

Dolna 17, Warsaw, Poland 00-773 Tel: +48 226 0 227 03 Email: editorial_office@rsglobal.pl

JOURNAL	International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Economy
p-ISSN	2412-8368
e-ISSN	2414-1305
PUBLISHER	RS Global Sp. z O.O., Poland

ARTICLE TITLE	THE MAIN CHALLENGES OF ECONOMIC POLICY AND THE FEATURES COMPOSITION OF OFFICIAL RESERVES
AUTHOR(S)	Avtandil Chutlashvili
ARTICLE INFO	Avtandil Chutlashvili. (2023) The Main Challenges of Economic Policy and the Features Composition of Official Reserves. <i>International Journal of Innovative Technologies in</i> <i>Economy</i> . 3(43). doi: 10.31435/rsglobal_ijite/30092023/8046
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/rsglobal_ijite/30092023/8046
RECEIVED	22 August 2023
ACCEPTED	29 September 2023
PUBLISHED	30 September 2023
LICENSE	This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

© The author(s) 2023. This publication is an open access article.

THE MAIN CHALLENGES OF ECONOMIC POLICY AND THE FEATURES COMPOSITION OF OFFICIAL RESERVES

Avtandil Chutlashvili

Doctor of Economy Tbilisi State University, Georgia

DOI: https://doi.org/10.31435/rsglobal_ijite/30092023/8046

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Received 22 August 2023 This paper considers the main challenges of the economic policy and the changes in the structure of official reserves. The main risks of economic Accepted 29 September 2023 Published 30 September 2023 policy have been identified and certain interrelation of their distribution across countries has been established. The structure of official reserves and economic risks are examined using the example of six groups of countries. In **KEYWORDS** particular, the G 7 countries, G 20 countries, G 20 countries without G 7 Economic Risks, Currency countries, 10 countries whose GDP is between 500 - 100 billion US dollars, Composition of Reserves, 10 countries whose GDP is less than 100 billion US dollars and 10 countries SDR Basket, International whose GDP is between 50 - 10 billion US dollars. Some patterns in the Reserve. distribution of economic risks and in the structure of official reserves have been established. It is concluded that it is a necessary review and improves the theoretical and methodological base and practice of placing Official Foreign Exchange Reserves.

Citation: Avtandil Chutlashvili. (2023) The Main Challenges of Economic Policy and the Features Composition of Official Reserves. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Economy.* 3(43). doi: 10.31435/rsglobal_ijite/30092023/8046

Copyright: © 2023 **Avtandil Chutlashvili.** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Introduction.

The latest report of the World Economic Forum [5. 80-89] shows data from a survey of senior executives (identified by the Executive Opinion Survey, EOS) regarding risk perception at the national level. All 35 risks are divided into five categories, i.e. Economic, Geopolitical, Societal, Environmental, Technological.

For the purposes of our study, a number of risks and countries are grouped according to individual links or specific characteristics. In particular, the following countries are highlighted:

1. G 7 countries (Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States);

2. G 20 countries (Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Russia, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, South Korea, Turkey, the U.K. and the United States, as well as the European Union);

3. G 20 countries without G 7 countries (Argentina, Australia, Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Russia, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, South Korea, Turkey and the European Union);

4. 10 countries whose GDP is between 500 - 100 billion US dollars (Israel, Austria, Finland, Romania, Czechia, Greece, Ukraine, Kazakhstan, Hungary, Slovakia);

5. 10 countries whose GDP is less than 100 billion US dollars (Bulgaria, Lithuania, Serbia, Azerbaijan, Latvia, Estonia, Georgia, Armenia, Moldova, Kyrgyzstan);

6. 10 countries whose GDP is between 50 - 10 billion US dollars (Albania, Armenia, Cyprus, Estonia, Georgia, Iceland, Latvia, Malta, Moldova, Mongolia).

Materials and methods.

The analysis shows that for most countries above, the top three challenges are economic problems (44.4%), followed by geopolitical (31.7%) and social (15.9%).

We should take a closer look at economic risks. Let's consider them by groups of countries (six groups of countries each). Table 1 shows the 3 main risks across a range of countries. Thus, in the G 7 countries, out of 21 main risks, 14 are economic, which is 66.7%.

In the G 20 countries, out of 54 main risks (no data for Russia and the European Union), 33 are economic, which is 61.1%.

In the G 20 countries, but without the G 7 countries, out of 33 main risks (no data for Russia and the European Union) 19 are economic, which is 57.6%.

In 10 countries where the GDP is in the range of 500-100 billion US dollars, out of 27 main indicators (data are missing for one country), 13 are economic, i.e. 48.1%

In 10 countries where the GDP is in the range of 100-50 billion US dollars, out of 27 main indicators (no data for one country), 12 are economic, i.e. 44.4%.

	Country	Risk priority number	Risk priority number	Risk priority number							
1	2	3	4	5							
	G 7 Countries										
1	G 1			3 Rapid and/or sustained							
1	Canada	I Cost-of-living crisis	2 Debt crises	inflation							
2	Б		2 Severe commodity	3 Rapid and/or sustained							
2	France	1 Debt crises	price shocks	inflation							
2	G	1 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Severe commodity								
3	Germany	inflation	price shocks	3 Interstate conflict							
			2 Rapid and/or sustained								
4	Italy	1 Debt crises	inflation	2 Interstate conflict							
			2 Natural disasters and extreme	3 Prolonged economic							
5	Japan	1 Geoeconomic confrontation	weather events	stagnation							
				3 Rapid and/or sustained							
6	UK	1 Cost-of-living crisis	2 Debt crises	inflation							
			2 Rapid and/or sustained								
7	USA	1 Debt crises	inflation	3 Geoeconomic confrontation							
		G 20 Cour	tries without G 7 countries	I							
		1 Rapid and/or sustained		2 Proliferation of illicit							
8	Argentina	inflation	2 Debt crises	economic activity							
		liniation		3 Rapid and/or sustained							
9	Australia	1 Cost-of-living crisis	2 Debt crises	inflation							
		1 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Proliferation of illicit	limation							
10	Brazil	inflation	2 romeration of inter	3 Geoeconomic confrontation							
		IIIIauoli	2 Natural disasters and autrema	2 Danid and/or sustained							
11	China	1 Geoeconomic confrontation	2 Natural disasters and extreme	inflation							
			2 Coorditical contactation of	IIIIauoii							
12	India	1 Digital inequality		3 Cost-of-living crisis							
			resources								
13	Indonesia	1 Debt crises	2 Interstate conflict	3 Rapid and/or sustained							
		1.D. 1. 1/									
14	Mexico	1 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Proliferation of illicit	3 Prolonged economic							
15	D . E 1	inflation	economic activity	stagnation							
15	Russian Fed.	IN / A	N/A								
16	Saudi	1 Cost-of-living crisis	2 Interstate conflict	3 Rapid and/or sustained							
	Arabia			inflation							
17	S. Afrika	1 State collapse	2 Debt crises	2 Cost-of-living crisis							
18	S.Korea	1 Kapid and/or sustained	2 Proliferation of illicit	3 Severe commodity price							
		inflation	economic activity	shocks							
19	Turkev	1 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Employment and livelihood	3 Interstate conflict							
	j	inflation	crises								
20	EU	N/A	N/A	N/A							

Table № 1. Top risks according to the World Economic Forum.

Table № 1.	Continuation.
------------	---------------

1	2	3	4	5	
	•	10 countries whose GDI	is between 500 - 100 billion US d	ollars	
21	A	1 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Severe commodity price	3 Breakdown of critical	
21	Austria	inflation	shocks	infrastructure	
22	Carabia	2 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Severe commodity	3 Severe commodity	
22	Czechia	inflation	supply crises	price shocks	
22	Finland	1 Cassage amin confrontation	2 Prolonged economic	3 Severe commodity	
25	Filliand	1 Geoeconomic controntation	stagnation	price shocks	
24	Craaga	1 Cost of living origin	2 Severe commodity price	2 Rapid and/or sustained	
24	Greece	1 Cost-of-living crisis	shocks	inflation	
25	Hungary	1 Rapid and/or sustained inflation	2 Infectious diseases	3 Geoeconomic confrontation	
26	Iran	N/A	N/A	N/A	
07	р :	1 Rapid and/or sustained			
27	Romania	inflation	2 Geoeconomic confrontation	3 Interstate conflict	
20	Illeroino	1 Severe commodity	2 Interstate conflict	3 Large-scale involuntary	
20	UKIAIIIe	supply crises	2 Interstate connect	migration	
20	Kazakhatan	1 Geoggenemic confrontation	2 Rapid and/or sustained	3 Geopolitical contestation	
29	Kazakiistaii		inflation	of resources	
20	Slavalria	1 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Severe commodity price	2 Severe commodity supply	
30	Slovakla	inflation	shocks	crises	
		10 countries whose G	DP is less than 100 billion US dolla	ars	
21	Dulgaria	1 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Proliferation of illicit	2 Cost of living origin	
51	Dulgaria	inflation	economic activity	5 Cost-of-living crisis	
22	Lithuania	1 Severe commodity	2 Interstate conflict	3 Rapid and/or sustained	
52	Liunuania	price shocks	2 Interstate connict	inflation	
33	Serbia	1 Geoeconomic confrontation	2 Severe commodity price shocks	3 Interstate conflict	
34	Azerbaijan	N/A	N/A	N/A	
35	Congo (Dem.)	1 Digital inequality	2 State collapse	3 Debt crises	
26	Slovenie	1 Severe commodity price	2 Gassanomia confrontation	3 Rapid and/or sustained	
30	Slovenia	shocks	2 Geoeconomic connontation	inflation	
37	Sri Lanka	1 Debt crises	2 Cost-of-living crisis	3 State collapse	
38	Angola	1 Rapid and/or sustained inflation	2 Employment and livelihood crises	2 Cost-of-living crisis	
39	Panama	1 Employment and livelihood crises	2 Debt crises	3 Digital inequality	
10				3 Breakdown of critical	
40	Costa Rica	1 Cost-of-living crisis	2 Debt crises	infrastructure	
	•	10 countries whose GD	P is between 50 - 10 billion US do	llars	
4.1	T . •	1 Rapid and/or sustained			
41	Latvia	inflation	2 Interstate conflict	3 Cost-of-living crisis	
40	Deterio	1 Severe commodity	2 Community of frontation	2 Internetic annihist	
42	Estonia	price shocks	2 Geoeconomic confrontation	3 Interstate conflict	
12	Cummus	1 Rapid and/or sustained	2 Cost of living origin	Failure of climate-change	
43	Cyprus	inflation	2 Cost-of-living clisis	adaptation	
44	Albania	2 Infactious discusses	2 Failure of cybersecurity	3 Rapid and/or sustained	
44	Albailla	2 infectious diseases	measures	inflation	
45	Malta	1 Cost of living crisis	2 Human-made environmental	3 Rapid and/or sustained	
4.5	iviaita		damage	inflation	
16	Mongolia	1 Debt origon	2 Human-made environmental	2 Gassanomia confrontation	
40	mongona	1 Debt 011303	damage		
17	Armonic	1 Interstate conflict	2 Rapid and/or sustained	3 Frazion of social cohesion	
4/	Armenia		inflation	5 Erosion of social conesion	
48	Kyrgyzstan	1 Interstate conflict	2 Debt crises	3 State collapse	
40	Moldova	1 Debt crises	2 Human-made environmental	3 Geoeconomic confrontation	
47	Ivioluova		damage		
50	Georgia	1 Cost-of-living crisis	2 Interstate conflict	3 Geoeconomic confrontation	

Compiled by the author based on materials World Economic Forum.

In 10 countries where the GDP is in the range of 50-10 billion US dollars, out of 30 main indicators, 9 are economic, i.e. 30.0%.

Based on the brief analysis above, there is a pattern in which economic risks become less relevant in the poorest countries. Therefore, we can conclude that the severity of economic risks decrease as the country's GDP reduces (or as wealth decreases) and vice versa. Is it accurate to conclude that challenges are usually linked to developed countries? And is it reasonable and fair to ignore other problems? Including technological risks, such as digital inequality or the breakdown of critical infrastructure.

Since this is a separate and more serious problem and it is not the purpose of our study, we should set aside this question for now and return to economic issues. Of the economic risks, the most common problems are inflation and the debt crisis.

Thus, in the G 7 countries, out of 14 economic risks, 6 are inflation and 5 are debt crises.

In the G20 countries, out of 33 economic risks, 15 are inflation and 9 are debt crises.

In G20 countries, but without G7 countries, out of 19 economic risks, 9 are inflation and 4 are debt crises.

In 10 countries where the GDP is in the range of 500 - 100 billion US dollars, out of 13 economic risks, 7 are inflation, and 0 are debt crises.

In 10 countries where the GDP is in the range of 100 - 50 billion US dollars out of 12 economic risks, 4 are inflation, and 4 are debt crises.

In 10 countries where the GDP is in the range of 50 - 10 billion US dollars, out of 9 economic risks, 5 are inflation, and 3 are debt crises.

Our research has revealed that for majority of developed countries worldwide, economic risks, which are expressed in the expected high rates of inflation and increasing amount of public debt are the most pressing. For the other majority, geopolitical and social challenges are no less acute.

It is reasonable to assume that it is necessary to prepare for challenging time. Existing challenges necessitate diverse methodologies and strategies for their solution. At any case, for any solution, appropriate resources are needed. In this regard, it seems interesting to analyze the size and structure of reserves, including international reserves.

It should be noted that the world official foreign exchange reserves reached more than 12 trillion US dollars at the end of the first quarter of 2023. Most of that (92.6% or 11.1 trillion Us dollars) were represented as the allocated reserves (it's means claim in U.S. dollars, in euro, in Chinese renminbi, in Japanese yen, in pounds sterling, in Australian dollars, in Canadian dollars and in Swiss francs) and other (7.4% or 0.9 trillion Us dollars) as the unallocated reserves. See table 2 and 3 [6.]. It should also be noted that the distribution ratio decreased over the reporting period. So, if in 2018 it was equal to 93.8% (10.7 trillion dollars), in 2023 it was 92.6%. Consequently, the share of unallocated reserves increased from 6.2% (\$706.4 billion) in 2018 to 7.4% (\$889.1 billion) in 2023/Q1. It means that the total amount of unallocated reserves has increased for more than 180 billion US dollars in last five years. In our point of view, it testifies some uncertainty toward leading financial institutions that are responsible for the financial flows in the world. The sum of this uncertainty equals approximately 180 billion US dollars.

Let's get back to the World Currency Composition of Official Foreign Exchange Reserves and note that the US dollar again occupies the leading position in it. So, in 2018 it was 62.0% (6.624 trillion dollars), where the currency of the European Union (with 20.7% - 2.217 trillion dollars) occupies the second position etc. [for more details, see table. 2 and table. 3].

	2018 Q4	2019 Q4	2020 Q4	2021 Q4	2022 Q4	2023 Q1
Total Foreign						
Exchange						
Reserves	11432600.2	11822311.6	12700468.2	12918532.1	11914285.5	12039600.4
Allocated						
Reserves	10726222.5	11071545.1	11867171.0	12049600.1	11038665.6	11150530.9
Claims in U.S.						
dollars	6624672.1	6725710.8	6992187.3	7085003.6	6466860.6	6580558.9
Claims in euro	2217578.0	2279459.2	2526410.5	2481340.1	2248354.7	2204666.8
Claims in Chinese						
renminbi	203085.0	214460.9	271601.5	337259.8	287690.3	288072.3
Claims in						
Japanese yen	556905.6	649762.8	715348.0	665102.8	607303.2	609469.3
Claims in pounds						
sterling	474875.8	513518.3	561388.0	579381.7	541309.6	541122.3
Claims in						
Australian dollars	174463.0	187881.7	216870.6	221321.1	216595.0	221103.6
Claims in						
Canadian dollars	197216.3	205988.9	246567.2	286932.1	262740.3	270749.9
Claims in Swiss						
francs	14782.4	16564.4	20738.3	20788.8	25317.8	27674.1
Claims in other						
currencies	262644.2	278198.3	316059.5	372470.1	382494.0	407113.6
Unallocated						
Reserves	706377.7	750766.5	833297.2	868932.0	875619.9	889069.5

Tabl	e	2.	World	Currency	Composition	of	Official	Foreign	Exchange	Reserves,
US Dollars,	M	illio	ns.							

Compiled by the author based on materials IMF. https://data.imf.org/regular.aspx?key=41175.

Table 3.	World	Currency	Composition	of Official	Foreign	Exchange	Reserves.	%.
1 4010 0.		Carrency	composition	or ornerar	rorongin	Enemange	100001.000,	/0.

	2018 Q4	2019 Q4	2020 Q4	2021 Q4	2022 Q4	2023 Q1
Shares of Allocated Reserves	93.8	93.6	93.4	93.3	92.7	92.6
Shares of U.S. dollars	62.0	60.7	58.9	58.8	58.6	59.0
Shares of euro	20.7	20.6	21.3	20.6	20.4	19.8
Shares of Chinese renminbi	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.8	2.6	2.6
Shares of Japanese yen	5.2	5.9	6.0	5.5	5.5	5.5
Shares of pounds sterling	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9
Shares of Australian dollars	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0
Shares of Canadian dollars	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Shares of Swiss francs	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Shares of other currencies	2.4	2.5	2.7	3.1	3.5	3.7
Shares of Unallocated Reserves	6.2	6.4	6.6	6.7	7.3	7.4

Compiled by the author based on materials IMF. https://data.imf.org/regular.aspx?key=41175.

The picture has not changed significantly in the last 5 years. The US dollar, again, occupies the leading position in the first quarter of 2023. But at the same time, it should be pointed out that its share decreased (about 3 percent) slightly compared to 2018 and amounted to 59.0%. The share of

Euro also slightly decreased (about 1 percent), which reached 19.8%. Relative to other currencies, as you can see (see table 2 and 3), there is no reduction. We can even state the certain growth toward the other currencies. Moreover, the largest is accounted for the currency of China.

As we can see, there is a certain growth concerning the share of other (alternative) currencies (Chinese renminbi, Japanese yen, Pounds sterling, Australian and Canadian dollars and Swiss francs). In particular, they increased from 2.4% (\$262.6 billion) in 2018 to 3.7% (\$407.1 billion). So, we are seeing an increase of 1.3%, which in the absolute terms equals to \$144.5 billion.

Therefore, with a certain degree of probability, we can assume that skepticism toward existing sources of accumulation and distribution of official foreign exchange reserves are growing day by day. The total amount of that skepticism in the last five years is expressed in a specific amount, which is equal to \$ 144.5 billion. Above, we calculated very specific figure of \$182.7 billion. There is skepticism about whether it is worth using the existing institutions for the accumulation and distribution of foreign exchange reserves. As a result, if we summarize our figures, we get a very decent amount of more than \$300 billion (\$327.2 billion).

Let's get back to our analysis and narrow down the research area. We should consider the currency structure of the reserves according to SDR¹ basket and in the non-SDR basket.

Based on IMF materials, we have compiled Table No. 4 (Currency composition of reserves) based on IMF materials. As we can see, reserves in currencies included in the SDR basket are growing (no data for 15 countries). The exception is Turkey, where the reserves in the SDR basket has decreased from \$109.3 billion in 2015 to \$89.8 billion in 2023. It is also noteworthy that the foreign exchange reserves are growing as in SDR basket as non-SDR basket in the 21 countries in the end of 2023.We should also pay attention to the fact that in 12 countries (UK, Australia, Greece, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Lithuania, Costa Rica, Latvia, Albania, Malta, Armenia) foreign exchange reserves are growing faster not in SDR basket. It is necessary that Turkey should be added to the countries mentioned above, where the reserves in SDR basket are decreasing, while the reserves non-SDR basket are growing in at least 13 countries out of 50. This research proves that in more than a quarter (26%) of the countries there is some skepticism regarding SDR basket. For them the placement of their foreign exchange reserves in SDR basket are not so acceptable. The figure above should be even higher as the data is missing from 15 countries out of 50.

		2015		20	18	2023	
	Country	Currencies in SDR Basket	Currencies not in SDR Basket	Currencies in SDR Basket	Currencies not in SDR Basket	Currencies in SDR Basket	Currencies not in DR Basket
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Canada	79,753.0	0.0	83,926.0	0.0	114,284.0	0.0
2	France	130,421.0	7,748.0	159,332.0	7,337.0	216,186.1	9,447.2
3	Germany	172,513.0	1,169.0	197,027.0	1,215.0	n/a	n/a
4	Italy	128,040.9	2,729.1	149,484.5	3,021.0	229,393.4	4,213.6
5	Japan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
6	UK	155,439.0	440.0	191,999.0	5,365.0	191,449.0	15,595.0
7	USA	118,457.4	0.0	124,984.6	0.0	242,731.1	0.0
8	Argentina	17,807.5	7,755.9	65,763.6	22.2	27,917.0	8.9

Table 4. Currency composition of reserves (by Group of Currencies) In Millions of US Dollars.

¹ The SDR is an international reserve asset. The SDR is not a currency, but its value is based on a basket of five currencies—the US dollar, the euro, the Chinese renminbi, the Japanese yen, and the British pound sterling.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	Australia	42,770.1	2,952.4	51,111.9	2,762.5	54,496.6	4,785.5
10	Brazil	332,686.2	23,777.5	371,977.8	2,737.0	337,254.7	6,233.8
11	China	3,122,841.0	283,269.0	2,909,494.0	258,499.0	n/a	n/a
12	India	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
13	Indonesia*	92,842.0	18,087.7	110,097.5	10,556.8	n/a	n/a
14	Mexico	170,397.9	7,198.8	171,366.4	5,017.7	205,764.8	1,696.6
15	Russian Fed.	353,540.7	14,858.0	452,548.3	15,946.7	n/a	n/a
16	Saudi Arabia**	730,389.0	4,110.6	490,250.5	4,250.8	n/a	n/a
17	S. Afrika	43,403.0	2,383.0	49,790.0	1,851.0	58,434.0	3,115.0
18	S.Korea	336,676.0	31,286.0	381,237.3	22,457.0	391,418.0	30,036.0
19	Turkey	109,299.0	1,234.0	88,566.0	4,461.0	89,791.0	18,789.0
20	EU	682,087.0	19,298.0	795,459.0	27,854.0	n/a	n/a
21	Austria	16,673.4	5,563.3	17,705.1	5,504.0	29,176.3	1,475.6
22	Czechia	45,350.8	19,140.2	121,587.2	20,925.1	124,800.6	16,465.4
23	Finland	9,988.8	24.4	10,308.9	0.0	16,548.7	0.0
24	Greece	6,026.0	0.0	7,552.0	33.0	12,860.0	209.0
25	Hungary	31,875.9	1,135.7	28,765.1	2,611.4	36,015.1	7,307.7
26	Iran	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
27	Romania	37,989.7	642.9	39,825.3	2,310.9	58,288.7	6,110.2
28	Ukraine	13,016.7	283.3	20,786.5	33.9	36,992.9	33.7
29	Kazakhstan	27,109.7	765.9	30,126.1	801.0	34,177.0	279.8
30	Slovakia	2,873.6	0.5	5,229.9	0.5	10,054.8	97.5
31	Bulgaria	22,083.7	1.0	28,711.6	0.6	38,292.5	0.0
32	Lithuania	1,696.6	0.3	5,225.4	546.1	5,290.5	585.8
33	Serbia	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
34	Azerbaijan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
35	Congo (Dem.)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
36	Slovenia	853.7	2.8	934.3	0.3	2,359.4	0.0
37	Sri Lanka	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
38	Angola	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
39	Panama	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
40	Costa Rica	7,687.5	146.6	7,281.9	219.0	10,878.2	261.8
41	Latvia	3,236.7	209.0	3,956.0	415.0	4,322.5	436.8

Table № 4. Continuation.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
42	Estonia	308.6	106.5	633.3	122.1	1,852.9	600.7
43	Cyprus	806.0	0.2	927.8	0.1	1,775.3	0.1
44	Albania	3,138.6	0.0	3,843.3	51.4	5,231.6	49.0
45	Malta	547.6	24.2	755.7	269.4	771.0	514.0
46	Mongolia	n/a	n/a	3,549.3	0.3	3,810.6	1.3
47	Armenia	1,770.6	0.6	2,247.7	1.7	3,742.3	6.3
48	Kyrgyzstan	1,096.0	587.0	1,723.0	346.0	2,168.8	193.7
49	Moldova	1,756.7	0.1	2,995.2	0.0	4,902.7	0.0
50	Georgia	2,239.6	280.0	2,999.8	290.0	4,958.9	107.8

Table № 4. Continuation.

Compiled by the author based on materials IMF. https://data.imf.org/regular.aspx?key=61280812

Comparing the data of 2018 and 2023, we can calculate the value of this skepticism. According to our calculation, it is at least \$48.5 billion. Adding this amount to the previously obtained value (\$327.2 billion), we get \$375.5 billion, which expresses the distrust of some countries towards the existing order of things.

Returning to the main challenges, the 13 countries listed above face, it should be pointed out that in the top three of the main risks at the first place are economic problems (12 challenges), which is equal to 30.8 percent [13 countries with 3 main challenges, a total of 39 challenges, therefore 12/39*100). As a result, we can make one assumption - as economic problems increase, the distrust in the existing system of distribution of financial flows will increase, too.

Conclusion.

In conclusion, it should be pointed out that economic risks are most acute for the developed (rich) countries. When wealth grows, so do economic challenges, primarily inflation and rising public debt.

As economic problems grow, the distrust in the existing system of distribution of financial resources grows, too. Taking into consideration all mentioned above, it is advisable to review and improve the theoretical and methodological basis and practice of placing official international foreign reserves. We should also keep in mind that they poorly reflect the existing realities of our time.

REFERENCES

- 1. Arslanalp Serkan, Eichengreen Barry, Simpson-Bell Chima . Dollar Dominance and the Rise of Nontraditional Reserve Currencies. https://www.imf.org/en/Blogs/Articles/2022/06/01/blog-dollar-dominance-and-the-rise-of-nontraditional-reserve-currencies_(accessed 17.09.2023).
- 2. Arslan Yavuz and Cantú Carlos. The size of foreign exchange reserves. https://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap104a rh.pdf_(accessed 17.09.2023).
- 3. Chutlashvili Avtandil. Consumption, savings and investment in the light of the COVID-19 pandemic. https://rsglobal.pl/index.php/ijite/article/view/2278 (accessed 17.09.2023).
- 4. Gray Simon. Central Bank Balances and Reserve Requirements. IMF Working Paper Monetary and Capital Markets Department (accessed 17.09.2023.
- $5. https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Global_Risks_Report_2023.pdf?_gl.$
- 6. https://data.imf.org/regular.aspx?key=41175.
- 7. https://data.imf.org/regular.aspx?key=61280812.
- 8. https://data.worldbank.org/.
- 9. www.imf.org.