2021). Burst Exercise Testing Can Unmask Arrhythmias in Patients With Incompletely Penetrant Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia [Review of Burst Exercise Testing Can Unmask Arrhythmias in Patients With Incompletely Penetrant Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia]. JACC. Clinical Electrophysiology, 7(4), 437. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacep.2021.02.013
46. Sharma, S., Drezner, J. A., Baggish, A. L., Papadakis, M., Wilson, M. G., Prutkin, J. M., Gerche, A. L., Ackerman, M. J., Börjesson, M., Salerno, J. C., Asif, I. M., Owens, D. S., Chung, E. H., Emery, M. S., Froelicher, V. F., Heidbüchel, H., Adamuz, C., Asplund, C. A., Cohen, G., … Corrado, D. (2017). International Recommendations for Electrocardiographic Interpretation in Athletes [Review of International Recommendations for Electrocardiographic Interpretation in Athletes]. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 69(8), 1057. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2017.01.015
47. Shen, W., Sheldon, R. S., Benditt, D. G., Cohen, M. I., Forman, D. E., Goldberger, Z. D., Grubb, B. P., Hamdan, M. H., Krahn, A. D., Link, M. S., Olshansky, B., Raj, S. R., Sandhu, R. K., Sorajja, D., Sun, B., & Yancy, C. W. (2017). 2017 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Patients With Syncope: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society [Review of 2017 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Patients With Syncope: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society]. Circulation, 136(5). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000499
48. van Dijk, N., Quartieri, F., Blanc, J.-J., Garcia-Civera, R., Brignole, M., Moya, A., Wieling, W., & Investigators, P.-T. (2006). Effectiveness of Physical Counterpressure Maneuvers in Preventing Vasovagal Syncope: The Physical Counterpressure Manoeuvres Trial (PC-Trial). Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 48(8), 1652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2006.06.059
49. Van Hare, G., Ackerman, M., Evangelista, J., Kovacs, R., Myerburg, R., Shafer, K., Warnes, C., & Washington, R. (2015). Eligibility and disqualification recommendations for competitive. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000240
50. Whitman, M., D'souza, A. S., Jenkins, C., Sabapathy, S., & Challa, P. (2021). Safety and Efficacy of Scientist Led Exercise Stress Testing for Arrhythmia Provocation and Chronotropic Competence. The American Journal of Cardiology, 154, 63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2021.05.042
51. Williford, N. N., Ward, C., & Olshansky, B. (2018). Evaluation and Management of Syncope: Comparing the Guidelines of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association/Heart Rhythm Society and the European Society of Cardiology. Journal of Innovations in Cardiac Rhythm Management, 9(12), 3457. https://doi.org/10.19102/icrm.2018.091208
52. Zipes, D. P., Link, M. S., Ackerman, M. J., Kovacs, R. J., Myerburg, R. J., & Estes, N. A. M. (2015). Eligibility and Disqualification Recommendations for Competitive Athletes With Cardiovascular Abnormalities: Task Force 9: Arrhythmias and Conduction Defects [Review of Eligibility and Disqualification Recommendations for Competitive Athletes With Cardiovascular Abnormalities: Task Force 9: Arrhythmias and Conduction Defects]. Circulation, 132(22). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000245