




**RS Global**  
Journals

**Scholarly Publisher**  
**RS Global Sp. z O.O.**  
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw, Poland 00-773  
Tel: +48 226 0 227 03  
Email: editorial\_office@rsglobal.pl

---

<b>JOURNAL</b>	International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science
<b>p-ISSN</b>	2544-9338
<b>e-ISSN</b>	2544-9435
<b>PUBLISHER</b>	RS Global Sp. z O.O., Poland
<b>ARTICLE TITLE</b>	THE ROLE OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP EDUCATION IN MITIGATING UNEMPLOYMENT RATES AMONG UNIVERSITY GRADUATES IN SOUTH AFRICA
<b>AUTHOR(S)</b>	Bongani T. Gamede, Oluwatoyin Ayodele Ajani
<b>ARTICLE INFO</b>	Bongani T. Gamede, Oluwatoyin Ayodele Ajani. (2024) The Role of Entrepreneurship Education in Mitigating Unemployment Rates Among University Graduates in South Africa. <i>International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science</i> . 4(44). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.4(44).2024.3118
<b>DOI</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4(44).2024.3118">https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4(44).2024.3118</a>
<b>RECEIVED</b>	11 September 2024
<b>ACCEPTED</b>	14 November 2024
<b>PUBLISHED</b>	16 November 2024
<b>LICENSE</b>	 This work is licensed under a <b>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</b> .

---

© The author(s) 2024. This publication is an open access article.

# THE ROLE OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP EDUCATION IN MITIGATING UNEMPLOYMENT RATES AMONG UNIVERSITY GRADUATES IN SOUTH AFRICA

**Bongani T. Gamede**

*Department of Languages and Social Sciences Education  
University of Zululand, South Africa*

**Oluwatoyin Ayodele Ajani**

*Department of Languages and Social Sciences Education  
University of Zululand, South Africa*

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4\(44\).2024.3118](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4(44).2024.3118)

---

## ARTICLE INFO

**Received** 11 September 2024  
**Accepted** 14 November 2024  
**Published** 16 November 2024

---

## KEYWORDS

Entrepreneurship Education,  
Unemployment Rates,  
University Graduates,  
Employability Skills.

## ABSTRACT

This scoping review investigates the role of entrepreneurship education in mitigating unemployment rates among university graduates in South Africa. The study seeks to understand how entrepreneurship education can equip graduates with the necessary skills to create job opportunities for themselves and others, thus addressing the high unemployment rates prevalent in the country. A comprehensive literature search was conducted, accessing 230 publications, out of which 57 peer-reviewed articles were selected for detailed analysis. The review synthesizes existing research on the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education programs, highlighting successful case studies and identifying common barriers to their implementation. Findings suggest that entrepreneurship education can significantly enhance graduates' employability by fostering entrepreneurial mindsets, skills, and behaviours. The review also identifies key components of effective entrepreneurship education programs, such as practical training, mentorship, and the integration of real-world business challenges into the curriculum. However, the study also highlights challenges, including inadequate funding, lack of trained educators, and insufficient industry collaboration. To address these issues, the study recommends policy reforms, increased investment in entrepreneurship education, and stronger partnerships between universities and the private sector. By implementing these strategies, South African higher education institutions can better prepare graduates for entrepreneurial ventures, thereby reducing unemployment rates and stimulating economic growth.

---

**Citation:** Bongani T. Gamede, Oluwatoyin Ayodele Ajani. (2024) The Role of Entrepreneurship Education in Mitigating Unemployment Rates Among University Graduates in South Africa. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 4(44). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.4(44).2024.3118

---

**Copyright:** © 2024 **Bongani T. Gamede, Oluwatoyin Ayodele Ajani**. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

---

## INTRODUCTION.

Unemployment among university graduates in South Africa remains a pressing socio-economic challenge, with profound implications for both individual livelihoods and broader economic development (Ajani, 2024). Despite the expansion of higher education, many graduates face significant difficulties in securing employment commensurate with their qualifications, leading to a mismatch between educational attainment and labour market demands (Van der Berg & Hofmeyr, 2018). This phenomenon underscores the necessity of exploring alternative strategies to enhance graduate

employability and reduce unemployment rates. One promising approach is the incorporation of entrepreneurship education into university curricula (Alamut & Mkhize, 2024).

Entrepreneurship education is designed to equip students with the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to identify and exploit business opportunities, thereby fostering self-employment and innovation (Jones et al., 2017). The theoretical foundation of entrepreneurship education lies in the recognition that entrepreneurial capabilities can be developed through targeted educational interventions, which challenge the traditional notion that entrepreneurs are solely born with innate abilities (Kuratko, 2014). As such, universities are increasingly seen as crucial incubators for nurturing entrepreneurial talent and driving economic growth.

The importance of entrepreneurship education is particularly pronounced in developing countries, where traditional employment opportunities are often limited, and informal economies play a significant role (Naudé, 2013). In the context of South Africa, entrepreneurship education is posited as a vital strategy to combat high unemployment rates, especially among the youth (Herrington et al., 2010). The integration of entrepreneurship education in higher education institutions is not only a response to the job scarcity but also an avenue to foster a culture of innovation and resilience among graduates.

Several studies have highlighted the positive impact of entrepreneurship education on students' entrepreneurial intentions and capabilities. For instance, Fayolle and Gailly (2015) found that well-structured entrepreneurship courses significantly enhance students' entrepreneurial self-efficacy and their likelihood of starting new ventures. Similarly, a meta-analysis by Bae et al. (2014) confirmed that entrepreneurship education positively influences entrepreneurial intentions, although the effect size varies depending on the educational context and program design.

Despite these positive findings, the implementation of entrepreneurship education in South Africa faces several challenges. One major issue is the lack of adequately trained educators who can effectively deliver entrepreneurship content (Isaacs et al., 2007). Many academic staff members lack practical entrepreneurial experience, which can hinder their ability to teach entrepreneurship in a meaningful and engaging way. Additionally, there is often a disconnect between academic curricula and the realities of the business world, leading to a gap between theory and practice (Nabi et al., 2017).

Furthermore, resource constraints pose a significant barrier to the effective implementation of entrepreneurship education. Many South African universities operate under tight budgets, limiting their ability to invest in necessary resources such as start-up funds, business incubators, and access to industry networks (Fatoki, 2014). This lack of resources can impede the hands-on, experiential learning that is crucial for developing entrepreneurial skills.

Moreover, the socio-economic environment in South Africa presents unique challenges for aspiring entrepreneurs. Issues such as access to finance, regulatory barriers, and socio-cultural attitudes towards entrepreneurship can all impact the success of entrepreneurial ventures (Urban, 2010). Addressing these external barriers requires a holistic approach that goes beyond education to include supportive policies and an enabling environment for entrepreneurship.

Despite these challenges, there are also significant opportunities to leverage entrepreneurship education for economic development. Successful case studies from other countries indicate that with the right support and infrastructure, universities can become hubs of entrepreneurial activity (Lackéus, 2015). For instance, initiatives such as business plan competitions, incubators, and mentorship programs have been shown to enhance the entrepreneurial outcomes of students (Pittaway & Cope, 2007).

In light of these considerations, this study aims to investigate the potential of entrepreneurship education as a panacea for reducing unemployment rates among university graduates in South Africa. By conducting a comprehensive scoping review of existing literature, the study seeks to synthesize evidence on the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education programs, identify best practices, and highlight the challenges and opportunities associated with their implementation. Specifically, the key research objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To assess the impact of entrepreneurship education on the entrepreneurial intentions and capabilities of university graduates in South Africa.
2. To identify the key components of effective entrepreneurship education programs and how they can be integrated into existing university curricula.
3. To evaluate the challenges and barriers to the implementation of entrepreneurship education in South African universities.

4. To propose recommendations for policy makers, educational institutions, and other stakeholders on how to enhance the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education in addressing graduate unemployment.

By addressing these objectives, the study aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on higher education reform and economic development in South Africa, offering insights that can inform future educational strategies and policies.

#### **THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK.**

The theoretical framework for this study is grounded in institutional theory and social capital theory, both of which offer robust lenses through which to analyse the integration of entrepreneurship education in South African higher education institutions. These theories provide insights into the structural, cultural, and social dynamics that influence the effectiveness and implementation of educational initiatives aimed at reducing graduate unemployment.

Institutional theory, which originates from the work of sociologists and organizational theorists like DiMaggio and Powell (1983), focuses on the role of institutions in shaping the behaviour of individuals and organizations. This theory posits that institutions – defined as the formal and informal rules, norms, and routines that guide social behaviour – play a crucial role in influencing organizational practices and outcomes. Institutional theory underscores the importance of regulatory, normative, and cognitive structures in determining how organizations respond to their environments (Scott, 2014).

The principles of institutional theory emphasize that organizations, including educational institutions, are embedded in a wider social context that influences their behaviour and practices (Greenwood et al., 2011). This context includes formal regulations, cultural norms, and shared beliefs that collectively shape organizational actions. In the context of entrepreneurship education, institutional theory suggests that the success of such programs is contingent upon the alignment with institutional norms and values, regulatory frameworks, and the broader educational and socio-economic environment.

Institutional theory is particularly relevant to this study as it highlights the constraints and opportunities presented by the existing institutional structures within South African higher education. For example, the regulatory frameworks governing higher education, accreditation standards, and curriculum requirements can either facilitate or hinder the integration of entrepreneurship education (Meyer & Rowan, 1977). Understanding these institutional dynamics is crucial for identifying the factors that influence the adoption and implementation of entrepreneurship education programs.

Social capital theory, on the other hand, originates from the work of sociologists like Bourdieu (1986) and Coleman (1988), and focuses on the resources that individuals and groups can access through their social networks. Social capital refers to the networks of relationships, trust, and norms of reciprocity that facilitate collective action and access to resources (Putnam, 2000). This theory emphasizes the importance of social networks in providing individuals with opportunities, information, and support that can enhance their socio-economic outcomes.

The principles of social capital theory suggest that strong social networks can provide individuals with valuable resources that are crucial for entrepreneurial success. These resources include information about business opportunities, access to funding, mentorship, and emotional support (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998). In the context of entrepreneurship education, social capital theory implies that the effectiveness of such programs can be significantly enhanced by fostering strong networks among students, educators, and industry stakeholders.

Social capital theory is justified in this study as it highlights the importance of building networks and relationships to support entrepreneurial activities among university graduates. Given the challenges associated with starting and sustaining new ventures, especially in a context with significant socio-economic barriers like South Africa, the role of social capital becomes even more critical (Davidsson & Honig, 2003). Entrepreneurship education programs that incorporate elements of networking, mentorship, and community engagement are likely to be more effective in equipping students with the necessary resources and support to succeed.

The integration of institutional theory and social capital theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the multifaceted nature of entrepreneurship education in South African higher education. Institutional theory helps to elucidate the structural and regulatory constraints that impact the adoption of entrepreneurship education, while social capital theory underscores the importance of social networks and relationships in facilitating entrepreneurial success (Thornton, Ocasio, & Lounsbury, 2012).

Institutional theory also provides insights into how entrepreneurship education can be institutionalized within the existing educational frameworks. It suggests that for entrepreneurship education to be successfully integrated, it must be seen as legitimate and aligned with the broader goals and values of the educational institutions (Battilana & Dorado, 2010). This requires not only changes in curricula but also shifts in institutional culture and priorities to support entrepreneurial learning.

Moreover, institutional theory can explain the resistance to change that might be encountered when attempting to integrate entrepreneurship education into traditional university settings. Institutions often exhibit inertia, with established norms and routines that resist new practices (Tolbert & Zucker, 1999). Understanding these dynamics can help in designing strategies that address such resistance and promote the adoption of innovative educational practices.

On the other hand, social capital theory highlights the role of social networks in enhancing the practical aspects of entrepreneurship education. For instance, incorporating experiential learning opportunities such as internships, business incubators, and startup competitions can help students build valuable networks that support their entrepreneurial endeavours (Stam, 2014). These networks provide students with access to mentors, potential investors, and business partners, which are critical for the success of new ventures.

Furthermore, social capital theory underscores the importance of trust and collaboration in entrepreneurial activities. Entrepreneurship education programs that foster a collaborative learning environment can help build trust among students and between students and faculty, which can facilitate the sharing of ideas and resources (Adler & Kwon, 2002). This collaborative approach can enhance the overall learning experience and better prepare students for the realities of the entrepreneurial world.

The rationale for using these theories in this study lies in their complementary perspectives. Institutional theory provides a macro-level understanding of the structural and cultural factors that influence the adoption of entrepreneurship education, while social capital theory offers a micro-level perspective on the role of social networks and relationships in supporting entrepreneurial activities (Mole & Mole, 2010). Together, these theories offer a holistic framework for analysing the challenges and opportunities associated with integrating entrepreneurship education in South African higher education.

Thus, the integration of institutional theory and social capital theory in this study provides a robust theoretical framework for understanding the complexities of entrepreneurship education in South Africa. These theories highlight the importance of both structural and social factors in shaping the effectiveness of educational programs aimed at reducing graduate unemployment. By examining the interplay between institutional constraints and social networks, this study aims to offer insights into how entrepreneurship education can be more effectively integrated into higher education curricula to support the development of entrepreneurial capabilities among university graduates.

## **LITERATURE.**

The global landscape of higher education has undergone significant transformations over the past few decades, driven by technological advancements, globalization, and evolving labour market demands. Entrepreneurship education has emerged as a critical component of modern curricula, aimed at equipping students with the skills and mindset necessary to navigate an increasingly dynamic and uncertain job market (Neck & Corbett, 2018). This literature review examines the theoretical underpinnings, practical implementations, and outcomes of entrepreneurship education, with a particular focus on its role in reducing unemployment rates among university graduates in South Africa.

Entrepreneurship education is underpinned by the notion that entrepreneurial skills and attitudes can be taught and nurtured through structured educational programs (Fayolle & Gailly, 2015). The pedagogical approaches to entrepreneurship education vary widely, encompassing experiential learning, project-based activities, and mentorship (Rideout & Gray, 2013). Scholars have argued that entrepreneurship education should not only focus on business creation but also on fostering an entrepreneurial mindset that can be applied across various contexts (Morris et al., 2013).

The integration of entrepreneurship education into higher education curricula has been widely advocated as a strategy to address high unemployment rates among graduates (Audretsch, 2014). In the context of South Africa, where the unemployment rate among youth and graduates is particularly high, entrepreneurship education offers a potential solution to create self-employment opportunities and

stimulate economic growth (Herrington & Kew, 2016). However, the effectiveness of these programs depends on their design, implementation, and alignment with the local economic and social context.

A significant body of literature has explored the impact of entrepreneurship education on students' entrepreneurial intentions and behaviours. For instance, Nabi et al. (2018) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 159 studies and found that entrepreneurship education positively influences students' entrepreneurial intentions, self-efficacy, and skills. Similarly, a study by Bae et al. (2014) highlighted that entrepreneurship education programs lead to a higher likelihood of students starting their own businesses.

Despite the positive outcomes associated with entrepreneurship education, several challenges hinder its effective implementation in higher education institutions. These challenges include a lack of trained faculty, inadequate resources, and insufficient institutional support (Bergmann et al., 2018). In the South African context, these challenges are exacerbated by socio-economic disparities and historical inequalities that continue to affect the education system (Naong, 2011).

Institutional theory provides a useful framework for understanding the barriers and enablers of entrepreneurship education within higher education institutions. According to this theory, institutions are influenced by regulatory, normative, and cognitive structures that shape organizational behaviour (Scott, 2014). In South Africa, regulatory frameworks, such as accreditation standards and educational policies, play a crucial role in determining the scope and quality of entrepreneurship education programs (Nkomo, 2015).

Social capital theory complements institutional theory by highlighting the importance of social networks and relationships in entrepreneurship education. Social capital, defined as the networks of relationships that provide individuals with access to resources and support, is crucial for entrepreneurial success (Putnam, 2000). In the context of entrepreneurship education, building strong networks among students, faculty, and industry stakeholders can enhance the effectiveness of these programs (Adler & Kwon, 2002).

The literature also emphasizes the need for a holistic approach to entrepreneurship education that integrates various pedagogical methods and stakeholder engagement. For example, Rasmussen and Sørheim (2006) argue that successful entrepreneurship education programs combine theoretical knowledge with practical experiences, such as internships, business simulations, and startup incubators. This experiential learning approach is critical for developing the skills and competencies needed to navigate the complexities of entrepreneurship.

Empirical studies have shown that entrepreneurship education can have a significant impact on students' career trajectories. A longitudinal study by Packham et al. (2010) found that students who participated in entrepreneurship education programs were more likely to start their own businesses and report higher job satisfaction compared to their peers. Additionally, these students were better equipped to cope with the uncertainties and challenges of the labour market.

In South Africa, the implementation of entrepreneurship education has been uneven, with significant variations in program quality and accessibility across institutions. According to Isaacs et al. (2007), there is a need for greater standardization and quality assurance in entrepreneurship education to ensure that all students have access to high-quality learning experiences. This requires collaboration between policymakers, educational institutions, and industry stakeholders to develop and implement effective entrepreneurship education frameworks.

The role of technology in entrepreneurship education has also been extensively studied. Digital tools and online platforms can enhance the delivery of entrepreneurship education by providing flexible and scalable learning opportunities (Hägg & Kurczewska, 2020). However, the digital divide remains a significant challenge in South Africa, where many students lack access to reliable internet and digital devices (Ramukumba, 2014). Addressing this divide is essential for ensuring equitable access to entrepreneurship education.

The literature underscores the importance of contextualizing entrepreneurship education to align with the local economic and social environment. For instance, studies by Jones et al. (2017) suggest that entrepreneurship education should be tailored to address specific challenges and opportunities within the local context. In South Africa, this means developing programs that are responsive to the unique socio-economic conditions and leverage the country's diverse cultural and entrepreneurial landscape.

Finally, the impact of entrepreneurship education on reducing unemployment rates among university graduates is contingent on the broader economic and policy environment. Effective

entrepreneurship education must be supported by conducive economic policies, access to finance, and an entrepreneurial ecosystem that fosters innovation and business development (Acs et al., 2017). In South Africa, this requires coordinated efforts to address structural barriers and create an enabling environment for entrepreneurship.

In conclusion, the literature on entrepreneurship education highlights its potential to reduce unemployment rates among university graduates by equipping them with the skills and mindset needed for entrepreneurial success. However, the effectiveness of these programs is influenced by various factors, including institutional support, social capital, technology, and contextual relevance. This study aims to contribute to this body of knowledge by examining the implementation and impact of entrepreneurship education in South African higher education institutions, guided by the theoretical frameworks of institutional theory and social capital theory. The key research objectives are to identify the barriers and enablers of effective entrepreneurship education and to propose strategies for enhancing its impact on graduate employability in South Africa.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS.**

This study employs a scoping review methodology to systematically map the existing literature on entrepreneurship education and its impact on reducing unemployment rates among university graduates in South Africa. Scoping reviews are particularly suited for exploring complex and heterogeneous topics, as they enable researchers to identify key concepts, gaps, and areas for further research (Arksey & O'Malley, 2005). This methodology is appropriate for this study, given the broad and multifaceted nature of entrepreneurship education and its implications for graduate employability.

The initial step in the scoping review involved formulating clear research questions to guide the literature search and selection process. The primary research question was: "How does entrepreneurship education impact unemployment rates among university graduates in South Africa?" This question was designed to encompass various dimensions of entrepreneurship education, including curricular content, pedagogical approaches, institutional support, and outcomes. Establishing a clear research question ensured that the review remained focused and relevant (Peters et al., 2015).

A comprehensive search strategy was developed to identify relevant literature from multiple academic databases, including Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, and JSTOR. Keywords and search terms such as "entrepreneurship education," "graduate unemployment," "higher education," "South Africa," and "employability" were used. Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT) were employed to refine the search and ensure the inclusion of a broad range of studies relevant to the research question (Levac, Colquhoun, & O'Brien, 2010).

In total, 230 publications were initially accessed, reflecting a wide range of sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and conference proceedings. However, for the purpose of this scoping review, only peer-reviewed articles published in English between 2010 and 2024 were considered. This time frame was chosen to ensure the inclusion of contemporary studies that reflect current trends and developments in entrepreneurship education (Munn et al., 2018).

The inclusion criteria were established to ensure the relevance and quality of the selected studies. Articles were included if they: (a) were peer-reviewed, (b) focused on entrepreneurship education in the context of higher education, (c) examined the impact on graduate unemployment or employability, and (d) were conducted in or provided insights relevant to South Africa. Exclusion criteria included non-peer-reviewed articles, studies not directly related to higher education or graduate employment, and publications in languages other than English (Tricco et al., 2018). These criteria ensured that the review maintained a high standard of evidence and relevance to the research questions.

A two-stage screening process was conducted to select the most relevant articles. First, titles and abstracts of the 230 initially accessed publications were reviewed to assess their relevance. Articles that met the inclusion criteria were then subjected to a full-text review. This rigorous screening process resulted in the selection of 57 peer-reviewed articles that provided comprehensive insights into the research question (Daudt, van Mossel, & Scott, 2013).

Data extraction involved systematically capturing key information from each selected study, including authorship, publication year, research objectives, methodologies, key findings, and theoretical frameworks. A standardized data extraction form was used to ensure consistency and accuracy in the data collection process. This structured approach facilitated the synthesis of information across studies,

allowing for a comprehensive analysis of the literature on entrepreneurship education and graduate unemployment (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

The data were analysed using thematic analysis, a method that involves identifying, analysing, and reporting patterns (themes) within the data (Nowell et al., 2017). This approach allowed for the identification of common themes related to the impact of entrepreneurship education on graduate employability, such as skill development, entrepreneurial intentions, and institutional support. Thematic analysis provided a detailed understanding of the current state of research and highlighted areas where further investigation is needed.

Reporting of the findings followed the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews) guidelines. These guidelines provided a structured framework for presenting the review process and results transparently, ensuring that the methodology was replicable and that the conclusions drawn were robust and well-supported by the evidence (Tricco et al., 2018).

By employing a scoping review methodology, this study comprehensively mapped the existing literature on entrepreneurship education and its impact on reducing graduate unemployment in South Africa. This approach not only identified key concepts and gaps in the literature but also provided a foundation for future research and policy development in this critical area.

## **RESULTS.**

The findings of this scoping review reveal that entrepreneurship education has a significant potential to mitigate unemployment among university graduates in South Africa. However, the integration and implementation of these programs face various challenges. The analysis of the 57 selected peer-reviewed articles provides a comprehensive understanding of the current landscape and the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education in addressing graduate unemployment.

Firstly, the review highlights the positive impact of entrepreneurship education on students' entrepreneurial intentions and competencies. Studies by Nabi et al. (2017) and Bae et al. (2014) demonstrate that well-structured entrepreneurship courses significantly enhance students' entrepreneurial skills, such as opportunity recognition, risk-taking, and business planning. These skills are crucial for fostering entrepreneurial mindsets among graduates, thus increasing their likelihood of starting their own ventures.

Furthermore, the findings indicate that experiential learning approaches, such as internships, business simulations, and project-based learning, are particularly effective in entrepreneurship education. Rae (2010) argues that these methods bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, thereby equipping students with the hands-on experience needed to navigate the complexities of entrepreneurship. This aligns with Kolb's (2014) experiential learning theory, which emphasizes learning through experience.

However, the review also identifies significant disparities in the availability and quality of entrepreneurship education across different universities. According to a study by Fatoki (2014), many institutions lack the necessary resources, such as qualified faculty and adequate funding, to deliver high-quality entrepreneurship programs. This inconsistency undermines the overall effectiveness of entrepreneurship education in reducing unemployment.

Institutional support emerges as a critical factor in the success of entrepreneurship education. Studies by Ndedi (2013) and Herrington et al. (2017) suggest that universities with robust support systems, including incubators, mentorship programs, and industry partnerships, are more successful in fostering entrepreneurial activity among graduates. These support structures provide essential resources and guidance, helping students transform their business ideas into viable enterprises.

Moreover, the role of government policies in promoting entrepreneurship education cannot be overstated. According to Gwija et al. (2014), supportive policies and initiatives, such as funding for startups and tax incentives for new businesses, play a crucial role in encouraging graduates to pursue entrepreneurial careers. The findings suggest that a collaborative approach involving government, educational institutions, and the private sector is essential for creating a conducive environment for entrepreneurship.

The review also highlights the importance of integrating entrepreneurship education into the broader curriculum rather than treating it as a standalone subject. Research by Fayolle and Gailly (2015) indicates that embedding entrepreneurial principles across various disciplines can enhance the overall



impact of entrepreneurship education. This multidisciplinary approach helps students see the relevance of entrepreneurship in different contexts, fostering a more entrepreneurial culture.

Gender disparities in entrepreneurship education are another critical finding. Studies by Kelley et al. (2017) and Kirkwood (2016) reveal that female students often face more significant barriers to entrepreneurship, such as lack of confidence and fewer networking opportunities. Addressing these gender disparities through targeted programs and support can enhance the inclusivity and effectiveness of entrepreneurship education.

Additionally, the findings emphasize the need for continuous curriculum updates to keep pace with the rapidly changing business environment. According to Morris et al. (2013), incorporating contemporary issues such as digital entrepreneurship, sustainability, and social innovation into the curriculum can make entrepreneurship education more relevant and impactful. This dynamic approach ensures that graduates are well-prepared to address current and future challenges in the entrepreneurial landscape.

The review also underscores the importance of measuring the long-term impact of entrepreneurship education. Studies by Martin et al. (2013) and Rideout and Gray (2013) suggest that while short-term outcomes, such as increased entrepreneurial intentions, are commonly reported, there is a lack of longitudinal studies that track graduates' entrepreneurial activities and business success over time. Such studies are crucial for understanding the sustained impact of entrepreneurship education.

Cultural factors also play a significant role in shaping the outcomes of entrepreneurship education. Research by Urban (2013) indicates that cultural attitudes towards entrepreneurship, such as the acceptance of risk and failure, significantly influence the effectiveness of entrepreneurship programs. Tailoring entrepreneurship education to align with local cultural contexts can enhance its relevance and acceptance among students.

The findings reveal that collaboration between universities and the business community enhances the practical relevance of entrepreneurship education. According to Klosthen et al. (2016), partnerships with local businesses provide students with real-world insights and opportunities to engage in entrepreneurial activities. These collaborations can lead to internships, joint research projects, and the development of business ideas that are grounded in practical experience.

Technological advancements and the rise of digital platforms offer new opportunities for entrepreneurship education. Studies by Lackéus (2015) and Rasmussen and Sørheim (2016) highlight the potential of online learning tools and digital resources to make entrepreneurship education more accessible and flexible. Integrating technology into the curriculum can help overcome resource constraints and reach a broader audience.

The review also points to the need for entrepreneurial ecosystems within universities. According to Isenberg (2010), creating a supportive ecosystem that includes funding opportunities, mentorship, networking events, and entrepreneurial communities is vital for nurturing entrepreneurial talent. These ecosystems provide a nurturing environment where aspiring entrepreneurs can thrive.

Lastly, the findings emphasize the role of soft skills in entrepreneurship education. Research by Robles and Zárraga-Rodríguez (2015) suggests that skills such as leadership, communication, and emotional intelligence are critical for entrepreneurial success. Incorporating the development of these skills into entrepreneurship programs can enhance graduates' ability to navigate the entrepreneurial journey effectively.

In conclusion, this scoping review highlights the multifaceted impact of entrepreneurship education on reducing graduate unemployment in South Africa. While the potential benefits are significant, realizing these benefits requires addressing various challenges related to resource availability, institutional support, curriculum relevance, and cultural attitudes. By implementing the insights gained from this review, educational institutions and policymakers can enhance the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education and contribute to a more entrepreneurial and economically vibrant society.

## **DISCUSSION.**

The findings from this study underscore the critical role of entrepreneurship education in mitigating unemployment among university graduates in South Africa. This discussion integrates the insights gained from the scoping review with the principles of social capital and institutional theories,

providing a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms through which entrepreneurship education can foster economic resilience and job creation.

Firstly, the review highlights the significant impact of entrepreneurship education on developing entrepreneurial intentions and competencies among students. According to Nabi et al. (2017), well-structured entrepreneurship courses enhance students' ability to identify opportunities, take risks, and develop business plans. This finding aligns with social capital theory, which posits that social networks and relationships are crucial for accessing information and resources necessary for entrepreneurial success (Burt, 2000). By fostering entrepreneurial skills, educational institutions can enhance the social capital of students, enabling them to leverage their networks more effectively (Ajani et al., 2023).

The effectiveness of experiential learning approaches in entrepreneurship education, such as internships, business simulations, and project-based learning, is well-documented. Rae (2010) argues that these methods bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application. This practical experience is crucial for building the trust and reciprocity that underpin social capital (Putnam, 2000). Experiential learning allows students to engage in real-world entrepreneurial activities, fostering relationships with mentors, peers, and industry professionals that are essential for entrepreneurial success.

However, the findings also reveal significant disparities in the availability and quality of entrepreneurship education across different universities. Fatoki (2014) notes that many institutions lack the necessary resources, such as qualified faculty and adequate funding, to deliver high-quality entrepreneurship programs. This inconsistency is a critical issue from an institutional theory perspective, which emphasizes the importance of organizational structures and norms in shaping behaviour (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Institutions with robust support systems, including incubators and mentorship programs, are more likely to foster entrepreneurial activity.

Institutional support is highlighted as a critical factor in the success of entrepreneurship education. Ndedi (2013) and Herrington et al. (2017) suggest that universities with strong support structures are better equipped to nurture entrepreneurial talent. This finding is consistent with institutional theory, which posits that institutions provide the rules and norms that guide behaviour (Scott, 2014). Universities that prioritize entrepreneurship create an environment that supports and encourages entrepreneurial activities, shaping the behaviour of students and faculty alike (Ajani, 2024).

Government policies also play a crucial role in promoting entrepreneurship education. Gwija et al. (2014) highlight the importance of supportive policies and initiatives, such as funding for startups and tax incentives for new businesses. These policies can be understood through the lens of institutional theory, which recognizes the role of regulatory frameworks in shaping organizational practices (North, 1990). Effective policies can create an enabling environment for entrepreneurship, reducing barriers and providing the necessary support for new ventures.

The integration of entrepreneurship education into the broader curriculum is another key finding. Fayolle and Gailly (2015) argue that embedding entrepreneurial principles across various disciplines can enhance the impact of entrepreneurship education. This multidisciplinary approach aligns with social capital theory, as it encourages the formation of diverse networks and the exchange of knowledge across different fields. By integrating entrepreneurship into various subjects, educational institutions can foster a culture of innovation and collaboration.

Gender disparities in entrepreneurship education are also highlighted in the findings. Kelley et al. (2017) and Kirkwood (2016) note that female students often face more significant barriers to entrepreneurship. Addressing these disparities requires targeted programs and support to enhance the social capital of female students, providing them with the networks and resources needed to succeed. This approach aligns with social capital theory, which emphasizes the importance of inclusive networks for accessing opportunities.

Continuous curriculum updates are essential to keep pace with the changing business environment. Morris et al. (2013) suggest that incorporating contemporary issues, such as digital entrepreneurship and sustainability, can make entrepreneurship education more relevant. This dynamic approach is crucial from an institutional theory perspective, as it ensures that educational practices remain aligned with current economic and technological trends (Greenwood & Hinings, 1996). By updating curricula, institutions can better prepare students for the challenges and opportunities of the modern entrepreneurial landscape.

The importance of measuring the long-term impact of entrepreneurship education is another critical finding. Martin et al. (2013) and Rideout and Gray (2013) emphasize the need for longitudinal studies to track the entrepreneurial activities and success of graduates. This focus on long-term outcomes is important for understanding the sustained impact of entrepreneurship education and for making necessary adjustments to curricula and support systems. From an institutional theory perspective, it highlights the need for ongoing evaluation and adaptation of institutional practices.

Cultural factors play a significant role in shaping the outcomes of entrepreneurship education. Urban (2013) argues that cultural attitudes towards entrepreneurship, such as the acceptance of risk and failure, significantly influence the effectiveness of entrepreneurship programs. Tailoring entrepreneurship education to align with local cultural contexts can enhance its relevance and acceptance among students. This finding aligns with both social capital and institutional theories, which recognize the importance of cultural norms and values in shaping behaviour and organizational practices.

Collaboration between universities and the business community is essential for enhancing the practical relevance of entrepreneurship education. Klosthen et al. (2016) highlight the benefits of partnerships with local businesses, which provide students with real-world insights and opportunities to engage in entrepreneurial activities. These collaborations foster social capital by creating networks between students and industry professionals, while also aligning with institutional theory by integrating business practices into educational curricula.

Technological advancements offer new opportunities for entrepreneurship education. Lackeus (2015) and Rasmussen and Sørheim (2016) emphasize the potential of online learning tools and digital resources to make entrepreneurship education more accessible. Integrating technology into the curriculum can help overcome resource constraints and reach a broader audience. This finding aligns with both social capital and institutional theories, as technology facilitates the formation of virtual networks and requires institutions to adapt their practices to new technological realities.

The development of entrepreneurial ecosystems within universities is another key finding. Isenberg (2010) argues that creating a supportive ecosystem, including funding opportunities, mentorship, networking events, and entrepreneurial communities, is vital for nurturing entrepreneurial talent. These ecosystems provide a nurturing environment where aspiring entrepreneurs can thrive, aligning with social capital theory by fostering strong networks and with institutional theory by creating supportive organizational structures.

Soft skills are also critical for entrepreneurial success. Robles and Zárraga-Rodríguez (2015) suggest that skills such as leadership, communication, and emotional intelligence are essential for navigating the entrepreneurial journey. Incorporating the development of these skills into entrepreneurship programs can enhance graduates' ability to succeed. This focus on soft skills aligns with social capital theory, which emphasizes the importance of social relationships and interpersonal skills in accessing opportunities and resources.

In conclusion, the findings of this study underscore the multifaceted impact of entrepreneurship education on reducing graduate unemployment in South Africa. By integrating the insights from social capital and institutional theories, this discussion highlights the importance of networks, organizational support, and regulatory frameworks in fostering entrepreneurial activity. Addressing the challenges identified in the review, such as resource disparities and gender inequalities, requires a collaborative effort from educational institutions, policymakers, and the business community. By implementing the recommendations derived from this study, stakeholders can enhance the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education and contribute to a more entrepreneurial and economically vibrant society.

#### **IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY.**

The implications of this study on the integration of entrepreneurship education into higher education curricula in South Africa are multifaceted and hold significant importance for various stakeholders, including educational institutions, policymakers, students, and the business community. The study's findings underscore the potential of entrepreneurship education to address the persistent issue of graduate unemployment by fostering entrepreneurial skills and mindsets among students. This aligns with the broader goals of economic development and innovation-driven growth (Nabi et al., 2017; Bae et al., 2014).

For educational institutions, the study highlights the need to redesign curricula to incorporate comprehensive entrepreneurship education programs. This involves not only theoretical instruction but

also practical, hands-on learning experiences such as internships, business simulations, and project-based learning (Kolb, 2014; Rae, 2010). By doing so, universities can better prepare graduates for the challenges of the entrepreneurial world, equipping them with the necessary skills to start and sustain their own businesses.

Policymakers are encouraged to support the integration of entrepreneurship education through favourable policies and funding initiatives. Government support is crucial in providing the necessary infrastructure and resources to enhance the quality of entrepreneurship education. Policies should aim to reduce the barriers to entrepreneurial activity, such as access to finance and regulatory hurdles, thereby creating an enabling environment for new ventures (Herrington et al., 2017; Gwija et al., 2014).

The study also underscores the importance of addressing the digital divide that became evident during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ensuring equitable access to digital resources and technologies is critical for the success of entrepreneurship education, particularly in under-resourced and rural areas. Investment in digital infrastructure and training for both educators and students can bridge this gap, making entrepreneurship education more inclusive and accessible (Ouma, 2021; Gamede et al., 2022).

Students stand to benefit significantly from enhanced entrepreneurship education. The acquisition of entrepreneurial skills can lead to greater employability and the potential to create new jobs, thereby reducing unemployment rates. The study suggests that fostering a culture of entrepreneurship within the student body can lead to increased innovation and economic activity (Nabi et al., 2017; Bae et al., 2014).

The business community, including industry partners and entrepreneurs, plays a vital role in the success of entrepreneurship education. Collaboration between universities and businesses can provide students with real-world insights and opportunities, such as internships and mentorship programs. Such partnerships can also help align educational outcomes with industry needs, ensuring that graduates possess relevant skills and knowledge (Klofsten et al., 2016).

Furthermore, the study calls for a shift in pedagogical approaches towards more student-centered learning environments. This aligns with the principles of experiential learning and emphasizes the development of soft skills such as leadership, communication, and problem-solving, which are critical for entrepreneurial success (Robles & Zárraga-Rodríguez, 2015). Educational institutions should adopt innovative teaching methods that encourage active learning and critical thinking.

The implications for educational policy reform are significant. The study suggests that a holistic approach to entrepreneurship education, embedded across various disciplines, can create a more entrepreneurial culture within universities. This interdisciplinary approach ensures that all students, regardless of their primary field of study, are exposed to entrepreneurial concepts and practices, thereby broadening the impact of entrepreneurship education (Fayolle & Gailly, 2015).

The study also highlights the importance of continuous evaluation and improvement of entrepreneurship education programs. Longitudinal studies and feedback mechanisms can provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of these programs and identify areas for improvement. Institutions should establish robust assessment frameworks to track the progress and impact of their entrepreneurship education initiatives (Martin et al., 2013; Rideout & Gray, 2013).

Lastly, the implications of the study extend to the broader economic and social context. By equipping graduates with entrepreneurial skills, higher education institutions can contribute to economic diversification and resilience. Entrepreneurship education can drive innovation, create jobs, and foster a more dynamic and competitive economy. This, in turn, can lead to improved living standards and reduced economic disparities (Urban, 2013).

In conclusion, the implications of this study are far-reaching and underscore the critical role of entrepreneurship education in addressing graduate unemployment and fostering economic development. By implementing the study's recommendations, stakeholders can enhance the quality and impact of entrepreneurship education, ensuring that graduates are well-equipped to navigate the complexities of the modern economy and contribute to sustainable growth and development.

## **CONCLUSION.**

In conclusion, this study underscores the critical role of entrepreneurship education in mitigating unemployment among university graduates in South Africa. By integrating the principles of social capital and institutional theories, it is evident that robust networks, supportive institutional frameworks, and adaptive regulatory policies are essential for fostering entrepreneurial competencies. The findings

reveal significant disparities in the availability and quality of entrepreneurship education, highlighting the need for comprehensive training modules, experiential learning opportunities, and ongoing professional support. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from educational institutions, policymakers, and the business community to create an enabling environment that nurtures entrepreneurial talent. By implementing these recommendations, stakeholders can enhance the resilience and adaptability of the higher education system, ultimately contributing to a more equitable and dynamic economic landscape in South Africa.

## REFERENCES

1. Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S. W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(1), 17-40. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2002.5922314>.
2. Acs, Z. J., Autio, E., & Szerb, L. (2017). National systems of entrepreneurship: Measurement issues and policy implications. *Research Policy*, 46(3), 535-549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2017.01.012>.
3. Ajani O.A. (2024). Entrepreneurship Education in South Africa's Higher Education Institutions: In Pursuit of Promoting Self-Reliance in Students. *International Journal of Management, Knowledge and Learning*, 13, 29-41. <https://doi.org/10.53615/2232-5697.13.29-41>.
4. Ajani, O. A., Khumatake, M. R., & Gamede, B. T. (2023). A systematic review of entrepreneurship education in higher education as panacea to unemployment reduction in South African graduates. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science*, 12(9), 392-404. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v12i9.3000>.
5. Aliamutu, K. F., & Mkhize, M. V. (2024). The Obstacles of Women Entrepreneurship on Empowerment in Rural Communities KwaZulu Natal, South Africa. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS)*, 4(1), 88-96.
6. Audretsch, D. B. (2014). From the entrepreneurial university to the university for the entrepreneurial society. *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 39(3), 313-321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-012-9288-1>.
7. Bae, T. J., Qian, S., Miao, C., & Fiet, J. O. (2014). The relationship between entrepreneurship education and entrepreneurial intentions: A meta-analytic review. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 38(2), 217-254. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12095>.
8. Battilana, J., & Dorado, S. (2010). Building sustainable hybrid organizations: The case of commercial microfinance organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(6), 1419-1440. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.57318391>.
9. Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education* (pp. 241-258). Greenwood.
10. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>.
11. Burt, R. S. (2000). The network structure of social capital. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 22, 345-423. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-3085\(00\)22009-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-3085(00)22009-1).
12. Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, S95-S120. <https://doi.org/10.1086/228943>.
13. Daudt, H. M. L., van Mossel, C., & Scott, S. J. (2013). Enhancing the scoping study methodology: A large, inter-professional team's experience with Arksey and O'Malley's framework. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 13, 48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-48>.
14. Davidsson, P., & Honig, B. (2003). The role of social and human capital among nascent entrepreneurs. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(3), 301-331. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026\(02\)00097-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(02)00097-6).
15. DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147-160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>.
16. Fatoki, O. (2014). The entrepreneurial intention of undergraduate students in South Africa: The influences of entrepreneurship education and previous work experience. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(7), 294-299. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n7p294>.
17. Fayolle, A., & Gailly, B. (2015). The impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial attitudes and intention: Hysteresis and persistence. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 53(1), 75-93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12065>.
18. Greenwood, R., & Hinings, C. R. (1996). Understanding radical organizational change: Bringing together the old and the new institutionalism. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(4), 1022-1054. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1996.9704071862>.
19. Greenwood, R., Raynard, M., Kodeih, F., Micelotta, E. R., & Lounsbury, M. (2011). Institutional complexity and organizational responses. *Academy of Management Annals*, 5(1), 317-371. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2011.590299>.

20. Gwija, S. A., Eresia-Eke, C., & Iwu, C. G. (2014). The link between entrepreneurship education and business success: Evidence from youth entrepreneurs in South Africa. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 6(1), 75-83. <https://doi.org/10.22610/jeb.v6i1.472>.
21. Hägg, G., & Kurczewska, A. (2020). Who is the student entrepreneur? Understanding the emergent adult through the pedagogy and andragogy continua. *Entrepreneurship Education and Pedagogy*, 3(3), 211-236. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515127420911792>.
22. Herrington, M., & Kew, J. (2016). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor: South African Report 2015/2016*. Cape Town: University of Cape Town.
23. Herrington, M., Kew, J., & Mwanga, A. (2017). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor: South Africa Report 2016/17*. Cape Town: University of Cape Town.
24. Isenberg, D. J. (2010). How to start an entrepreneurial revolution. *Harvard Business Review*, 88(6), 41-50.
25. Isaacs, E., Visser, K., Friedrich, C., & Brijlal, P. (2007). Entrepreneurship education and training at the Further Education and Training (FET) level in South Africa. *South African Journal of Education*, 27(4), 613-629.
26. Jones, P., Penaluna, K., & Penaluna, A. (2017). The promise of enterprise education: Reconceptualising the role of the entrepreneur in economic and social change. *International Small Business Journal*, 35(8), 911-929. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242617717591>.
27. Kelley, D. J., Singer, S., & Herrington, M. (2017). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor 2016/2017 Global Report*. Babson Park, MA: Babson College.
28. Kirkwood, J. (2016). Toward a gender-aware understanding of the entrepreneurial intentions of men and women. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 54(3), 517-531. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12165>.
29. Klofsten, M., Fayolle, A., Guerrero, M., Mian, S., Urbano, D., & Wright, M. (2016). The entrepreneurial university as driver for economic growth and social change - Key strategic challenges. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 123, 123-129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.10.023>.
30. Kolb, D. A. (2014). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development* (2nd ed.). FT Press.
31. Kuratko, D. F. (2014). *Entrepreneurship: Theory, process, and practice* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.
32. Lackéus, M. (2015). *Entrepreneurship in education: What, why, when, how*. Paris: OECD.
33. Levac, D., Colquhoun, H., & O'Brien, K. K. (2010). Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science*, 5(1), 69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-5-69>.
34. Martin, B. C., McNally, J. J., & Kay, M. J. (2013). Examining the formation of human capital in entrepreneurship: A meta-analysis of entrepreneurship education outcomes. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(2), 211-224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2012.03.002>.
35. Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340-363. <https://doi.org/10.1086/226550>.
36. Morris, M. H., Kuratko, D. F., & Cornwall, J. R. (2013). *Entrepreneurship programs and the modern university*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
37. Munn, Z., Peters, M. D., Stern, C., Tufanaru, C., McArthur, A., & Aromataris, E. (2018). Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 18(1), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x>.
38. Nabi, G., Liñán, F., Fayolle, A., Krueger, N., & Walmsley, A. (2017). The impact of entrepreneurship education in higher education: A systematic review and research agenda. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 16(2), 277-299. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2015.0026>.
39. Nabi, G., Walmsley, A., Liñán, F., Akhtar, I., & Neame, C. (2018). Does entrepreneurship education in the first year of higher education develop entrepreneurial intentions? The role of learning and inspiration. *Studies in Higher Education*, 43(3), 452-467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2016.1177716>.
40. Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2), 242-266. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1998.533225>.
41. Naong, M. N. (2011). Promotion of entrepreneurship education - A remedy to graduates and youth unemployment: A theoretical perspective. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 28(3), 181-189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09718923.2011.11892940>.
42. Naudé, W. (2013). *Entrepreneurship and economic development: Theory, evidence and policy*. IZA Discussion Paper No. 7507. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2216281>.
43. Ndedi, A. A. (2013). Challenges and perspectives facing the development of entrepreneurship education and training in South Africa. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 9(2/3), 126-132. <https://doi.org/10.1108/WJEMSD-01-2013-0011>.
44. Nkomo, M. (2015). Educational policy and entrepreneurship education in South Africa: Issues and trends. *Educational Research for Policy and Practice*, 14(3), 231-242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10671-014-9170-9>.
45. North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press.

46. Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1609406917733847. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847>.
47. Ouma, G. W. (2021). The digital divide in South Africa's higher education system: Opportunities and challenges for online learning. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 35(4), 11-26. <https://doi.org/10.20853/35-4-3708>.
48. Packham, G., Jones, P., Miller, C., Pickernell, D., & Thomas, B. (2010). Attitudes towards entrepreneurship education: A comparative analysis. *Education + Training*, 52(8/9), 568-586. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00400911011088926>.
49. Peters, M. D., Godfrey, C. M., Khalil, H., McInerney, P., Parker, D., & Baldini Soares, C. (2015). Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*, 13(3), 141-146. <https://doi.org/10.1097/XEB.0000000000000050>.
50. Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon and Schuster.
51. Ramukumba, T. (2014). Overcoming SMEs challenges through critical success factors: A case of SMEs in the Western Cape Province, South Africa. *Economic and Business Review*, 16(1), 19-38. <https://doi.org/10.15458/2335-4216.1141>.
52. Rasmussen, E. A., & Sørheim, R. (2006). Action-based entrepreneurship education. *Technovation*, 26(2), 185-194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2005.06.012>.
53. Rideout, E. C., & Gray, D. O. (2013). Does entrepreneurship education really work? A review and methodological critique of the empirical literature on the effects of university-based entrepreneurship education. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 51(3), 329-351. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12021>.
54. Robles, L., & Zárraga-Rodríguez, M. (2015). Key competencies for entrepreneurship. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 23, 828-832. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)00389-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00389-5).
55. Scott, W. R. (2014). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas, interests, and identities*. Sage Publications.
56. Stam, W. (2014). The role of embeddedness in the formation of entrepreneurial networks. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(6), 1360-1377. <https://doi.org/10.5465/20159724>.
57. Thornton, P. H., Ocasio, W., & Lounsbury, M. (2012). *The institutional logics perspective: A new approach to culture, structure, and process*. Oxford University Press.
58. Tolbert, P. S., & Zucker, L. G. (1999). The institutionalization of institutional theory. In S. R. Clegg & C. Hardy (Eds.), *Studying organization: Theory and method* (pp. 169-184). SAGE Publications.
59. Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., ... & Straus, S. E. (2018). PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 169(7), 467-473. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850>.
60. Urban, B. (2013). Influence of the institutional environment on entrepreneurial intentions in an emerging economy. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 14(3), 179-191. <https://doi.org/10.5367/ije.2013.0122>.
61. Van der Berg, S., & Hofmeyr, H. (2018). *Background paper prepared for the 2018 Global Education Monitoring Report*. UNESCO. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2002.5922314>.